



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

 Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.

[There is no reportable action as a result of the Board of Supervisor' closed session held today.]

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING ON DECEMBER 4, 2012. THIS IS OUR REORGANIZATION MEETING. AND WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE BUSINESS AT HAND. THIS CONSTITUTES A CALL TO ORDER. WE'RE PLEASED THAT OUR SERGEANT AT ARMS, PAUL OBLENTZ, IS HERE IN PLACE AND OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICER, SACHI HAMAI, IS IN PLACE AND WE ARE PREPARED TO PROCEED AT THE INVITATION OF THE THE FIRST DISTRICT, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WE HAVE THIS MORNING THE INVOCATION TO BE DELIVERED BY PASTOR TODD BLACK OF THE COVINA ASSEMBLY OF GOD, AND THE PLEDGE BY RICHARD CASTELLANO, WHO IS AT THE INVITATION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WOULD YOU PLEASE RISE FOR THE INVOCATION AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

PASTOR TODD BLACK: THANK YOU FOR THIS INVITATION AND THE PRIVILEGE TO TAKE PART IN YOUR MEETING TODAY. LET US PRAY.

DEAR HEAVENLY FATHER, ON BEHALF OF ALL WHO ARE GATHERED HERE TODAY, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR YOUR MANY AND ABUNDANT BLESSINGS. THANK YOU FOR THE GREAT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IN WHICH WE LIVE. THANK YOU FOR THE GIFT OF LIFE ITSELF AND THE



MEASURE OF HEALTH YOU'VE GIVEN EACH PERSON TO FULFILL THEIR
CALLING. I PRAY FOR THE VARIOUS LEVELS OF OFFICIALS,

PARTICULARLY THIS ASSEMBLED COUNCIL. I PRAY FOR THE AGENDA
THAT IS SET BEFORE THEM. WE RECOGNIZE THE INCREDIBLE
CHALLENGES THAT ARE FACED ACROSS THIS COUNTY AND I'M ASKING
THAT YOU WOULD GRACIOUSLY GRANT THEM YOUR WISDOM, STRENGTH IN
THE TOUGH DECISIONS AMID THE CONFLICTING ISSUES OF OUR TIMES.
PLEASE GIVE THEM AN ASSURANCE OF WHAT WOULD PLEASE YOU AND
WHAT WOULD BENEFIT THOSE WHO LIVE AND WORK IN THE COUNTY OF
LOS ANGELES. GRANT THEM A SENSE OF YOUR WELFARE AND TRUE NEEDS
OF OUR PEOPLE. WOULD YOU GIVE THEM THE ABILITY TO WORK
TOGETHER IN HARMONY EVEN WHEN THERE IS DISAGREEMENT. WE ASK
FOR YOUR PEACE, YOUR PROTECTION AND PROSPERITY TO BE OVER THIS
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND EVERY ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIAL.
AND IT'S IN YOUR MOST BLESSED NAME I PRAY, AMEN.

RICHARD CASTELLANO: PLEASE FACE THE FLAG, PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART, PLEASE JOIN ME. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED.]

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: IF YOU WOULD JUST REMAIN

STANDING FOR A MOMENT FOR A SPECIAL MOMENT OF SILENCE OFFERED

BY SUPERVISOR KNABE.

SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,



LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THIS HOLIDAY SEASON, MANY MUCH US ARE REMINDED HOW FORTUNATE WE ARE TO BE SURROUNDED BY OUR LOVED ONES. UNFORTUNATELY, NOT EVERYONE SHARES THIS BLESSING HERE IN OUR COUNTY. TOMORROW, LOS ANGELES COUNTY WILL BURY THE REMAINS OF APPROXIMATELY 1,656 INDIVIDUALS IN A MASS GRAVE. THESE ARE INDIVIDUALS THAT FOR ONE REASON OR ANOTHER HAVE NO ONE BUT THE COUNTY TO PROVIDE THEM WITH A RESPECTED AND DIGNIFIED BURIAL. SOME ARE HOMELESS, MANY ARE POOR, SOME HAVE NO FAMILIES TO GRIEVE FOR THEM. BUT REGARDLESS OF WHAT THEIR STATUS IN LIFE WAS, THEIR LIFE MATTERED. IT MATTERS TO US, THEIR COUNTY FAMILY. SO AT THIS TIME, I WOULD JUST ASK TO HONOR THESE INDIVIDUALS WITH A MOMENT OF SILENCE. (MOMENT OF SILENCE OBSERVED). THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: I THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'LL NOW HEAR FROM SUPERVISOR MOLINA TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE TO PASTOR BLACK.

SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. IT'S MY HONOR THIS
MORNING TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO PASTOR
TODD BLACK. TODAY WE WELCOME HIM FROM THE ASSEMBLY OF GOD
CHURCH IN COVINA. DURING HIS 13 YEARS WITH THIS THRIVING
CONGREGATION, PASTOR BLACK HAS SERVED AS THE YOUTH MINISTER,
THE ASSOCIATE PASTOR, THE SENIOR PASTOR AND CURRENTLY SERVES
AS THE EXECUTIVE PASTOR. THE CHURCH-WIDE RANGE OF MINISTRIES



IN COMMUNITY SERVICE IS TRULY IMPRESSIVE. WE APPLAUD THEIR EXTENSIVE COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND COMPASSION PROGRAMS FOR THE DESERVING FAMILIES AS WELL AS FOR YOUTH. PASTOR BLACK, WE THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR JOINING US TODAY AND LEADING US IN OUR INVOCATION. THANK YOU SO MUCH. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.]

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WE WILL NOW HEAR FROM SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S A PLEASURE TO WELCOME RICHARD CASTELLANO, WHO IS HERE TODAY. HE WAS FIRST VICE COMMANDER OF THE MILITARY ORDER OF THE PURPLE HEART, CHAPTER NO. 1898, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA. HE WAS A UNITED STATES ARMY STAFF SERGEANT IN VIETNAM BETWEEN '66 AND '68 WITH OUR NINTH INFANTRY DIVISION, AND HE'S NOW RETIRED FROM A.T.&T. AND HE'S A GRADUATE OF JOHN MUIR HIGH SCHOOL AND WE'RE ALUMNUS OF CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT LOS ANGELES. AND WE JUST APPRECIATE HIS SERVICE IN THE MILITARY AND THE COMMUNITY AND HIS LEADERSHIP WITH THE PURPLE HEART AS VICE COMMANDER.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, LET'S NOW PROCEED TO THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS, WHICH IS TO RECOGNIZE SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AS THE OUTGOING CHAIR TO OFFER REMARKS.



SUPERVISOR?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: (OFF MIC). OKAY. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. LET ME CONGRATULATE YOU WITH A GREAT DEAL OF ENTHUSIASM. [LAUGHTER.] YOUR ASCENSION TO THE CHAIRMANSHIP. I'LL JUST HAVE A FEW REMARKS. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR A GENERALLY COOPERATIVE AND PRODUCTIVE YEAR. [LAUGHTER.] AND WE HAD A LOT ON OUR PLATE. AND NOTHING GETS DONE AROUND HERE WITHOUT THE BOARD WORKING IN TANDEM, IF NOT IN UNISON, ON ADDRESSING THOSE ISSUES. AND I APPRECIATE THE COOPERATION I HAD, ESPECIALLY IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE MEETINGS AND OF THE OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE CHAIR. I WANT TO THANK ESPECIALLY SACHI HAMAI, OUR INCREDIBLY TALENTED AND EFFECTIVE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WHO IS THE GLUE WHO KEEPS THIS TOGETHER. AND I APPRECIATE, SACHI, YOUR COOPERATION, PARTNERSHIP AND THAT OF YOUR STAFF, YOUR VERY ABLE STAFF. IT'S JUST GREAT TO HAVE SOMEBODY LIKE YOU TO FALL BACK ON WHEN EVERYTHING SEEMS TO BE GOING TO HELL IN A HAND BASKET. AND WE ARE VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE YOU. I WANT TO ALSO ACKNOWLEDGE JOHN KRATTLI, OUR COUNTY COUNSEL, WHO TOOK OVER THE JOB IN FEBRUARY, WHO HAS DONE I THINK AN OUTSTANDING, OUTSTANDING JOB NOT ONLY MANAGING HIS OFFICE BUT ALSO MANAGING OUR RESPONSIBILITIES IN DEALING WITH LEGAL ISSUES. I, FRANKLY, THINK THAT WE'VE HAD MORE FOCUS ON THE LEGAL ISSUES AS A BOARD IN THE LAST 10 MONTHS THAN WE'VE HAD AT ANY OTHER TIME THAT



I'VE BEEN HERE. AND I THINK THAT'S BEEN VERY HELPFUL TO US AND TO THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE AND I APPRECIATE THE JOB YOU'VE DONE, JOHN, AND YOUR STAFF. IT'S BEEN A BIG YEAR, AN IMPORTANT YEAR. A LOT OF IT HAS BEEN WHAT I WOULD CALL DEFERRED MAINTENANCE FOR US. WE'VE SELECTED A PERMANENT DIRECTOR OF OUR DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IN PHILIP BROWNING. AND WE LOOK FOR GREAT THINGS FROM HIM. SHERYL SPILLER, WHO IS NOW RUNNING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND SOCIAL SERVICES, WAS ANOTHER HIRE/PROMOTION TO AN IMPORTANT POSITION IN OUR COUNTY. OVER AT FIRST FIVE, WE SPENT THE BETTER PART OF THE YEAR GOING THROUGH AN EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH PROCESS, WHICH RESULTED IN, I THINK, ONE OF THE GREAT FINDS IN MY MEMORY IN THE HIRING OF KIM BELSHE TO BE THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF FIRST FIVE. AND SHE HAS BROUGHT IN JOHN WAGNER, WHO IS SOMEBODY WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO GET TO COME INTO THE COUNTY FOR A WHILE. AND HE NOW BECOMES THE C.O.O. AT FIRST FIVE. AND I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE ONE OF THE MOST TALENTED TEAMS OF ANY SOCIAL SERVICE/HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY IN THE REGION. OUR FISCAL SITUATION CONTINUES TO BE GOOD COMPARED TO EVERYBODY ELSE, BUT NOT GREAT IF YOU LOOK AT IT IN A STANDARD CURVE, NOT A BELL-SHAPED CURVE. WE HAVE BEEN BUFFETED BY THE VICISSITUDES OF THE ECONOMY, CONTINUE TO BE. AND ALSO THE VICISSITUDES OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN SACRAMENTO. I THINK WE GOT A GOOD BREAK WHEN THE VOTERS APPROVED PROPOSITION 30 BUT IT DOESN'T -- IT'S NOT GOING TO GET THE STATE OUT OF THE WOODS AND IT'S NOT GOING TO GET US



OUT OF THE WOODS, EITHER. WE HAVE TO CONTINUE TO BE VIGILANT. AND I THANK THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, BILL FUJIOKA, AND HIS STAFF FOR CONTINUING TO BE VIGILANT IN THAT REGARD. THEY'VE DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB IN PARTNERSHIP WITH US. AND WHILE I'M AT IT, LET ME ALSO THANK BILL FUJIOKA FOR HIS PARTNERSHIP AND COOPERATION WITH OUR OFFICE IN GETTING THINGS THROUGH THE PIPELINE HERE DURING THE COURSE OF THE LAST YEAR, AND YOUR STAFF. NOTHING GETS DONE IN THE COUNTY WITHOUT THE C.E.O.'S COOPERATION, AND WE'VE HAD A GOOD DEAL OF COOPERATION FROM YOU, AND I APPRECIATE THAT VERY MUCH. THE CITIZENS COMMISSION ON JAIL VIOLENCE, WHICH I THINK THERE WERE SOME SKEPTICS ABOUT WHAT THAT WOULD YIELD, MYSELF INCLUDED, I DIDN'T KNOW WHERE THAT WOULD LEAD. BUT I THINK IT LED TO AN OUTSTANDING REPORT, A GROUP OF DEDICATED PEOPLE, INCLUDING MANY VOLUNTEERS WHO WE HONORED HERE JUST A FEW WEEKS AGO, PUT OUT ONE OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DOCUMENTS IN MY 17 YEARS HERE -- 18 YEARS NOW HERE AT THE COUNTY. AND IT WILL ONLY BE AS GOOD AS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF IT. AND I'M DELIGHTED THAT RICHARD DROOYAN WILL BE ON BOARD TO QUARTERBACK THAT GOING FORWARD AND WITH THE COOPERATION THAT'S COMING FROM SHERIFF BACA HIMSELF, WE'VE GOT TO GET NOT ONLY THE JAILS IN SHAPE BUT I THINK THE OVERALL OPERATIONS OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IN SO MANY AREAS, I THINK WILL BENEFIT FROM WHAT WE DO AT THE JAILS. THAT WAS A BIG ISSUE FOR US AND IT'S A WORK IN PROGRESS. RE-ALIGNMENT IS ALSO A WORK IN PROGRESS, AND AS SOME OF US PREDICTED, THIS IS



NOT TURNING OUT TO BE THE WAY THE STATE PROMISED IT WOULD BE. EVERY DAY, EVERY WEEK WE TURN OVER ANOTHER ROCK AND THERE'S ANOTHER PROBLEM AS A RESULT OF RE-ALIGNMENT. WHETHER IT'S IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SIDE OR THE MENTAL HEALTH SIDE OR THE CONSERVATORSHIP SIDE, WE HAVE A GROWING NUMBER OF PROBLEMS THAT ARE NOT BEING COMPENSATED FOR AND I'M NOT SURE CAN BE COMPENSATED FOR AS A RESULT OF THIS TOTAL SHIFT OF A BIG CHUNK OF THE STATE'S CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESPONSIBILITY TO US. I WANT TO THANK PEOPLE IN ALL DEPARTMENTS WHO WORK WITH US ON THE COUNTY INTERDEPARTMENTAL HOMELESS COMMITTEE, I NEVER GOT THE NAME OF IT RIGHT. L.A.C.I.C.H., L.A. COUNTY INTERDEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE ON HOMELESSNESS. IT WAS A VERY GOOD WORK PRODUCT THAT CAME OUT OF THIS. I HOPE IT WILL GIVE US -- IT IS A ROAD MAP FOR THE FUTURE, ANOTHER ONE THAT WE HAVE TO ADDRESS THE VEXING PROBLEMS OF HOMELESSNESS IN L.A. COUNTY. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PATHS TO A SOLUTION TO HOMELESSNESS, NO ONE PATH IS THE RIGHT PATH. BUT THE ONE THING I THINK EVERYONE AGREES IS PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING IS THE ONLY WAY TO GET TO A PERMANENT SOLUTION TO HOMELESSNESS. AND WE HAVE A ROAD MAP THAT CAN GET US THERE. WHETHER WE HAVE THE RESOURCES IS ANOTHER STORY. BUT I WANT TO THANK AGAIN THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE AND LESLIE BLACHER AND HER TEAM FOR THE WORK THEY'VE DONE TO HELP US PUT THAT TOGETHER. WE CONTINUE TO BE LEADERS IN THE COUNTRY AS FAR AS A LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS CONCERNED IN THE FIELD OF ARTS AND CULTURE. THIS COUNTY CONTINUES TO BE A LEADER



BECAUSE WE UNDERSTAND -- AND THIS BOARD UNDERSTANDS -- THAT THE ARTS IS NOT JUST SOME WARM AND FUZZY THING THAT WARMS THE COCKLES OF OUR HEART AND IMPROVES OUR QUALITY OF LIFE, BUT IT'S ALSO AN ECONOMIC GENERATOR, ESPECIALLY IN LOS ANGELES. THE OPERA, THE SYMPHONY, THE HOLLYWOOD BOWL, THE CERRITOS PERFORMING ARTS CENTER, WHICH ISN'T ONE OF OURS BUT IT'S PART OF THE MOSAIC, AND THE NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM AND EXPOSITION PARK, THE SCIENCE CENTER AT EXPOSITION PARK, THE COLBURN SCHOOL, THE GETTY, ALL OF THE ARTS INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT OVER THIS LAST DECADE OR DECADE AND A HALF IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOY A LOT OF PEOPLE AND GENERATE A LOT OF ECONOMIC WEALTH. AND EQUALLY AS IMPORTANT ARE THE SMALL ARTS INSTITUTIONS IN EVERY COMMUNITY ACROSS THE COUNTY THAT EXPOSE PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY YOUNG PEOPLE, TO THE POSSIBILITIES OF ARTS, OF THE ARTS WHETHER IT'S MUSICAL, VISUAL, DRAMA, RADIO, TELEVISION, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE, WE HAVE DONE A LOT AS A COUNTY TO PROMOTE AND SUSTAIN THAT INDUSTRY BECAUSE IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO AND IT'S ECONOMICALLY VIABLE. AND I WANT TO SAY THE OPERA ITSELF, WE PUT A LOT OF FAITH IN THAT OPERA. WE GUARANTEED A BIG LOAN TO THEM. THEY REPAID HALF OF IT. AND I EXPECT THAT THIS WEEK WE WILL HEAR OTHER NEWS IN THE BALANCE OF THAT LOAN. AND I THINK IT WAS FAITH WELL PLACED. WE NEED THE OPERA TO CONTINUE TO BE ATTENDED AT THE MUSIC CENTER. AND THIS COUNTY NEEDS AN OPERA ON THE LEVEL THAT PLACIDO DOMINGO HAS BROUGHT US. SO ARTS AND CULTURE CONTINUES TO BE A TOP



PRIORITY FOR US, AND WE NEED TO BE MINDFUL OF SUSTAINING THAT OVER THE NEXT GENERATION. THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT IS OUT OF THE STARTERS GATE AND HEADED TOWARDS THE FINISH LINE AS FAR AS IMPLEMENTATION IS CONCERNED. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THAT TODAY. I WON'T SPEND A LOT OF TIME ON THAT, BUT I WANT TO COMMEND AND THANK MITCH KATZ AND HIS STAFF FOR THE WORK THEY'VE DONE TO HELP US GET PREPARED FOR 2014. NOW THAT WE KNOW THE OUTCOME OF THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, WE KNOW THAT WE'RE HEADED TO 2014. AND MITCH DID NOT WAIT UNTIL THE ELECTION TO GET GOING. WE HAVE TO RATCHET UP OUR EFFORTS ON HEALTHY WAY L.A. ENROLLMENT SO THAT WE GET AS MANY OF THE PEOPLE ENROLLED IN INSURANCE COME 2014 AS IS POSSIBLE. THAT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO FOR OUR RESIDENTS AND IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO FOR OUR COUNTY CORPORATION, OUR COUNTY GOVERNMENT AS A CORPORATION BECAUSE IT WILL HELP DEFLECT SOME OF THE COSTS THAT OTHERWISE WE WILL HAVE TO INCUR IN THE AREA OF HEALTHCARE. WE'VE DONE A FEW THINGS IN THE LAND USE AREA, MIKE ANTONOVICH AND I, WE REPRESENT THE RURAL AREAS OF THE COUNTY, I THINK HAVE DONE A GREAT SERVICE TO THIS AND FUTURE GENERATIONS WHEN WE ADOPTED -- AND THIS BOARD ADOPTED -- THE ORDINANCE ON LIGHTING, TRYING TO MINIMIZE URBAN LIGHTING IN RURAL SETTINGS. I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE A GOOD THING FOR THOSE COMMUNITIES AND FOR OUR ENVIRONMENT. THE DISSOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES HAS BEEN A MAJOR GAME CHANGER FOR EVERYONE INVOLVED IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND THE STATE



GOVERNMENT. AND I WANT TO THANK WENDY WATANABE, THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE, THE COUNTY COUNSEL'S OFFICE FOR THE WORK THEY'VE DONE IN JUGGLING, REALLY WHAT HAS BEEN A FEW HUNDRED BALLS IN THE AIR AT THE SAME TIME AND TRYING TO DO THAT WITHOUT RUNNING AFOUL OF THE LAW AND PROCESS. AND THAT'S A WORK THAT'S STILL IN PROCESS. THE COUNTY CONTINUES TO BE SENSITIVE ON ISSUES OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND HUMAN RIGHTS. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS INTRODUCED THE RESOLUTION EARLIER THIS YEAR THAT OVERTURNED THE 1942 BOARD ACTION ON THE FORCED RELOCATION OF JAPANESE AMERICANS HERE IN OUR COUNTY, SOMETHING THAT WAS LONG OVERDUE AND UNBEKNOWN TO MOST OF US, CERTAINLY TO ME, THAT WE EVEN HAD SUCH A RESOLUTION. AND I CONTINUE TO HEAR FROM MEMBERS OF THE JAPANESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY HOW IMPORTANT THAT WAS TO THEM. AND I CAN ONLY IMAGINE HOW IMPORTANT IT WAS TO THEM AS WE SAW THE DAY WE HAD THAT HEARING HERE AT THE BOARD. WE ISSUED A FORMAL APOLOGY FOR ACTIONS TAKEN BY THIS COUNTY TO QUOTE/UNQUOTE REPATRIATE THOUSANDS OF PERSONS OF MEXICAN ANCESTRY DURING THE GREAT DEPRESSION, ANOTHER STAIN ON THIS COUNTY'S HISTORY. BUT BY RECOGNIZING, ACKNOWLEDGING OUR MISTAKES, WE HOPEFULLY WILL SOW THE SEEDS FOR NOT REPEATING THOSE MISTAKES IN THE FUTURE. AND LOOKING TO THE PRESENT IN TERMS OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND VOTING RIGHTS, WE EXPANDED ACCESS TO THE VOTING FRANCHISE BY INAUGURATING ONLINE VOTER REGISTRATION IN ADVANCE OF THIS NOVEMBER'S ELECTION. AND FROM ALL INDICATIONS IT WAS A HUGE SUCCESS. WHILE THE NUMBER --



WHILE THE PERCENTAGE OF VOTER TURNOUT WAS 70 PERCENT, 70 OR 71 PERCENT IN LAST NOVEMBER'S ELECTION COMPARED TO 82 PERCENT FOUR YEARS AGO IN THE NOVEMBER 2008 ELECTION, THE NUMBER OF VOTES CAST IN THIS COUNTY IS ABOUT THE SAME. SO EVEN THOUGH THE PERCENTAGE OF REGISTERED VOTERS DROPPED BY 11 OR 12 PERCENT, THE NUMBER OF VOTES IS THE SAME, WHICH SUGGESTS THAT THE REGISTRATION, THE ACTIVE REGISTRATION PROGRAM HAD A HUGE IMPACT. AND I CONGRATULATE DEAN LOGAN AND HIS TEAM AT THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER'S OFFICE FOR THAT EFFORT. IT'S REALLY QUITE SIGNIFICANT AND HAS BEEN UNDER ACKNOWLEDGED AND UNDER REPORTED. LASTLY, I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE SANTOS KREIMANN AND BILL FUJIOKA AND HIS TEAM WORKING TO GET SANTOS INTO THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE WHERE WE HAVE CERTAINLY TO PUT IT MILDLY, AN AWKWARD SITUATION. AND I THINK SANTOS HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB IN RESTORING STABILITY AND INTEGRITY AND CREDIBILITY TO THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE AT A TIME WHEN IT MOST DESPERATELY NEEDS IT. AND I WANT TO THANK MY OWN STAFF, IN CONCLUSION. MY CHIEF OF STAFF ALISA BELINKOFF-KATZ, WHO ASSUMES, AS ALL OF OUR CHIEFS OF STAFF DO WHEN WE BECOME CHAIR, A LOAD OF WORK THAT THEY DIDN'T SIGN UP FOR. AND THEY'VE DONE IT WITH GREAT STYLE AND CLASS. AND I APPRECIATE HER EFFORTS AND THAT OF THE REST OF MY STAFF. THEY ALL KNOW WHO THEY ARE. AND I'LL TAKE THEM TO LUNCH IN A FEW WEEKS TO REMIND THEM WHO THEY ARE AND THANK THEM FOR A GOOD AND PRODUCTIVE YEAR. IN CLOSING, IT'S A YEAR THAT'S BEEN A WORK IN PROGRESS. WE'VE GOT A LOT MORE TO DO.



AND I KNOW THAT UNDER OUR NEW CHAIR'S LEADERSHIP, THERE WILL BE A SEAMLESS TRANSITION TO MORE ACHIEVEMENT IN THE YEAR AHEAD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CHAIRMAN.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. A BIG ROUND OF APPLAUSE FOR SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. [APPLAUSE.] I'LL SIMPLY PICK UP ON THE THEME OF CONTINUITY. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE FOCUS OF ATTENTION FOR US IS TO RECOGNIZE THE CONTEXT IN WHICH WE FIND OURSELVES. I APPRECIATE THE CONFIDENCE OF MY COLLEAGUES IN FACILITATING MY SERVING AS YOUR CHAIR. OUR OBJECTIVE WILL BE TO MAINTAIN THE PUBLIC'S TRUST AND TO SUPPORT THE RATHER REMARKABLE WORK THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DOES. OUR ENVIRONMENT IS DEFINED BY CHANGE, AND WE EMBRACE IT. AND WE WILL CAUSE THAT TO BE THE APPROPRIATE WAY IN WHICH WE SEEK TO MOVE FORWARD. THERE IS A LOT OF ACHIEVEMENT THAT CAN BE HAD AND CAN BE DONE WITH THE SUPPORT AND DILIGENCE AND COMMITMENT OF ALL OF US. AND I SUSPECT THAT WE ARE RESOLVED IN THAT MANNER. WE ARE ORGANIZED IN THE WORK OF THE COUNTY, THE POLICY AREAS IN BASICALLY FIVE DISTINCT SOMEWHAT OVERLAPPING WAYS, CLUSTERS OF WORK ON A WEEKLY BASIS IN THE AREA OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH, IN THE AREA OF PUBLIC SAFETY, IN THE AREA OF OPERATIONS, IN THE AREA OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY WELL-BEING AND AN AREA OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND CAPITAL PROGRAMS. IT WOULD BE MY POINT OF VIEW THAT WE, IN A RATIONAL WAY, THINK ABOUT HOW WE ADVANCE THE WORK OF THE BOARD



TO ACCOMPLISH GOALS BROADLY DEFINED IN THE AREA OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF HEALTH REFORM HAS TO BE ATTENDED TO WITH THE KIND OF CARE THAT CAUSES US TO POSITION OURSELVES TO DO THE VERY BEST THAT WE CAN IN TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE HEALTH REFORM ACT AND TO MAKE SURE THAT THE 1.8 MILLION RESIDENTS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WHO WERE UNINSURED, NOW WITH THE BENEFIT OF INSURANCE, GET WHAT THEY NEED FROM US IN A TOP QUALITY WAY. IN THE AREA OF MENTAL HEALTH, I THINK WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO IN LEVERAGING THE M.H.S.A., THAT IS THE PROP 63, AS MANY OF US KNOW IT TO BE, AND MAKING OPTIMAL USE OF ITS RESOURCES TO DEAL WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES FROM TOP TO BOTTOM; THAT IS FROM SENIORS TO CHILDREN. IN THE AREA OF PUBLIC SAFETY, THE MATTER OF THE IMPROVED IMPLEMENTATION OF A.B.109; THAT IS REALIGNMENT EFFORTS. THAT IS A BIG ISSUE FOR THE MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD. IT HAS BEEN AND IT CONTINUES TO BE. I THINK WE CAN DO BETTER, WITH MORE FOCUSED ATTENTION. AND WE WILL PURSUE THAT. THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 30 TAKES A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF PRESSURE OFF OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AND IT SEEMS TO ME WE OUGHT TO SEIZE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO MUCH BETTER WORK WITH RESPECT TO REALIGNMENT AND FOCUS ON THE ISSUE OF REENTRY IN A VERY REAL WAY. IN THE AREA OF PUBLIC SAFETY AGAIN, THERE IS NO ESCAPING THE FACT THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO WITH RESPECT TO IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JAIL VIOLENCE COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS. IT IS REASONABLE FOR THE PUBLIC TO EXPECT



THAT THE BOARD WILL MAKE THAT A PRIORITY, A FOCUSED AREA OF WORK, AND WE HAVE ALREADY BEGUN TO DO SO AND THERE IS MORE TO COME. IN THE AREA OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES' WELL-BEING, I SHOULD SAY TO YOU THAT YOU HAVE HEARD AND YOU WILL CONTINUE TO HEAR MORE ON THE ISSUE OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING. OUR OBLIGATION IS TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC AND TO PROMULGATE POLICIES THAT ESSENTIALLY CONSTITUTE AN INTEGRATED, MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH ON THE PART OF OUR RESPECTIVE AGENCIES TOUCHING THIS ISSUE SO THAT WE TAKE THESE CHILDREN OUT OF HARM'S WAY AND PUT THOSE WHO ARE THE PERPETRATORS AND PREDATORS WHERE THEY BELONG, OFTEN IN JAIL. AND SO THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE. YOU'VE HEARD MEMBERS OF THE BOARD ADDRESS IT IN THEIR OWN RIGHT. I THINK YOU WILL OVER THE NEXT YEAR HEAR A COLLECTIVE CALL AND A COORDINATED EFFORT, AND I TRUST THAT THAT WILL BE A PRIORITY AREA AND GOAL TO PURSUE. IN THE AREA OF OPERATIONS, THE MOTION OF EFFECTIVE DEPLOYMENT IN TERMS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE COUNTY'S TECHNOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE IS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE. THE SAME IS TO BE SAID ABOUT OUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS CAPACITY. A LOT OF WORK CAN BE DONE THERE, SHOULD BE DONE. AND I TRUST THIS COMING YEAR WILL FACILITATE AN OUTCOME THAT WE CAN ALL EMBRACE. AND FINALLY IN THE AREA OF CAPITAL PROGRAMS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES, A RATIONAL, COHERENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. YOU'VE SEEN MOTIONS ALREADY COME FORWARD. I THINK WE CAN ELEVATE THIS AND WE SHOULD DO SO FOR



THE GOOD OF OUR RESPECTIVE DISTRICTS AND CONSTITUENCIES. AND ONE EXAMPLE HOW TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN COULD VERY EASILY BE THE INSPIRATION WE DERIVED FROM GRAND PARK, THE TWO BUILDINGS THAT SIT ON BOTH SIDES OF THAT PARK WOULD SUBSTANTIALLY BE OF MORE VALUE TO THIS COUNTY IF THEY WERE NOT SO INEFFICIENT, IF THEY WERE NOT SO BORDERLINE DILAPIDATED. AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE'S A NEED FOR ATTENTION THAT WOULD BE FOCUSED ON THAT IN A SMART, PRUDENT, COST-EFFECTIVE, ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE MANNER. AND SO DISCUSSION OUGHT TO TAKE OFF IN EARNEST ABOUT OUR INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS AS THEY RELATE TO PRODUCTIVITY AND THE LIKE AS WE SEEK TO SERVE THE RESIDENTS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND SO WITH THAT, WE'RE OFF TO YET AGAIN ANOTHER YEAR OF SERVICE AND LEADERSHIP, AND WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR SUPPORT, YOUR INPUT AND INVOLVEMENT. MAY WE NOW MOVE TO THE BUSINESS AT HAND, WHICH IS TO NOMINATIONS FOR THE OFFICE OF CHAIR PRO TEM.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIR.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MR. YAROSLAVSKY, IF YOU WOULD.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE I DO THAT, I HAD ONE OTHER NOTE IN MY
THING AND YOU REMINDED ME, THE GRAND PARK OPENING IN
SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S INDEFATIGABLE PURSUIT OF THAT PROJECT.
IT'S REALLY ONE OF THE GREAT ADDITIONS TO THE COUNTY, NOT ONLY



TO THIS AREA BUT THE COUNTY. IT'S ONE OF THE MOST BEAUTIFUL PARKS OF ITS KIND THAT I'VE EVER SEEN. SO CONGRATULATIONS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: A ROUND OF APPLAUSE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO INTRODUCE -TO NOMINATE FOR VICE CHAIRMAN THE FAVORITE SON FROM THE GREAT
CITY OF CERRITOS CALIFORNIA.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MY MY MY.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH HAS ONE OF THE GREATEST SALES TAX
REVENUE BASES IN THE COUNTY AND GOT TOTALLY CREAMED BY
REDEVELOPMENT LAW THANKS TO ALL OF THEIR CLEVER -- ANYWAY,
IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO NOMINATE SUPERVISOR DON KNABE FOR VICE
CHAIR.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED. [APPLAUSE.] MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, OUR RULES REQUIRE THAT WE ACKNOWLEDGE THOSE WHO WISH TO BE HEARD BY PUBLIC COMMENT ON S-1 AND WE CALL NOW ERIC PREVEN. MR. PREVEN? GOING ONCE ... GOING TWICE ... THANK YOU.

ERIC PREVEN: TO IDENTIFY THIS ITEM, I BELIEVE. MY NAME IS ERIC



PREVEN. I AM THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. AND THIS IS THE ITEM WHERE THE NEW CHAIRMAN OUTLINES SOME OF THE COMMISSION POST CHANGES THAT WILL TAKE PLACE. BUT ONE OF THE CONCERNS IS THAT IT IS REALLY -- SOME OF THEM I BELIEVE ARE REOUIRED BY LAW, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THE COLISEUM COMMISSION HAVE THREE OF THE ACTING SUPERVISORS. AND, THUS, WE'RE MAKING A SLIGHT SHIFT. I BELIEVE ANTONOVICH IS GOING ON AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, YAROSLAVSKY IS COMING OFF THAT PARTICULAR COMMISSION. WE IN THE PUBLIC ARE REALLY HOPEFUL THAT THE SUPERVISORS WHO HAVE SO MUCH ON THEIR PLATE AS WE HEARD TODAY BY BOTH MOVING PRESENTATIONS FROM THE OUTGOING CHAIRMAN AND THE INGOING -- INCOMING CHAIRMAN, WE'RE HOPEFUL THAT SOME OF THE SCRUTINY THAT IS REQUISITE FOR THIS KIND OF A ROLE AS SUPERVISOR CAN BE APPROPRIATELY DELEGATED IN SUCH A WAY THAT WE ARE CATCHING MORE. OBVIOUSLY THE COLISEUM COMMISSION WAS BROUGHT UP BECAUSE NEEDLESS TO SAY THERE WERE SOME OVERSIGHTS BY THE SITTING COMMISSION. WE'VE HAD THAT PROBLEM WITH A NUMBER OF THE COMMISSIONS THAT WE ALL SERVE ON. ALSO, FIRST FIVE L.A. IS ANOTHER ONE OF THOSE COMMISSIONS, WHICH UNFORTUNATELY, DESPITE BEST EFFORTS OF THIS BODY RAN SLIGHTLY OFF THE RAILS IN TERMS OF FULFILLING THE PROMISE AND RESTORING THE TRUST OF THE PUBLIC THAT THESE ORGANIZATIONS ARE FUNCTIONING PROPERLY. SO AS WE GO FORWARD INTO THIS NEXT PERIOD WITH THE ASSIGNMENTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE, WHO'S TAKING WHICH POSITION, I WOULD JUST URGE THIS BOARD TO THINK



LONG AND HARD ABOUT BRINGING THE DEPUTIES CLOSE IN SO THAT
THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL SCRUTINY ON THESE THINGS IF YOU'RE GOING
TO SERVE YOURSELVES. I NOTICED IN ONE CASE, SHAWN ROGAN AND
WAS DEPUTIZED TO SERVE AND HE IS NOT A SITTING SUPERVISOR. I'M
SURE THAT THAT IS IN LINE WITH THE RULES ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR
COMMISSION. IN ANY CASE, I THINK YOU GET THE MESSAGE AND I
WON'T WASTE ANY MORE TIME BECAUSE THERE'S A BIG DAY AHEAD OF
US. BUT LET'S BE SURE THAT IN THIS NEXT YEAR, MAXIMUM SCRUTINY
AND ATTENTION ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC WHO YOU REPRESENT IS
PROVIDED. AND THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

ERIC PREVEN: AND OF COURSE WELCOME, SIR.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. AND WE WILL NOW PROCEED TO THE DISPOSITION OF THE ITEM INVOLVING THE CHAIR PROTEM. IT'S BEEN PROPERLY MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. AND THAT EFFECTIVELY CONSTITUTES THE CLOSING OF THE NOMINATIONS UNLESS THERE ARE OTHER NOMINATIONS TO BE ENTERTAINED. HEARING NONE, WE THEN ELECT SUPERVISOR DON KNABE AS CHAIR PRO TEM BY ACCLIMATION. SUPERVISOR, CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] THE FLOOR IS YOURS FOR REMARKS, SUPERVISOR KNABE.



SUP. KNABE: WELL I ONCE AGAIN AM HONORED BY THE FACT FOR THE VOTE OF CONFIDENCE TO SERVE AS CHAIRMAN PRO TEM AND LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE ALL TAKE THAT CIRCLE OF LIFE HERE AS CHAIRMAN OF THIS BOARD. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT ONE. WITH ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES THAT WE NOT ONLY HAVE TO ACCEPT BUT IN ADDITION OUR STAFFS HAVE TO ACCEPT. SO WITH THAT, I LOOK FORWARD TO, AS YOU'VE MENTIONED IN YOUR ACCEPTANCE COMMENTS, THAT SO MANY TIMES THESE CHALLENGES ARE COVERED BY OVERALLS AND LOOK LIKE WORK. AND WE NEED THAT OPPORTUNITY. SO I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU ON THOSE CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE AHEAD AS WE DEAL WITH THE BIG WORDS, SEQUESTRATION AND REALIGNMENT. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR KNABE. BEFORE WE CALL THE AGENDA OR ASK THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO DO SO, MAY I SIMPLY ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF OUR ORDER OF BUSINESS THAT'S BEEN DONE IN CONSULTATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE ENTITIES. WE'LL BE GOVERNED BY WHAT IS ESSENTIALLY THE THREE-MINUTE RULE. NO SURPRISES HERE AT ALL. AND I JUST SIMPLY WANT TO SET FORTH HOW WE WILL PROCEED. THREE MINUTES FOR THOSE CONSENT ITEMS ARE AGGREGATED. THE SECOND POINT WOULD BE THREE MINUTES PER POSTED DISCUSSION ITEM. AND THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. I'LL REPEAT THAT. THREE MINUTES FOR THE CONSENT ITEMS IN THE AGGREGATE. THREE MINUTES PER SET POSTED ITEM. THREE MINUTES



PER SET DISCUSSION ITEM THAT'S POSTED. AND THREE MINUTES FOR
PUBLIC COMMENT. I DON'T KNOW THAT IT COULD BE MUCH CLEARER
THAN THAT. WE WILL GOVERN OURSELVES ACCORDINGLY AND TRUST THAT
EVERYONE DOES THE SAME. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL
THE AGENDA.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, PRESENTATION AND SET MATTERS. ON ITEM S-2, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, THIS ITEM WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO DECEMBER 11, 2012 AS A SET ITEM AT 1 P.M. ON THIS ITEM, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON PAGE 4, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM NO. 1-D, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS PAGE 5, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ITEMS 1 THROUGH 10. ON ITEM NO. 1 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 2, SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABSTAIN FROM THE VOTE ON THIS MATTER. ON ITEM NO. 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY ABSTAINS FROM THE VOTE ON THE APPOINTMENT TO SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 9. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABSTAINS FROM THE VOTE ON THE APPOINTMENT TO SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 17 AND ALSO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABSTAINS FROM THE APPOINTMENT TO THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS. EXCUSE ME. LET ME GO BACK. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY ABSTAINS FROM THE VOTE ON THE



APPOINTMENT TO SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 9. I BELIEVE I SAID

THAT EARLIER. ON ITEM NO. 7, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER

OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. AND ON ITEM NO. 9, THERE'S A

REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. THE

REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE BEFORE YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR MOLINA MOVES. SUPERVISOR KNABE

SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 8, CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 11

THROUGH 55. ON ITEM NO. 11, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 12, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO DECEMBER 18, 2012. 12. ON ITEM NO. 13, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTES NO ON THIS ITEM AND ALSO THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON ITEM NO. 15, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 16, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO DECEMBER 11, 2012.

SUP. KNABE: 16?

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM NO. 16 TO BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK. AND ON THIS ITEM, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON ITEM NO. 17 AND 18, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM EITHER A MEMBER OR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THESE ITEMS. ON ITEM



NO. 24, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 26, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEMS NO. 30, 31 AND 33, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THESE ITEMS. ON ITEM NO. 46 AND 47, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM EITHER A MEMBER OR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THESE ITEMS. ON ITEM NO. 49 AND 51, THERE'S ALSO A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THESE ITEMS. ON ITEM NO. 52, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NO. 53, SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT SETTLEMENT BE APPROVED TODAY BUT THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 18, 2012. THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE CONSENT CALENDAR ARE BEFORE YOU. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND SUPERVISOR KNABE MOVES AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA SECONDS. IF THERE ARE OBJECTIONS, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 26, ORDINANCES FOR INTRODUCTION,
ITEM NO. 56 AND 57 AND I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE
RECORD. ON ITEM NO. 56, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION
AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 5 -- PERSONNEL, AMENDING THE
PROVISIONS OF THE COUNTY OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SAVINGS
PLAN TO DEFINE LEASED EMPLOYEE WITHOUT INCORPORATING THE
DEFINITION BY REFERENCE TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION



414(N); UPDATE THE METHOD FOR CORRECTION OF EXCESS ANNUAL ADDITIONS MADE ON AND AFTER JULY 1, 2007. ON THIS ITEM, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON ITEM NO. 57, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION AMENDING COUNTY CODE TITLE 6, SALARIES RELATING TO THE PHYSICIAN P PAY SCHEDULES, MANAGEMENT PHYSICIAN E PAY SCHEDULES, DELETING THREE NON-REPRESENTED CLASSIFICATIONS; ADDING, DELETING AND/OR CHANGING CERTAIN CLASSIFICATIONS AND NUMBERS OF ORDINANCE POSITIONS IN VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS. ON THIS ITEM, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THE ITEM BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 11, 2012. AND THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON PAGE 27, DISCUSSION ITEMS, ITEMS 58-61, ON ITEM NO. 58, WE WILL HOLD FOR A DISCUSSION. ON ITEM NO. 59 AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO JANUARY 8, 2013. ON THIS ITEM THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD IT. ON ITEMS NO. 60 AND 61, THE COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT BOTH OF THESE ITEMS BE CONTINUED TO JANUARY 8, 2013. ON PAGE 28, MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, ITEM 62-A. THAT ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR MOLINA MOVES AND SUPERVISOR KNABE SECONDS. IF THERE'S NO DISCUSSION, THEN THAT WILL BE THE ORDER, THANK YOU.



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NO. 4.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR KNABE. THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO CALL UP SOME AMAZING YOUNG PEOPLE. OUR 2012 LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUNIOR GOLF CHAMPIONS ALONG WITH OUR COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND THEIR OPERATIONS TEAM. 2012 MARKED THE SEVENTH YEAR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION HOSTING THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY JUNIOR GOLF CHAMPIONSHIP. WE HAD OVER 1048 JUNIOR GOLFERS COMPETING IN FIVE EVENTS, ONE HELD IN EACH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT WITH 121 OUALIFIERS CONTESTING IN THE CHAMPIONSHIP FINAL THAT WAS HELD THIS YEAR AT THE KNOLLWOOD GOLF COURSE. I'D LIKE TO THANK OUR MAJOR SPONSORS FOR MAKING THIS EVENT POSSIBLE EVERY YEAR, OUR JUNIOR GOLF FOUNDATION, ROGER DUNN GOLF, LEN KENNETT, WHO IS OUR HONORARY STARTER AND LIFETIME JUNIOR GOLF ADVOCATE AND ALL OF OUR GOLF COURSE LESSEES WHO PROVIDE FREE RANGE BALLS AND THE USE OF THESE GOLF COURSES AT NO CHARGE. SO PLEASE JOIN ME AS WE INTRODUCE TO YOU INDIVIDUALLY OUR 2012 JUNIOR GOLF CHAMPIONS. FIRST OF ALL, FIRST OF ALL OUR GIRLS CHAMPION 11



AND UNDER IS BRIANNA PARK FROM ARCADIA. SHE SHOT A FINAL ROUND SCORE OF 37, WHICH IS -- AND A ONE-STROKE VICTORY OVER ONE OTHER PLAYER, THAT'S ONE OVER PAR, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. [APPLAUSE.] NEXT, OUR GIRLS 15-18 CHAMPION IS PATRICIA WONG. [APPLAUSE.] OUR 2012 CHAMPION GIRLS AGES 12-14, IRENE MUMURA. [APPLAUSE.] I MIGHT ADD, I GAVE YOU BRIANNA'S SCORE. IRENE SHOT A FINAL ROUND 72 WITH A ONE STROKE VICTORY OVER THREE OTHER PLAYERS. AND PATRICIA WONG, FROM WALNUT, SHOT A FINAL ROUND SCORE OF 70 AND WON A THREE-HOLE SUDDEN DEATH PLAYOFF WINNER. SO CONGRATULATIONS TO ALL THEM. NEXT, OUR BOYS 16-18 CHAMPION, GREG GONZALES FROM RIVERSIDE WHO HAD A FINAL SCORE OF 67, A ONE STROKE VICTORY. [APPLAUSE.] OUR 12-13-YEAR-OLD CHAMPION IS CHRISTIAN LINGAMEN FROM NORTH HILLS, SHOT A FINAL ROUND SCORE OF 69 IN A THREE STROKE VICTORY. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE A COUPLE OTHER WINNERS THAT COULDN'T BE WITH US TODAY. WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE THEM, AS WELL. WE'LL GET A LITTLE TEAM PHOTO HERE WITH EVERYBODY TOGETHER. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] AT THIS TIME I'D LIKE TO CALL UP KEITH BROWN FROM AMERICAN GOLF, BRIAN BODA, REGIONAL DIRECTOR, SCOTT BUJLA, DIRECTOR OF MAINTENANCE, KEVIN KELLER, GENERAL MANAGER OF DIAMOND BAR GOLF COURSE, T.J. BOHAN, ASSISTANT MANAGER, JENNIFER FLORES, DIRECTOR OF CATERING, AND NIEF IDEAZ AND SIMON ALVARADO ALSO FROM DIAMOND BAR AND JOINING THEM, AS WELL, IS OUR L.A. COUNTY GOLF OPERATIONS TEAM. TODAY WE ARE CELEBRATING THE FACT THAT



DIAMOND BAR GOLF COURSE HAS BEEN NAMED THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME EVER. THE AMERICAN GOLF HAS OPERATED DIAMOND BAR SINCE JANUARY 2000 AND THEY AVERAGE OVER 95,000 ROUNDS OF GOLF PER YEAR. THEY PROVIDE MANY SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY OUT THERE. THEY'RE VERY GENEROUS IN HOSTING TOURNAMENTS FOR SPECIAL LOCAL CHARITIES, ACTING AS A HOME COURSE FOR MANY OF OUR AREA SCHOOLS, HIGH SCHOOL GOLF TEAMS OUT THERE. THEY OFFER ONE OF THE FINEST PRO SHOPS IN OUR COUNTY SYSTEM AS WELL AS HOSTING MANY WEDDINGS, RECEPTIONS AND OTHER SPECIAL EVENTS. THE COURSE MANAGEMENT STAFF HAVE DISPLAYED EXCELLENT AND CONSISTENT PERFORMANCE IN GOLF COURSE OPERATIONS. AND ONCE AGAIN THEY DESERVE AND DESIGNATE AS THE COUNTY'S GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR FOR PROVIDING PUBLIC GOLF, HIGH QUALITY OF MAINTENANCE, OUTSTANDING CUSTOMER SERVICE. SO ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES OUT THERE, WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE DIAMOND BAR GOLF COURSE AS THE GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR. [APPLAUSE.]

KEVIN KELLER: WELL GOOD MORNING AND THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, FOR RECOGNIZING OUR GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR. WE STARTED OUR GOLF COURSE OF THE YEAR PROGRAM IN 1991 AS A WAY TO STIMULATE KIND OF A FRIENDLY COMPETITION AMONGST OUR OPERATORS. AND THE PROGRAM, IT'S DONE JUST THAT. I BELIEVE THAT IT'S BECOME A PRESTIGIOUS EVENT TO WIN THE AWARD, AND IT HAS STIMULATED COMPETITION. WE ACTUALLY EVALUATE THE COURSES ON OVERALL



MAINTENANCE CONDITIONS, THINGS INCLUDING CUSTOMER SERVICE,

COURSE CONDITIONS, AS WELL. NOW, THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT

DIAMOND BAR GOLF COURSE HAS WON THE AWARD. AND I'M VERY PROUD

TO SAY CONGRATULATIONS TO ALL OF YOU AND THE TEAM. IT'S REALLY -
THE COURSE IS IN GREAT CONDITION, SO THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR KNABE. WE'LL NOW HEAR PRESENTATIONS FROM SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S MY PLEASURE TO ONCE AGAIN BRING GORDON GRAY WHO SERVED ON OUR COMMISSION ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES FOR THE PAST 20 YEARS, TWO DECADES. HE'S A RESIDENT OF GLENDALE AND HE HAD BEEN A MANAGING DIRECTOR OF MARSH MCLENNAN, INC., FOR 21 YEARS, AFTER WHICH HE BECAME PARTNER OF DAVID MARKS COMPANY. HE RECEIVED HIS BACHELOR'S DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND THEN TRAINED AS A CHARTERED PROPERTY CASUALTY UNDERWRITER AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES. HE'S BEEN A MEMBER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ALUMNI ASSOCIATION FOR THE PAST 54 YEARS AND A MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE ORIENTATION CONFERENCE ASSOCIATION. AND HE'S HERE WITH HIS WIFE PATRICIA.

CONGRATULATIONS FOR THE 20 YEARS OF SERVICE THAT YOU PROVIDED. [APPLAUSE.]



GORDON GRAY: I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE PRIVILEGE OF SERVING ON THIS VERY IMPORTANT COMMISSION FOR ALL THESE MANY YEARS. WE ACCOMPLISHED A LOT. AND THANKS TO MY BEAUTIFUL WIFE, PATRICIA, WHO ASSISTED ME ON A SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT, WHY IT, IT WORKED OUT FINE. WITHOUT HER, IT NEVER WOULD HAVE HAPPENED. THANK YOU.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE HAVE A 12 MONTH OLD TERRIER MIX. LOOKS LIKE A LITTLE DOXY GOT IN THE TERRIER MIX. THIS IS CARA, SHE'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. AND YOU CAN CALL 562-728-4644. AND THIS WILL BE LITTLE SNOWFLAKE FOR THE HOLIDAY SEASON, FOR CHRISTMAS AND HANUKKAH, OKAY? ANYBODY LIKE TO ADOPT THIS LITTLE BABY? 562-728-4610. 728-4610 AREA CODE 562.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, ANY PRESENTATIONS? SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THEN MAY I TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE TWO PRESENTATIONS. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO ACKNOWLEDGE PLURIA MARSHALL, PUBLISHER AND C.E.O. OF "THE LOS ANGELES WAVE" NEWSPAPER GROUP. YOU WILL WANT TO KNOW THAT THE LOS ANGELES WAVE NEWSPAPER GROUP HAS TRANSFORMED AND REINVENTED



ITSELF FOR OVER A PERIOD OF NOW 100 YEARS TO REMAIN STANDING AS ONE OF THE HIGHEST REGARDED LOCAL NAMES, NAMES IN LOCAL NEWS. SINCE 1912, THE LOS ANGELES WAVE HAS REPRESENTED OVER 50 MASTHEADS AND CURRENTLY PRODUCES NINE WEEKLY EDITIONS, COVERING NEWS THROUGHOUT A WIDE PORTION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THE CURRENT CONFIGURATION OF THE GROUP CAN BE TRACED BACK TO 1966 WHEN PITTSBURG JOURNALIST AND STENOGRAPHER CHESTER WASHINGTON PURCHASED "THE CENTRAL NEWS" AND "THE SOUTHEAST NEWS, TWO-WEEKLIES BASED IN SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES. WASHINGTON WENT ON TO CREATE A 13-NEWSPAPER CHAIN THAT BECAME THE CENTRAL NEWS WAVE GROUP. CHESTER WASHINGTON'S INFLUENCE DID NOT JUST IMPACT THE JOURNALISTIC WORLD BUT THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE. IN 1982, SUPERVISOR KENNETH HAHN RECOGNIZED HIS LONG-STANDING SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY AND RENAMED THE WESTERN AVENUE GOLF COURSE AFTER CHESTER WASHINGTON. IN THE 1990S, THE PAPER MERGED WITH A NEWSPAPER GROUP BASED IN MONTEBELLO PUBLISHED BY COMMUNITY MEDIA ENTERPRISE, WHICH HAD BEEN COMPRISED OF A SMALLER GROUP OF NEWSPAPERS, AS WELL, COMMUNITY MEDIA ENTERPRISES, RENAMED ITS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA OPERATIONS TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY NEWSPAPERS AND WAS RESCUED FROM FINANCIAL DIFFICULTY BY ENTERING INTO JOINT OPERATION AGREEMENT WITH CENTRAL NEWS WAVE GROUP. ITS HISTORY IS REALLY RATHER IMPORTANT. IN 2000, THE BEGINNING OF A NEW CENTURY, PLURIA MARSHALL PURCHASED THE WAVE SHORTLY AFTER ASSUMING THE ROLE AS CHAIR OF THE BOARD AND CURRENTLY SERVES AS PUBLISHER



AND C.E.O. OF THAT NEWS ORGANIZATION. AS A RESULT OF MERGERS AND EXPANSIONS, THE WAVE EMPIRE HAS GROWN TO COVER THE REGION EXTENDING FROM HOLLYWOOD HILLS TO CARSON, FROM WHITTIER TO CULVER CITY. THE WAVE CURRENTLY BOASTS OF A READERSHIP OF 1.2 MILLION THROUGHOUT THE REGION AND HOLDS THE DISTINCTION OF BEING THE LARGEST GROUP OF COMMUNITY NEWSPAPERS IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA; THAT IS THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AREA. AND SO I AM PLEASED TO JOIN WITH ALL OF YOU THIS MORNING ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD TO CONGRATULATE PLURIA MARSHALL AND THE LOS ANGELES WAVE NEWSPAPER GROUP ON A CENTURY OF QUALITY LOCAL NEWS COVERAGE AND REPORTING. AND I WISH TO EXTEND, ON BEHALF OF THE ENTIRE BOARD, THIS FIVE-LETTER SIGNATURE SCROLL, OUR VERY BEST WISHES FOR THE CONTINUED SERVICE AND WORK OF THE WAVE NEWSPAPER GROUP. PLURIA, CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.]

PLURIA MARSHALL: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN RIDLEY-THOMAS, I
APPRECIATE THE RECOGNITION. ON BEHALF OF THE LOS ANGELES WAVE
STAFF, I AM HUMBLED BY THIS AWARD FOR OUR GROUP. THANK YOU,
COUNTY SUPERVISORS, FOR RECOGNIZING US. AND THANK YOU. WHEN I
CAME TO LOS ANGELES ACTUALLY IN THE LATE '90S, YOU HAVE ALWAYS
BEEN A FRIEND. YOU HAVE ALWAYS BEEN OPEN. WE AGREE MOST OF THE
TIME, BUT OCCASIONALLY WE HAVE A DISAGREEMENT. BUT IT'S ALWAYS
IN THE SPIRIT OF THE COMMUNITY. AND ANDELEA STEWART AS YOU
SAID, WE'VE BEEN AROUND FOR 100 YEARS, I'M SURE WE'LL BE HERE
FOR ANOTHER 100. AND CONTRARY TO POPULAR BELIEF, NEWSPAPERS



ARE NOT GOING ANYWHERE. THEY WILL BE HERE FOR QUITE SOME TIME.

AND QUITE FRANKLY, AS THE SUPERVISORS KNOW IN THEIR VARIOUS

DISTRICTS, THE COMMUNITY PAPERS ARE WHO COVER YOU WITH A TRULY

FAIR AND BALANCED POINT OF VIEW. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I

APPRECIATE IT. AND ONCE AGAIN, CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU UPON

YOUR CHAIRMANSHIP. [APPLAUSE.]

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALWAYS APPRECIATE PLURIA'S CANDOR. AND HE IS CORRECT. WE DON'T OFTEN -- WE DON'T ALWAYS AGREE. BUT THAT'S ONLY BECAUSE HE IS INCORRECT WHEN HE DOESN'T AGREE WITH ME. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NEVER LEAVE THE MICROPHONE AND HAVE THE LAST WORD FOR A SUPERVISOR. WE'RE HERE FOR THE NATIONAL PEARL HARBOR REMEMBRANCE DAY PRESENTATION AND WE'RE DELIGHTED TO HAVE RUBEN TREJO JOIN US, A RETIRED ARMY FIRST SERGEANT AND VETERANS CLAIM ASSISTANT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS. AND SO TODAY WE PAUSE TO REMEMBER THE COURAGEOUS INDIVIDUALS WHO LOST THEIR LIVES AS A RESULT ON DECEMBER 7, 1941, AND WE TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO REMEMBER IT BECAUSE OF ITS HISTORIC IMPORT AND HOW IT DEFINED THE WAY IN WHICH WE CELEBRATE VALOR. AND WE DO IT WITH RESPECT. WE DO IT WITH HONOR. WE DO WITH IT THE KIND OF RECOLLECTION THAT SAYS THAT PROCLAIMING DECEMBER 7 AS NATIONAL PEARL HARBOR REMEMBRANCE THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND TO ENCOURAGE ALL WHO LIVE AND WORK IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PARTICIPATE IN CEREMONIES HONORING AMERICANS WHO SERVED AT



PEARL HARBOR. AND SO WITH THAT, WE DO THIS WITH UTTER RESPECT.

AND, RUBEN, THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE AND ACCEPTING THIS

PROCLAMATION. [APPLAUSE.]

RUBEN TREJO: I REALIZE I'M NOT AS OLD AS I SHOULD BE FOR A PEARL HARBOR SURVIVOR. I WAS BORN AFTER THE WAR. BUT I'M NOT A BOOMER, OKAY. I HAD A PEARL HARBOR SURVIVOR SCHEDULED TO BE HERE WITH ME TO ACCEPT THE PLAQUE, BUT HE CALLED ME SUNDAY AND HE WAS ILL. SO HE COULDN'T MAKE IT. SO HE ASKED ME IF I WOULD BRING IT BY HIS HOUSE. SO IMMEDIATELY I TOLD HIM NO. I MEAN, IF HE CAN'T MAKE IT HERE, HE CAN'T MAKE IT HERE, SO HE AIN'T GETTING IT. NO, IT'S MINE. NO I'M TAKING THIS DOWN TO MILITARY AND VETERAN AFFAIRS WHERE THEY WILL TAKE IT OUT OF THE CARDBOARD PACKET AND PUT IT IN A FRAME TO PRESENT TO HIM LATER. HE'S, WHAT? 89 YEARS OLD NOW, I BELIEVE IT IS. A YOUNGSTER STILL. HE'S MY UNCLE. AND SO WE DO HONOR THEM AND THE FAMILY. MY FATHER WAS A WORLD WAR II VETERAN, ALSO. AND HE'S FROM MONROVIA, CALIFORNIA. MY GRANDFATHER IS A VETERAN, ALSO. AND HE'S FROM SAN GABRIEL, CALIFORNIA. AND I JOINED THE MILITARY THE SAME WAY A LOT OF US DID, THE SAME WAY RICHARD DID, THE FIRST PLEDGE VETERAN, WE WERE DRAFTED. AND THEY SENT US A LETTER AND SAID "GREETINGS, WE HAVE A BETTER JOB FOR YOU. " AND SO I SAID OKAY. I WAS A CUSTODIAN IN A NATIONAL DEPARTMENT STORE AT THE TIME. SO I GUESS IT WAS A BETTER JOB. I STAYED IN THE ARMY FOR 23 YEARS. AND AS THE PROCLAMATION



READS, FOR ME, I RETIRED A FIRST SERGEANT FROM THE ARMY. SO I
GUESS IT WAS GOOD TO ME AFTER THEY DRAFTED ME. SO THEY DID
OFFER ME A GOOD JOB. SO ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
MILITARY AND VETERAN AFFAIRS, I HUMBLY ACCEPT THIS CERTIFICATE
FOR THE PEARL HARBOR SURVIVORS. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET'S PROCEED TO THOSE ITEMS THAT WE DEFINE AS CONSENT. WE HAVE THREE PERSONS OR FOUR PERSONS WISHING TO BE HEARD ON THOSE ITEMS. IF YOU WOULD BEGIN TO COME FORWARD NOW. GEORGE RHEAULT. ERIC PREVEN. ARNOLD SACHS AND GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. IF THE FOUR OF YOU WOULD PLEASE COME FORWARD NOW, WE COULD EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THESE ITEMS. WE'RE GOVERNED BY BOARD RULES 37 AND 38. WE ARE GOVERNED BY THE THREE-MINUTE RULE AND WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION. SIR, PLEASE PROCEED.

GEORGE RHEAULT: YES, MY NAME IS GEORGE RHEAULT, I'M SPEAKING
ON ITEM 7. WHEN I FIRST TOOK A LOOK AT THE AGENDA, IT STRUCK
ME AS A LITTLE BIT INCORRECT OR CONFUSING BECAUSE IT APPEARS
THAT THIS ONE WOULD THINK WE'D BE ADDRESSING 2012 BECAUSE
THAT'S THE HOLIDAY SEASON SHORTLY UPON US. BUT APPARENTLY THE
2013 CALENDAR IS BEING SET NOW, WHICH IS ALSO A LITTLE BIT
ODD. SO FOR ME IT JUST MADE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO JUST NOTE
NOW WITH THE ONSET OF MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS'S TENURE ABOUT HOW
CONFUSING THE COUNTY IS TO MANY CITIZENS AND HOW MUCH OF WHAT



YOU DO AND ALL OF THE ASSEMBLED EMPLOYEES HERE REALLY SHOULD DOUBLE DOWN ON THE EFFORT TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ABOUT WHY YOU DO WHAT YOU DO AND WHY EACH OF THESE AGENDA ITEMS ARE WHAT THEY ARE. AND AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLAIN TO THE PUBLIC, IF POSSIBLE, EVEN IF IT CREATES A LITTLE EXTRA PAPER, WHAT IT IS THAT YOU'RE DOING AND WHY YOU'RE DOING IT SO THAT YOU CAN REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF CONFUSION AND FOCUS ON THE SUBSTANCE. AND THAT TO ME WAS A GREAT EXAMPLE, SORT OF A CANARY IN THE COAL MINE, IF YOU WILL, OF AN ITEM THAT JUST SIMPLY DIDN'T LOOK CORRECT. ALTHOUGH I BELIEVE IT IS CORRECT. I BELIEVE YOU ARE PLANNING ON CANCELING TUESDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2013 AND TUESDAY DECEMBER 31, 2013 MEETINGS. I BELIEVE YOU'RE ALSO CANCELING THE LAST TWO MEETINGS OF THIS YEAR, AS WELL, BECAUSE THEY FALL ON CHRISTMAS DAY AND NEW YEAR'S DAY. BUT, YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST A GREAT EXAMPLE OF WHEN THE EFFORT IS MADE TO BE OPEN AND CLEAR AND WHEN YOU TAKE YOUR EFFORT TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC SERIOUSLY, GOOD THINGS CAN HAPPEN. AND I'M ACTUALLY INCREDIBLY ENCOURAGED BY YOUR REMARKS AT THE BEGINNING, SUPERVISOR THOMAS, RIDLEY-THOMAS, ABOUT ALLOWING PUBLIC COMMENT TO BE EXPANDED TO SOME EXTENT. AND MAKING IT CLEAR THAT ITEMS UNDER VARIOUS CATEGORIES ARE GOING TO BE EXPANDED IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT OF PUBLIC COMMENT THAT YOU'RE ALLOWING. AND THAT'S A DIRECT CHANGE FROM YOUR PREDECESSOR, WHO ACTUALLY WENT OUT OF HIS WAY IN A VERY PUBLIC WAY TO REDUCE PUBLIC COMMENT, TO REDUCE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. AND IN THE WORDS OF MARTY BEAGLE,



THE GREAT FAIRFAX HIGH TEACHER, I THINK THAT WOULD HAVE LET HIM DOWN TO KNOW THAT ONE OF HIS CHARGES WAS TRYING TO DO THAT UNDER THE GUISE OF BEING SOME KIND OF A FREE SPEECH ADVOCATE. THE PUBLIC REALLY NEEDS TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE MEETINGS AND THEY REALLY NEED TO PARTICIPATE AND UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON HERE. AND WE NEED TO ENCOURAGE THAT PARTICIPATION AND NOT MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.
NEXT SPEAKER IS ERIC PREVEN.

ERIC PREVEN: YES. MY NAME IS ERIC PREVEN AND I AM THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. AND I WOULD CONCUR. IT IS ENCOURAGING THAT MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS HAS MADE SOME SUBTLE REVISIONS THAT MAY OPEN THE WINDOW DOWN HERE AT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO FURTHER PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND SCRUTINY. ITEM 24, IT MUST BE CALLED OUT QUICKLY, H.M.A., HEALTH MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, IS A COMPANY THAT IS MIRED NOW IN SCANDAL, IF ANYBODY SAW THE 60 MINUTES. THIS IS A GROUP THAT IS SETTING QUOTAS FOR PATIENTS THAT SHOULD BE FILLING HOSPITAL BEDS. WE ARE ENGAGING THEM HERE FOR AN EXTRA 200 AND PLUS THOUSAND DOLLARS TO DO MORE CONSULTING WHEREBY IT'S MAINLY THEM FLYING IN FROM THE SOUTHEAST TO CONSULT ON HOW WE CAN TUNE OUR HOSPITALS UP. MISS MOLINA, I URGE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT ONE AND LET'S ROLL THAT AWAY, NOT FORWARD. ITEM NO. 52 IS --



53, SORRY, IS A PAINFUL HEARTBREAKER UNDER THE CATEGORY OF HOSPITALS, IN WHICH A THREE MONTH OLD HAD SUSTAINED SOME \$3 MILLION WORTH OF INJURIES WE'VE SETTLED IN A LAWSUIT. AND I JUST WANT TO REMIND THAT AS WE RECONCEIVE THE COUNTY HERE IN TERMS OF AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, THAT WE MUST BE VERY CAREFUL. AND THE MEETING THAT WE HAVE CANCELED AND REPLACED WITH A NEW MEETING ABOUT DELAYS IN OUR HOSPITALS MUST BE CAREFULLY MONITORED BY THIS TEAM SO THAT WE CAN REDUCE THOSE ETERNAL DELAYS THAT WE ALL KNOW ABOUT. AND I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT. ITEM NO -- WELL, REGARDING THE JAILS, WE HAVE SEVERAL ITEMS. THERE'S S-2, WHICH I ASSUME WILL BE CALLED AT ONE IF NOT SINCE IT HAS BEEN CONTINUED. WE HAVE THREE ITEMS THAT DEAL WITH COUNTY RISK AND LITIGATION. THESE ARE OF COURSE LUMPED TOGETHER. AND ITEM 51 IS ANOTHER SETTLEMENT IN WHICH WE CAME UP WITH \$250,000 IN LEGAL FEES AND THEN FINALLY SETTLED FOR 350,000. THAT CALCULUS, THAT MATH, MR. KRATTLI, DOES NOT WORK FOR US. WE NEED TO FIND A WAY TO STOP THE WRONGDOING SO THAT THESE LAWSUITS DON'T PILEUP. AND OF COURSE THESE RISK REPORTS ARE SIX MONTHS LATE AS FAR AS I CAN TELL BECAUSE IT DOES NOT TAKE SIX MONTHS TO GATHER THE DATA. ITEM NO. 30 IS BEACHES AND HARBORS GROUP THAT IS MANAGED BY SANTOS KREIMANN, IS ENGAGING IN YET ANOTHER SOLE-SOURCE AGREEMENT WITH THE FORD MOTOR COMPANY. NOBODY HAS ANYTHING BAD TO SAY ABOUT FORD BECAUSE WE ALL LOVE FORD, BUT WE ALSO LOVE TOYOTA, WHO PROVIDED THE TUNDRA THAT TOWED THE SPACE SHUTTLE, AS YOU RECALL, AND NISSAN



AND MANY OTHER COMPETITORS. BUT HERE IN L.A. COUNTY, WE HAVE HAD A HARD TIME TO GET THE COMPETITIVE BIDDING GOING AS WE SAW WITH THE GOLF COURSES, AS WE'VE SEEN WITH RENTAL CAR AGREEMENTS, AND NOW HERE AGAIN WITH PROVIDING NICE CARS, FORD ESCAPES, THAT ARE QUITE NICE, BUT THE PROBLEM GUYS IS, SANTOS KREIMANN HAS NOT TAKEN HIS ETHICS COMPLIANCE, SO HE CAN'T QUITE SEE, HE HAS A BLIND SPOT THAT 80 CARS PURCHASED BY STAFF IS NOT A BENEFIT TO THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES. IT'S A BENEFIT TO THE PEOPLE WHO WORK IN THAT DEPARTMENT. BUT THAT'S THE WRONG KIND OF BENEFIT THAT WE'RE AFTER. ITEM NO. 17, COINCIDENTLY IS THE HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT IS ENGAGING A GROUP CALLED PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS INCORPORATED. IT'S A SOLE-SOURCE AGREEMENT AGAIN. I'LL MAKE IT IN 10 SECONDS, SIR, I PROMISE.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MR. PREVEN, YOU HAVE EXHAUSTED YOUR TIME.

ERIC PREVEN: WOULD YOU LET ME FINISH MY SENTENCE.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: YOU HAVE JUST FINISHED YOUR

SENTENCE AND YOU'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD AGAIN. WE

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. MR. SACHS.

ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU, GOOD MORNING. AND CONGRATULATIONS TO



YOUR ASCENSION TO THE THRONE. LET ME START. I HAVE A FEW ITEMS THAT I'VE HELD. I HELD ITEM 1-D, AND BASICALLY I'M GOING TO REFER TO PART OF YOUR OPENING COMMENTS. ACTIVITIES NOT DEFINED AS A PROJECT UNDER C.E.Q.A. AND ITEM 9 A CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE CODES WHICH ARE VERY MUCH SIMILAR BECAUSE IN YOUR OPENING REMARKS YOU MENTIONED THE THREE-MINUTE RULE. AND I APPRECIATE JUST LIKE THE PREVIOUS SPEAKERS EXTENDING THE AMOUNT OF TIME FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. BUT THAT'S JUST ALLOWING US THE OPPORTUNITY THAT WE ALWAYS HAD BEFORE PRIOR TO THE PREVIOUS CHAIRPERSON. BUT YOU MENTIONED RULES THAT HAD BEEN ADOPTED FOR THE THREE-MINUTE TIME FRAME FOR CONSENT CALENDARS. AND I SHOULD POINT OUT TO YOU THAT THE RULES WERE PRESENTED IN THESE COUNTY CHAMBERS LAST JANUARY BUT NEVER VOTED ON. SO THERE ARE REALLY NO RULES FOR THE CONSENT CALENDAR EXCEPT WHAT YOU SAY. SO WHEN YOU SAY YOU REFER TO THESE ITEMS, AND NUMBERS AND THIS AND THAT, COUNTY COUNSEL SHOULD CHECK. AND YOU COULD DOUBLE-CHECK TO SEE THAT THE RULES WERE NEVER VOTED ON. SO THAT ASKS ME, WELL HOW CAN YOU DEFINE PROJECTS WHEN YOU DON'T VOTE ON RULES? AND A CONFLICT OF INTEREST? JUST IN HERE IS AN EXAMPLE, ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT INCLUDED, YOU HAVE SOME AIRPORTS. THERE'S A CONFLICT GOING ON IN L.A. RIGHT NOW. THE CITY WANTS TO RAISE SALES TAX HALF A CENT, BUT THEY'RE ALSO IN DISCUSSIONS REGARDING THE SALE OF ONTARIO AIRPORT, WITH THE MONEY TO GO TO L.A.X. BUT THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY AND ACCORDING TO THE ITEMS IN THEIR ARCHIVES,



THEY ARE -- THEY HAVE RECEIVED THE GRANT OF THE PROPERTY FROM ONTARIO AIRPORT. SO IF THE CITY OF ONTARIO -- CITY OF L.A. RECEIVED THE GRANT OF THE AIRPORT, WHY ISN'T SOMEBODY FROM THE COUNTY STEPPING UP SAYING WE'RE RESIDENTS. CONFLICT OF INTEREST HERE. YEAH, BUT IT'S REGARDING THE AIRPORT. \$250 MILLION. SO I'M WONDERING WHEN SOMEBODY IS GOING TO STEP UP AND SAY WE NEED TO HAVE THIS CLARIFIED WHETHER THE CITY BOUGHT THE AIRPORT OR L.A.X. BOUGHT THE AIRPORT. BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING \$250 MILLION. YOU HAVE HERE ITEM 15 REGARDING DEFINING EMPLOYEES. SO I'M WONDERING FOR THE HEALTHCARE, THE \$22 BILLION MANDATE THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA MENTIONED, THE UNFUNDED MANDATE. WHEN IS THAT GOING TO BE CONSIDERED? MEASURE J LOST, BY THE WAY, THAT'S ITEM 18. WHERE IS THE REST OF THE MONEY GOING TO COME FROM? ITEM 26 REFERS TO A SALES TAX REALIGNMENT REVENUE. SUPPOSE THE SAME THING THAT HAPPENED WITH MEASURE R AND METRO WHERE THEY NOTE ON THEIR 2010-2011 BUDGET THAT THE SALES TAX HASN'T CAUGHT UP WITH THE OTHER FUNDS. WHAT HAPPENS HERE? I'M SORRY. I HAVE SOME OTHER ITEMS BUT I GUESS I'VE RUN OUT OF TIME. AGAIN CONGRATULATIONS AND THANKS FOR THE THIRD MINUTE.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. I THINK IT'S

IMPORTANT TO CLARIFY JUST A COUPLE OF THINGS. AND I'M GOING TO

ASK COUNTY COUNSEL TO DO SO AFTER YOU HAVE SPOKEN. PLEASE

PROCEED.



DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: WELL LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION BEFORE
YOU CLARIFY IT. BECAUSE 58 COME UNDER A HELD ITEM FOR
DISCUSSION. SO I WOULD BE ALLOWED TO COME BACK AND SPEAK ON
58?

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: CORRECT.

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. THANK YOU, SIR. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. ON ITEM S-1, I'M SORRY, 1. EVERY TIME I COMPLAIN ABOUT THE H.I.V. COMMISSION APPOINTING PEOPLE WHO ARE EITHER H.I.V. POSITIVE OR PROVIDING CARE TO PEOPLE WHO ARE H.I.V. POSITIVE, THAT'S A BIG NO-NO. AND EVENTUALLY YOU WILL BE CAUGHT. BUT JUST SAYING FOR THE RECORD. ON ITEM 7, YOU KNOW, I'M SURPRISED THAT IN A HELD ITEM, YOU DIDN'T EVEN MAKE THE CORRECTION THEN. YOU STILL HAVE THE WRONG DATE. I MEAN, TO ME IT IS APPALLING. ON ITEM 7, YOU STILL HAVE TO CANCEL THE BOARD MEETING ON DECEMBER 24, 2013 AND TUESDAY 31. ACTUALLY THOSE ARE NOT TUESDAYS, THEY ARE MONDAYS. AND THAT YOU ALLOW THAT MISTAKE TO REPEAT ITSELF OR YOU ARE GOING TO MEET ON MONDAY NOW? AND YOU SAID TUESDAY. IT'S WRONG. IT SHOWS A LACK OF CARING.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S NEXT YEAR.



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: HOLD ON.

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT'S APPALLING.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: JUST A MOMENT. I'M GOING TO HAVE
THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE CLARIFY THE MATTER SO THAT EVERYONE IS
ESSENTIALLY UNDER THE CORRECT UNDERSTANDING. MISS HAMAI?

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE CANCELLATIONS

ARE FOR THE BOARD MEETINGS OF NEXT YEAR, 2013. AND THEY ARE

THE CORRECT DATES, THE 24TH AND THE 31ST. IF YOU'D LIKE TO SEE

A CALENDAR, IT IS FOR 2013, AND I CAN SHOW IT TO YOU.

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: SO I GUESS WE ARE REALLY PROACTIVE ON THIS ONE, OKAY. SORRY ABOUT THAT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WE ACCEPT YOUR APOLOGY. PLEASE PROCEED.

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I LOOKED AT THE ORIGINAL ONE. I KNOW THE ORIGINAL ONE WAS TOTALLY WRONG.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE ACCEPT YOUR APOLOGY.



DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ON ITEM 17, AGAIN MY SAME FEELING
ABOUT SOLE-VENDOR CONTRACT AND ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU COME TO
THIS KIND OF BUSINESS, THERE IS HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE
PROVIDING THOSE KIND OF SERVICES IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
AND TO HAVE A SOLE-VENDOR CONTRACT ASININE AS USUAL. ON ITEM
24, ON HEALTH MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATE, THEY HAVE A TERRIBLE
RECORD. I DON'T KNOW WHY WE ARE USING THEM. AND AGAIN WE ARE
INCREASING THEIR CONTRACT. SO THAT'S PRETTY SCARY. AND I WOULD
LIKE TO SEE THEIR WORK PRODUCT THAT THEY HAVE DONE SINCE WE
HAVE BEEN HIRING THEM. THEY HAD POOR RECORD ON OTHER PLACES.
AND I THINK IT WOULD BE TIME THAT WE DON'T USE THEM AGAIN,
ESPECIALLY AGAIN THEY WERE SOLE- VENDOR ALSO. AND THAT'S SAD
THAT L.A. COUNTY WE CAN ONLY FIND ONE BUSINESS WHO CAN PROVIDE

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

THAT CLOSES THE COMMENTS THAT WERE LISTED BY WAY OF REQUESTS.

MR. KRATTLI, IF YOU WOULD CLARIFY JUST A COUPLE MATTERS WITH

RESPECT TO HOW WE ARE PROCEEDING, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES

TO ANY BOARD DECISION THAT DID OR DID NOT TAKE PLACE WITH

REFERENCE TO BOARD RULE 37 AND 38.

JOHN KRATTLI, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
THE RULES OF THE BOARD PROVIDE THAT, AND I'M IN PARTICULAR
SPEAKING OF SECTION 37 RIGHT NOW, "THAT A PERSON REQUESTING TO



ADDRESS THE BOARD WILL BE ALLOWED A TOTAL OF THREE MINUTES PER MEETING TO ADDRESS AGENDA ITEMS." AND THEN RULE 39 HAS A COMPARABLE PROVISION THAT PROVIDES THAT "MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON PUBLIC COMMENT FOR A TOTAL OF THREE MINUTES." AND THEN, FURTHER, RULE 38 PROVIDES THAT THE "CHAIR OF THE BOARD MAY, IN THE INTEREST OF FACILITATING THE BUSINESS OF THE BOARD, ADJUST THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT A PERSON MAY HAVE IN ADDRESSING THE BOARD." SO THE RULES OF THE BOARD PROVIDE THAT INDIVIDUALS CAN HAVE A TOTAL OF THREE MINUTES FOR POSTED AGENDA ITEMS AND A TOTAL OF THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. KRATTLI. LET ME SIMPLY SUGGEST THAT THOSE ARE THE ADOPTED RULES OF THE BOARD. ANY REFERENCE TO A CLAIM THAT THE RULES AND THE LIKE WERE NOT VOTED ON, THAT'S SIMPLY NOT CORRECT. THESE ARE THE ADOPTED RULES OF THE BOARD, ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD. AND THESE ARE THE RULES BY WHICH WE ARE GOVERNED. PLEASE MAKE NOTE OF THE FACT THAT THERE IS A POINT OF DISCRETION THAT THE CHAIR IS AFFORDED. AND IT IS IN THE CONTEXT OF THOSE RULES, ADOPTED RULES, THAT SUCH IS DONE. WE WILL BE GOVERNED BY A THREE-MINUTE RULE, AS I INDICATED EARLIER, IN EACH OF THE AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN DELINEATED. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WHAT IS NOW BEFORE US?



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ITEMS BEFORE YOU. AND I WILL CALL THE NUMBERS FOR YOU. ON ITEM S-2, THIS ITEM WILL BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 11. ITEM NOS. 1-D, 1, 7, 9, 11, ON ITEM NO. 13, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WILL VOTE NO ON THIS ITEM, ITEM NO. 15, ON ITEM NO. 16 IT WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK, 17, 18, 24, 26, 31, 33, 46, 47, 49, 51. ON ITEM NO. 52, SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S RELEASING HER HOLD SO THAT ITEM WILL BE BEFORE YOU. ITEM NO. 56 AND ITEM -- I'M SORRY. ON ITEM NO. 52, ALTHOUGH SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS RELEASING HER HOLD, SHE WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR TWO WEEKS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: IT IS BIFURCATED.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BUT THE SETTLEMENT WILL BE APPROVED TODAY.

ITEM NO. 56 WILL BE BEFORE YOU. AND ITEM NO. 57 WILL BE

CONTINUED TO -- CONTINUED ONE WEEK, AS WELL. SO THOSE ITEMS

ARE BEFORE YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOVES. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH SECOND. IF THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONS, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 13, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH VOTED NO, SO IF WE COULD TAKE THAT ONE UP SEPARATELY.



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. IT IS BEFORE US.

SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOVES. SUPERVISOR MOLINA SECONDS. THERE

ARE NO OBJECTIONS, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GREAT, THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: PARDON ME. WE BEGIN WITH THE FOURTH DISTRICT FOR ANY ADJOURNING MOTIONS. SUPERVISOR KNABE?

SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I HAVE SEVERAL ADJOURNMENTS. LET ME BEGIN WITH VETERAN U.S. COAST GUARD CHIEF PETTY OFFICER TERRELL HORNE III, AND HE'S A REDONDO BEACH RESIDENT WHO WAS TRAGICALLY KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY SUNDAY AFTERNOON AFTER SUSPECTED SMUGGLERS RAMMED HIS VESSEL NEAR SANTA CRUZ ISLAND, CAST HIM INTO THE OCEAN WITH A FATAL HEAD WOUND. HE WAS 34 YEARS OLD, MARRIED, YOUNG CHILD, CHILD ON THE WAY. HE WAS STATIONED ON THE HALIBUT IN MARINA DEL REY. HE ARRIVED IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAST SUMMER AFTER SERVING FOR TWO YEARS AS AN EXECUTIVE PETTY OFFICER IN THE EMERALD ISLE OF NORTH CAROLINA. THERE HE RECEIVED A COAST GUARD COMMENDATION MEDAL FOR HIS LEADERSHIP IN 63 SEARCH AND RESCUE CASES IN WHICH 38 LIVES WERE SAVED. CHIEF PETTY OFFICER HORNE IS SURVIVED BY HIS EXPECTANT WIFE AND ONE CHILD. AND I KNOW THAT WE AS A BOARD WOULD LIKE TO OFFER OUR CONDOLENCES TO THE HORNE FAMILY AND HIS FAMILY AT THE COAST GUARD AND WOULD



ASK FOR ALL MEMBERS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SALVATORE CIARAMITARO, WHO PASSED AWAY SUDDENLY AT THE AGE OF 23. BORN IN SICILY, MOVED TO THE U.S. IN '55. HE WAS ALWAYS WILLING TO HELP ANYONE COMPLETE A TASK TO PERFECTION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE GRACE, CHILDREN MARY, DONNY, GERALYN AND ANNA, SEVEN GRANDCHILDREN AND MANY OTHER FAMILY, FRIENDS AND MEMBERS. HE WAS A VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE ITALIAN-AMERICAN CLUB AS WELL AS ST. PETERS SOCIETY AND ALWAYS BELIEVED IN LIVING HIS LIFE TO LOVE, PRIDE AND DEDICATION. HIS SON-IN-LAW IS JOE BUSCIANO, COUNCIL MEMBER FROM THE 15TH DISTRICT. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF HAL LIETZAU, A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 94. HE WAS ACTUALLY VERY FAMOUS. HE DESIGNED THE PATTERN FOR THE LIBERTY BELL IN KNOTTS BERRY FARM IN BUENA PARK. HE SERVED AT LA HABRA HEIGHTS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR 15 YEARS AND WAS PAST PRESIDENT OF THE RIO HONDO KIWANIS, PAST PRESIDENT OF AMERICAN FOUNDRYMAN'S ASSOCIATION, LA HABRA HEIGHTS LOS COMPADRES MEN'S CLUB. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, JEAN GOOD, WHO WAS THE FIRST MAYOR OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS. ONE SON, FOUR DAUGHTERS, 8 GRANDCHILDREN AND SIX GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. AND ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ROY OKIMOTO, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 84. WHEN ROY WAS YOUNGER HE ATTENDED AUTOMOTIVE SCHOOL AND MOVED TO BELLFLOWER. THEN HE WENT ON TO BUILD SUN WAY AUTOMOTIVE AND BELLFLOWER AUTO ELECTRIC PARTS. HE WAS VERY INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY AND THEN ROY STARTED HIS OWN PRESENT



BUSINESS OKIMOTO'S AUTOMOTIVE CENTER IN NORWALK. HE IS

SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MISAKI; CHILDREN, ROY, JR., RONALD,

RUSSELL, JACQUELINE, MARSHA, RODNEY; BROTHER, GEORGE; SISTERS,

YUKI AND KAZI; AND FOUR GRANDCHILDREN. THOSE ARE MY

ADJOURNMENTS, MR. CHAIR.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ANY ADJOURNING MOTIONS?

SUP. ANTONOVICH: FIRST I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SETH "BILL" CHASE, WHO PASSED AWAY THIS PAST WEEK. BILL WAS A COMMUNITY LEADER IN GLENDALE IN THE PLUMBING INDUSTRY. HE WAS A PERSONAL FRIEND, WE WORSHIPPED AT THE SAME CHURCH. HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE. HE SERVED AS THE COFOUNDER OF THE THE MUIR-CHASE PLUMBING OF GLENDALE. SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, BARBARA, AND THEIR THREE SONS. HE ALSO SERVED AS ONE OF OUR COMMISSIONERS DEALING WITH THE PLUMBING INDUSTRY. BILL WAS A WONDERFUL MAN. LOVED HIS FAMILY. LOVED HIS FAITH AND LOVED HIS COMMUNITY. REAL, REAL GOOD FRIEND, GOOD MAN. ARTHUR GILLETTE, WHO WAS A RETIRED SERGEANT WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND HIS LAST ASSIGNMENT WAS THE FUGITIVE DETAIL. THE HONORABLE "JEFF" GIUFRIDA, LONGTIME RESIDENT OF CLAREMONT, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 92. HE HAD BEEN A COLONEL IN THE NATIONAL GUARD, NOMINATED AS HEAD OF THE FEDERAL MANAGEMENT AGENCY, FEMA, BY



PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN. HE ESTABLISHED OUR NATION'S FIRST INTEGRATED EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND ALSO SERVED AS THE DESIGNATED CHIEF U.S. DELEGATE TO THE N.A.T.O. CIVIL EMERGENCY PLANNING AND CIVIL DEFENSE COMMITTEES. AND HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, GENEVIEVE, OF 53 YEARS AND THEIR CHILDREN. ALSO MOVE IN MEMORY OF LAURA KATHLEEN LEAHY OF THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY. SHE SERVED AS NORTHPARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL P.T.A. PRESIDENT FROM 2008-2010. DURING HER TENURE WAS AWARDED THE PRESIDENT'S CIRCLE AWARD. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, CHRIS LEAHY AND THEIR THREE SONS. BETTY LOU MCCORD, THYRA BOECKMANN'S MOTHER, CARL BOECKMANN'S MOTHER-IN-LAW. SHE PASSED AWAY AND SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER THREE CHILDREN. THYRA, JERRY AND OLIVIA; AND HER SISTER JANE BOECKMANN. SO THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS, MR. CHAIRMAN. AND I WOULD LIKE TO -- I WANTED THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO BE HERE, BUT THE DIRECTOR AND THE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR ARE NOT IN TOWN. BUT YESTERDAY I OPENED MY MAIL AND I WAS GOING TO THROW THIS AWAY. EVERYBODY'S GOING TO BE RECEIVING THIS IN THE MAIL. DO NOT THROW THIS AWAY. AGAIN, THIS IS A TAX INCREASE PROPOSAL. NOWHERE ON THIS DOES IT TELL YOU IT'S A TAX INCREASE PROPOSAL. IT TELLS YOU: CLEAN WATER, CLEAN BEACHES PROGRAM, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, OFFICIAL NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNERS OF PUBLIC HEARING, NOT VOTE ON TAX INCREASE. INSIDE, WHEN YOU OPEN IT UP, IT'S LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S CLEAN WATER, CLEAN BEACHES MEASURE AND NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNERS THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE A PUBLIC HEARING. AND



IT HAS A MAP OF THE COUNTY. AND THEN INSIDE, IF YOU LOOK DOWN HERE AT THE BOTTOM, THIS IS YOUR BALLOT. THERE IS NO RETURN ENVELOPE. WHEN YOU REGISTER FOR AN ABSENTEE BALLOT, THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER GIVES YOU A RETURN ENVELOPE, WHICH YOU HAVE TO PUT POSTAGE ON. BUT THERE IS NO RETURN ENVELOPE IN HERE. YOU THEN HAVE TO VOTE. THE QUESTION IS "I PROTEST THE PROPOSED CLEAN WATER FEE," SIGN YOUR NAME. AND, AGAIN, IT HAS AN ADDRESS YOU CAN MAIL IT TO. BUT THIS IS REALLY A DISINFORMATION TO SLIP THROUGH AN INCREASE ON YOUR PROPERTY TAX. SO I'M GOING TO ASK THE COUNTY COUNSEL RELATIVE TO THIS WHY IS THE NOTICE SO ONE-SIDED? AND WHY AREN'T WE TELLING THE RECIPIENT, THE TAXPAYERS WHO HAVE OVERSIGHT OVER THE PROJECTS HOW MUCH THIS IS GOING TO COST?

JOHN KRATTLI: SUPERVISOR, THIS NOTICE, WHICH WAS MAILED OUT
OVER THE LAST SEVERAL DAYS, I'M INFORMED, INFORMS THE PUBLIC
OF A PROPOSED FEE AND OF A PROPOSED PROTEST HEARING WHICH
WOULD BE THE FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS FOR THE ENACTMENT OF
SUCH A FEE. THE STATE LAW PROVIDES FOR DIFFERENT CATEGORIES,
SOURCES OF REVENUE, SOME ARE TAXES, SOME ARE ASSESSMENTS AND
SOME ARE FEES. AND IN THIS CASE, THIS PROPOSED SOURCE OF
REVENUE IS A FEE. AND A FEE TYPICALLY IS REGARDED AS YOU PAY A
FEE FOR A SERVICE. AND THAT IS THE MANNER IN WHICH THIS
REVENUE, SOURCE OF REVENUE IS PROPOSED TO BE COLLECTED. AND
THAT'S WHY IT'S CALLED A FEE AND NOT A TAX. AND AS INDICATED



IN THIS MAILING, THERE IS GOING TO BE A PROTEST HEARING THAT
IS SCHEDULED FOR JANUARY 15 OF THIS COMING YEAR AT WHICH ALL
OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO HAVE RECEIVED THIS MAILING WILL
HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAIL IN THEIR PROTEST OR APPEAR AT THE
HEARING. AND THAT IS THE FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IF THEY DO NOT MAIL IT IN, THEN WE GO IN FOR THE BALLOT. BUT, AGAIN, WHEN THE COUNTY -- WE HAD THE SIMILAR AT M.T.A. WHEN THEY DID MEASURE R. THEY DID A MAILING THAT WAS BASICALLY A PROPAGANDA PIECE. THEY DID NOT DO THIS IN THE LAST MEASURE J ELECTION BECAUSE THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERN THAT IT WAS ONE-SIDED. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME YOU WOULD HAVE A TWO-SIDED POINT OF VIEW ON THIS AND THEN A RETURN ENVELOPE SO THAT THE RECIPIENT WOULD BE ABLE TO PLACE THEIR VOTE AND BE ENCOURAGED TO RETURN IT. BUT THIS COMES IN LIKE A PIECE OF JUNK MAIL. THIS IS -- THAT THE CONSULTANT WHO DID THIS, THE INDIVIDUALS IN PUBLIC WORKS WHO APPROVED IT, THIS WAS NOT BEFORE THE BOARD SHOWING US WHAT THIS WAS GOING TO LOOK LIKE.

SUP. KNABE: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I JUMP IN ON THIS? I GOT THE SAME PIECE. IT WAS FOR A FAMILY PIECE OF PROPERTY ON MY WIFE'S SIDE. AND, AGAIN, IT LOOKED VERY MUCH LIKE JUNK MAIL. IT'S JUST ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGEOUS. THE WHOLE ISSUE GOES BACK TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT TO PUT SOMETHING LIKE THIS ON THE



BALLOT. IT REALLY IS DISINGENUOUS. THE WAY THE NEWSLETTER READS. WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO TO SAY NO TO THIS. WE'RE STARTING TO GET CALLS INTO OUR OFFICE NOW ALREADY. I THINK PEOPLE ARE STARTING TO WAKE UP. BUT IT WAS VERY -- CLEARLY THE INTENT OF THAT PIECE OF MAIL IS TO LOOK LIKE JUNK MAIL. AND CLEARLY THE INTENT IS FOR PEOPLE TO THROW IT IN THE TRASH INSTEAD OF REGISTERING A PROTEST AGAINST A PARCEL TAX. THE REASON IT WAS CALLED A FEE WAS SO THAT YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO PUT IT ON THE BALLOT. AND THEY FOLLOWED UP, AND AGAIN, LIKE MIKE, THE FIRST THING I DID WAS CALL MY STAFF AND SAY DID ANYBODY EVER SEE THIS? DID WE GET TO LOOK AT IT? OR WHAT WAS THE APPROVAL PROCESS OF THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT? AND THERE WAS NONE. THERE WAS NONE. AND SO IT'S GOING TO BE PROBABLY ANOTHER MEASURE J EXPERIENCE WE GO THROUGH HERE BUT IT'S GOING TO BE A LOT MORE DIFFICULT BECAUSE AT LEAST MEASURE J WENT TO THE BALLOT. AND THIS IS NOT GOING TO BE ON THE BALLOT, PERIOD.

JOHN KRATTLI: SUPERVISOR, AS I INDICATED, THIS IS THE FIRST
STEP IN THE PROCESS. AND THIS IS A PROTEST HEARING THAT IS SET
FOR JANUARY 15 OF THIS COMING YEAR. THAT'S THE FIRST STEP.
IT'S A MULTI-STEP PROCESS. IF THERE IS NOT A MAJORITY PROTEST
AT THAT HEARING, THEN YOUR BOARD WILL BE CALLED UPON TO MAKE A
FURTHER DECISION AS TO THE CONDUCT OF A BALLOT. AND THAT WILL
EITHER BE A REGISTERED VOTER BALLOT OR A PROPERTY OWNER
BALLOT. AND THAT DECISION HAS NOT YET BEEN MADE. THAT WILL BE



BROUGHT BEFORE YOUR BOARD. IN ADDITION, THE DEPARTMENT IS
INTENDING TO SUBMIT TO YOUR BOARD A RESOLUTION, ASSUMING THERE
IS NOT A MAJORITY PROTEST, THAT WOULD CALL FOR A BALLOT AND
THEN ALSO SUBMIT THE LANGUAGE THAT WOULD BE CONTAINED FOR THAT
BALLOT MEASURE. SO YOUR BOARD WILL HAVE ABSOLUTELY
OPPORTUNITIES TO FURTHER WEIGH IN ON THIS SUBJECT. AND YOU
WILL SEE THE PROPOSED BALLOT LANGUAGE THAT WILL BE SUBMITTED
AS PART OF THE RESOLUTION FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THEN MAY I SUGGEST THE FOLLOWING, THAT WE BE REMINDED THAT THAT WHICH HAS HAPPENED TO DATE IS PURSUANT TO A VOTE OF THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD AND THAT THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY AT LEAST TWO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD SHOULD BE TAKEN COMPLETELY SERIOUSLY. YOUR CLARIFYING REMARKS, JUST NOW, MR. KRATTLI, MAY BE USEFUL OR MORE USEFUL IF THEY WERE TO BE MEMORIALIZED JUST SO THAT STEPS FORWARD, IF THEY HAVE NOT BEEN ARTICULATED TO DATE, IT WOULD BE USEFUL FOR EACH BOARD OFFICE AND ITS RESPECTIVE STAFF TO HAVE THAT. THAT WAY EVERYBODY CAN KNOW WHAT TO ANTICIPATE AND ANY CONCERNS THAT PLAIN DEALING AND TRANSPARENCY IS ABSENT FROM THIS PROCESS CAN BE ALLAYED. SO I WOULD MAKE THAT REQUEST OF YOU AS CHAIR.

JOHN KRATTLI: I WOULD BE MORE THAN DELIGHTED TO PROVIDE YOUR BOARD WITH A MEMO SETTING FORTH THE FUTURE STEPS THAT ARE



NECESSARY IN TERMS OF THIS PROPOSED FEE AND ALSO DELINEATING
THE OPPORTUNITIES YOUR BOARD WILL HAVE TO FURTHER CONSIDER
THIS PROPOSAL.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: EXCELLENT. ANYTHING FURTHER, MR. ANTONOVICH?

SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. JUST TO ALERT THE PEOPLE WHO ARE WATCHING AND THE PRESS WHO WILL REPORT IT, I WOULD HOPE THE "LOS ANGELES TIMES" AND ALL THE OTHER PAPERS WOULD, THAT THIS IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE THAT VOTERS WILL BE RECEIVING. TO READ IT.

DON'T THROW IT AWAY AS JUNK MAIL. AND VOTE.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. MR. YAROSLAVSKY?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. FIRST OF ALL, MY STAFF INFORMS ME THAT THIS MAILING WAS CIRCULATED TO ALL THE OFFICES. I DIDN'T SEE IT PERSONALLY. MAYBE YOU DIDN'T SEE IT PERSONALLY, BUT OUR STAFFS CERTAINLY DID. I RECEIVED MINE YESTERDAY IN THE MAIL. I WOULD CONTEST THE ARGUMENT THAT THIS LOOKS LIKE JUNK MAIL. IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE JUNK MAIL TO ME. IT LOOKED LIKE JUNK MAIL TO MY WIFE WHO PULLED IT OUT BECAUSE IT LOOKS VERY MUCH LIKE THE D.W.P. BILLS OR PROPOSALS THAT WE GET FOR RATE INCREASES. AND SO IT GOES INTO THE "CHECK



THIS OUT" PILE AND NOT INTO THE GARBAGE CAN. THE SECOND THING IS, IF THIS WAS POLITICALLY MOTIVATED, THE LAST THING I WOULD HAVE PROPOSED IS THAT WE PUT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT EACH RESIDENT, EACH PROPERTY OWNER IS GOING TO PAY RIGHT SMACK ABOVE THE FOLD ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE BROCHURE. RIGHT HERE ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE BROCHURE IT SAYS NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNERS OF PUBLIC HEARING. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WILL HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING, DETAILS INSIDE, ON NOVEMBER 27, 2012, TO CONSIDER A CLEAN WATER CLEAN BEACHES MEASURE. THAT IS THE NAME OF IT THAT THIS BOARD APPROVED. PROPOSED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT TO ESTABLISH AN ANNUAL CLEAR WATER FEE WHICH WOULD BE COLLECTED WITH THE PROPERTY TAXES BEGINNING ON 2013/14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES PROPERTY TAX ROLL. AND THEN RIGHT UNDER THAT IT SAYS "YOUR PROPOSED CLEAN WATER FEE: " AND THEN IT GIVES YOU THE FEE. YOU GOT TO OPEN IT. WELL, IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE JUNK MAIL IT SAYS LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLEAN WATER CLEAN BEACHES MEASURE. OFFICIAL NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNERS OF PUBLIC HEARING. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS ON THE OUTSIDE.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHERE DOES IT SAY THE FEE?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE BROCHURE. THE TOP RIGHT, SEE THAT GREEN, THAT PALE GREEN SQUARE HIGHLIGHTED?



SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M GLAD THEY HAVE IT SO LARGE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT IS LARGE. THAT'S THE FIRST THING THAT MY

EYES GRAVITATED TO. IT'S THE FIRST THING THAT ONE MEMBER OF MY

STAFF'S EYES GRAVITATED TO.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: HE MUST EXCEL IN FOOTNOTES.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND BY THE WAY, THAT MEMBER IS NOW HAVING SECOND THOUGHTS ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL. I'M JOKING. [LAUGHTER.] THAT'S WHAT THIS IS. IT'S ALL MAIL BALLOT. IT'S UNDER THE LAW. IT MAY NOT BE THE BEST WAY TO DO IT. BUT IT'S THE LEGAL WAY TO DO IT. IT'S THE APPROPRIATE WAY TO DO IT. IT SURE DOESN'T LOOK LIKE JUNK MAIL TO ME.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. SUPERVISOR.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IT WAS CIRCULATED I BELIEVE TO ALL THE OTHER OFFICES. I'M NOT AWARE. I WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN THE CLEARINGHOUSE FOR THE COMPLAINTS FROM THE OTHER OFFICES, BUT I HAVEN'T HEARD OF ANY.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE REASON WHY IT WAS A FEE INSTEAD OF A TAX
IS YOU COULD MAKE IT EASIER TO RAISE A TAX BY CALLING IT A
FEE.



JOHN KRATTLI: NO, THAT IS NOT THE REASON. YOU HAVE TO MEET THE LEGAL CRITERIA IN ORDER TO CALL SOMETHING A FEE OR AN ASSESSMENT OR A TAX. AND IN THIS CASE, IT DOES ABSOLUTELY MEET THE LEGAL CRITERIA TO BE A FEE.

SUP. KNABE: WELL, THE DETERMINATION WAS MADE BY A POLL.

(AUDIENCE MEMBER INTERRUPTING).

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SIR, YOU ARE NOT IN ORDER. YOU WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD SHORTLY. NO. LET ME BE CLEAR TO YOU (AUDIENCE MEMBER DISRUPTING).

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SERGEANT, IF YOU WOULD HELP THEM UNDERSTAND WHO'S CHAIRING THE MEETING, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIS WAS RECEIVED YESTERDAY IN THE MAIL IS
THE REASON I BRING THIS. AND PEOPLE HAVE TO VOTE BY VERY SHORT
TIME FRAME OTHERWISE THEY GO TO THE NEXT STEP FOR THAT TAX
INCREASE. BUT AGAIN THEY DO NOT HAVE A RETURN ENVELOPE IN HERE
AS YOU WOULD DO WITH AN ABSENTEE BALLOT SO PEOPLE WOULD HAVE
THE OPPORTUNITY --

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS ISN'T THE BALLOT, THOUGH. THIS ISN'T THE BALLOT.



SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIS IS NOT A BALLOT? THIS IS A VOTE. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO RETURN THAT WITHOUT GETTING YOUR OWN ENVELOPE? IT MAKES IT MORE LIKE A JUNK MAIL.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THAT'S A GOOD POINT. I DO THINK THAT'S A GOOD POINT.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MARTIN
BERMAN. HE WAS MY CLASSMATE, JAY BERMAN'S BROTHER WHO PASSED
AWAY AT THE AGE OF 66 WHO SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY,
WAS A POLICE OFFICER WITH THE SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT,
RETIRED AS CAPTAIN WITH THE BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA POLICE
DEPARTMENT. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, DARLENE; AND HIS THREE
CHILDREN AND HIS BROTHER JAY. ALSO ROBERT CAPOZOLI, WHO OPENED
THE FIRST GLENDALE'S ITALIAN RESTAURANT CALLED GILLESPIE'S
[GIUSEPPE'S] PIZZA AND THEN SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE
GLENDALE RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION. HE WAS THE FOUNDER OF THE
TOWER OF PIZZA RESTAURANT AND THE CAPOZOLI FAMILY RESTAURANT
IN LAS VEGAS. SURVIVED BY HIS FIVE CHILDREN.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANKS VERY MUCH.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: ITEM NO. 58? DR. KATZ?



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NO. 58 IS BEING CALLED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. I THINK WE HAVE A PATH FORWARD WITH RESPECT TO THE PREVIOUS ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED.

MR. KRATTLI, SO THE BOARD CAN EXPECT THAT FROM YOU BY?

JOHN KRATTLI: WE WILL PROVIDE THAT TO YOU, YOUR BOARD NEXT WEEK.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. NEXT WEEK WE WILL RECEIVE UNLESS, LET'S CONCLUDE THAT DISCUSSION FOR NOW WITH A FULL UNDERSTANDING THAT JUNK MAIL IS IN THE EYE OF THE BEHOLDER. DR. KATZ? ITEM NO. 58.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: YES, SIR, MR. CHAIRMAN. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: AND THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: I WANT TO START BY THANKING YOU FOR THE
OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS AS A POWERPOINT. I THINK IT'S -- I'M A
BIG BELIEVER IN TRANSPARENCY IN GOVERNMENT AND HELPING THE
PEOPLE WHO ARE WATCHING TO INTERACT MORE WITH US, ESPECIALLY
IN A CASE LIKE THIS, WHERE PEOPLE WHO ARE WATCHING --

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M GOING



TO CALL EVERYONE'S ATTENTION TO THE PRESENTATION BY DR. KATZ.

SET ITEM OF CONSEQUENCE. IT IS ONE OF OUR GOALS, IT'S ONE OF

OUR PRIORITIES, IT'S ONE OF THE PIECES THAT WE ALL SAID THAT

WE NEED TO BE PAYING CLOSE ATTENTION TO. SO I'M GOING TO MAKE

AN EXPLICIT REQUEST OF EVERYONE TO GIVE DR. KATZ YOUR

UNDIVIDED ATTENTION SO THAT WE CAN ALL BE FULLY AND

APPROPRIATELY EDUCATED AS TO THIS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT ITEM.

THANK YOU.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THANK YOU, SIR. IT'S MY HOPE THAT BY DOING IT AS A POWERPOINT, THAT FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE WATCHING, IT WILL NOT ONLY ENABLE THEM TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE IMPLICATIONS OF HEALTH REFORM BUT SOME OF THEM MAY LEARN WAYS THAT THEY CAN ACCESS SERVICES CURRENTLY OR SOON IN THE FUTURE. THE L.A. SAFETY NET CURRENTLY SERVES OVER 2 MILLION COUNTY RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED. AND RIGHT NOW, PEOPLE WHO ARE UNINSURED IN OUR COUNTY HAVE FAIRLY LIMITED OPTIONS. THEY EITHER ARE GOING TO COME TO OUR PUBLIC SYSTEM IN D.H.S. OR ONE OF OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS, OR THEY'RE GOING TO SEEK CARE IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND PAY LARGE, OUT-OF-POCKET FEES. WE'RE PROUD OF WHAT WE'VE PROVIDED. LAST YEAR, WE SAW 800,000 UNIQUE INDIVIDUALS. ABOUT 75 PERCENT OF THOSE WERE UNINSURED. AND THE OTHER HALF OF THAT STATISTIC IS ALSO IMPORTANT. WE ARE ALREADY SEEING PEOPLE WHO HAVE INSURANCE AND WHO ARE CHOOSING US. SOMETIMES THAT'S BECAUSE THEY COME TO ONE MUCH OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS. BUT



SOMETIMES IT'S BECAUSE THEY REALIZE THAT WE ARE A GREAT PROVIDER OF MEDICAL CARE. OF THOSE UNINSURED PEOPLE, ABOUT 80 PERCENT OF THEM HAVE INCOMES LESS THAN 138 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL. AND THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE THAT'S THE THRESHOLD UNDER THE FEDERAL HEALTH REFORM FOR RECEIVING MEDICAID. ABOUT 200,000 INDIVIDUALS ARE CURRENTLY ENROLLED IN HEALTHY WAY L.A., A PROGRAM WHICH EXISTS BECAUSE OF THE FIVE OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN CREATING AND EXPANDING THE ENROLLMENT OF THIS PROGRAM. ALL OF THEM ARE GOING TO MOVE INTO MEDICAID UNDER THE A.C.A., AND ALL OF THEM ARE GOING TO HAVE A CHOICE. SO THE A.C.A., WHICH IS THE FEDERAL HEALTH REFORM BILL, PROVIDES TREMENDOUS OPPORTUNITY FOR US AND ALSO TREMENDOUS RISKS. AND A LOT OF WHY I SO APPRECIATE THE SUPPORT OF THIS BOARD IS THAT WE ONLY HAVE 13 MONTHS TO GO. WE HAVE A HUGE AMOUNT AT RISK. IF WE PULL THIS OFF WELL, WE WILL PROVIDE GREAT CARE AND THE FINANCES OF D.H.S. WILL IMPROVE COMPARED TO NOW. IF WE DO THIS POORLY, PEOPLE WILL NOT GET CARE AND I WILL BE IN FRONT OF YOU VERY EMBARRASSED IN A YEAR AND A HALF ASKING FOR POTENTIALLY HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. SO WHAT IS IT THAT THE A.C.A. DOES THAT HAS SUCH PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS? IT'S A VERY LONG BILL WITH MANY COMPLICATED PARTS. TODAY IS NOT THE DAY TO GO THROUGH WITH ALL OF THE PARTS. BUT, REALLY TO FOCUS OURSELVES ON THE TWO MAJOR THINGS THAT ARE GOING TO AFFECT THE COUNTY: THE FACT THAT PEOPLE ARE GOING TO HAVE EXPANDED COVERAGE, WHICH WILL GIVE THEM CHOICE,



AND THAT THE WAY WE GET PAID FOR THE SERVICES IS GOING TO GO FROM A MODEL WHERE WE WERE PAID FOR OUR EXPENSES TO A MODEL WHERE WE'RE GOING TO GET A SET PER-MEMBER PER-MONTH CAPITATION THAT'S GOING TO LOOK LIKE THE AMOUNTS THAT OTHER PROVIDERS RECEIVE. SO 2014, 13 MONTHS AWAY. EVERYONE WHO HAS AN INCOME LESS THAN 138 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL GETS MEDICAID. THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE ABOVE IT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR SUBSIDIZED COVERAGE THROUGH THE BENEFIT EXCHANGE. EVERYBODY WHO IS NEWLY INSURED WILL NOW HAVE CHOICES ABOUT WHERE THEY GO. AND JUST TO FOCUS BOTH FOR THE BOARD AND ESPECIALLY FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE WATCHING YOUR HEARINGS, THIS IS THE PROCESS BY WHICH PEOPLE WILL GET TO CHOOSE. SO LET'S TAKE ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE TODAY, THIS IS THE PERSON WHO IS IN HEALTHY WAY L.A. THERE ARE 200,000 OF THOSE PEOPLE. AND WITH YOUR SUPPORT, WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO BRING THAT NUMBER TO 3 OR 400,000 BEFORE MEDICAID STARTS. THEY ARE IN HEALTHY WAY L.A. THEY CAN COME TO D.H.S. OR ONE OF OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS. JANUARY 1, 2014, THEY THEN HAVE A CHOICE OF HEALTH PLAN. CALIFORNIA IS IMPLEMENTING HEALTH REFORM USING ITS TWO-PLAN MODEL, WHICH IT HAS USED FOR OTHER MEDICAID EXPANSION. SO THE PERSON GETS TO CHOOSE L.A. CARE HEALTH PLAN OR THEY GET TO CHOOSE HEALTH NET, WHICH IS THE PRIVATE SECTOR COMPETITOR TO THE PUBLIC SECTOR L.A. HEALTH PLAN THAT THIS BOARD CREATED WHEN THE STATE WENT TO A TWO-PLAN MODEL. ONCE THEY CHOOSE THE HEALTH PLAN, THEY THEN WILL CHOOSE A PROVIDER. AND THE PROVIDER CAN EITHER BE D.H.S. OR ONE OF



ITS PARTNERS OR IT CAN BE A NON-D.H.S. PROVIDER. WHAT IT CANNOT BE IS AN EMERGENCY ROOM. IT HAS TO BE A SPECIFIC PROVIDER, A PHYSICIAN OR A NURSE PRACTITIONER WITHIN A SPECIFIC HEALTH CENTER. THE HEALTH BENEFIT EXCHANGE, WHICH IS SOMETHING I'VE APPRECIATED THAT THE CHAIR HAS ASKED OUESTIONS ABOUT AT THIS MEETING, IS RIGHT AT THE MOMENT VERY HARD TO PREDICT EXACTLY WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE WE DO NOT YET HAVE ANY TRUE FEES FOR WHAT THE HEALTH BENEFIT EXCHANGE IS GOING TO COST FOR LOW-INCOME PEOPLE. SO ON THE POSITIVE SIDE, ANYONE ABOVE THE 138 POVERTY LEVEL IS GOING TO BE ENTITLED TO SUBSIDIZED COVERAGE. ON THE NEGATIVE SIDE, PEOPLE MAY STILL EXPERIENCE STICKER SHOCK. AND I THINK THAT WE LIVE IN A COUNTY THAT'S EXPENSIVE TO LIVE ON. AND SO I THINK YOU CAN IMAGINE THAT, YOU KNOW, SAY THE SINGLE WORKING MOM WHO'S RAISING TWO CHILDREN WHO HAS -- MAYBE SHE'S EARNING \$50,000, SHE'S ENTITLED TO SUBSIDIZED COVERAGE. DOES THAT MEAN THAT AFTER TAKING CARE OF HER CHILDREN'S FOOD, SCHOOL SUPPLIES AND SHOES SHE'S GOING TO BE PREPARED TO SPEND \$350 A MONTH FOR COVERAGE? WHICH IS CERTAINLY AN ENTIRELY PLAUSIBLE SCENARIO. THAT WOULD STILL BE SUBSIDIZED COVERAGE. BUT WHAT'S GOING TO BE PEOPLE'S ABILITY? AT THE MOMENT, MOST PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT WHAT'S GOING TO BE AFFORDABLE THROUGH THE BENEFIT EXCHANGE ARE HIGH DEDUCTIBLE PLANS. AND THAT'S SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO MEAN THAT PEOPLE ARE STILL UNCOVERED FOR THEIR BASIC LEVEL OF SERVICES. THIS IS AN ISSUE WHERE I WILL WANT TO COME BACK TO



YOU PROBABLY MULTIPLE TIMES AS THE EXCHANGE COMES CLOSER TO BEING ABLE TO SAY WHAT THE PLAN IS GOING TO BE OFFERED. ANOTHER HOT TOPIC AT THE STATE LEVEL IS THE BASIC HEALTH PLAN. I KNOW SEVERAL OF YOU HAVE SEEN AN OP ED THAT I WROTE TALKING ABOUT WHY WE BELIEVE THAT THE COUNTY WOULD BENEFIT FROM THERE BEING A BASIC HEALTH PLAN. IT FOLLOWS FROM THE CONCERNS THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE THE WORKING POOR ARE JUST NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO AFFORD WHAT'S OFFERED EVEN UNDER SUBSIDY. CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE IS GOING TO TAKE THIS UP IN ITS SPECIAL SESSION. BUT IT'S ALSO TRUE THAT THERE IS OUITE A LOT OF AMBIVALENCE WE'RE HEARING AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE NO REGS CURRENTLY WRITTEN AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL TO SUPPORT A BASIC HEALTH PLAN, WHICH IS PART OF WHY IT'S A DIFFICULT ISSUE FOR THE STATE. OKAY. SO THE BIG CHALLENGE FOR US IS IF PATIENTS CHOOSE TO LEAVE OUR COUNTY HEALTH SERVICES WHEN THEY GET CHOICE, WE WILL HAVE A MAJOR DECLINE IN OUR INCOME THAT WE RECEIVE. AND ALL OF YOU KNOW BECAUSE YOU HAVE LIVED WITH CREATING HOSPITALS THAT HOSPITALS HAVE TREMENDOUS FIXED COSTS. AND THOSE FIXED COSTS DO NOT DROP WHEN CENSUS DROPS. SO YOU COULD EASILY HAVE A HOSPITAL THAT IS RUNNING AT, SAY, 70 PERCENT FULL, 65 PERCENT FULL AND YET IT'S 95 PERCENT OF THE EXPENSES. SO THIS IS THE POTENTIALLY DIRE SCENARIO. THIS IS THE FAILURE SCENARIO. PEOPLE LEAVE. WE HAVE THESE HUGE FIXED COSTS ON THE HOSPITALS. AND THEN WE, I WOULD BE IN A VERY UNCOMFORTABLE PLACE HAVING TO COME TO YOU AND SAY "I'M SORRY



TO REPORT TO YOU MEMBERS THAT WE WERE UNSUCCESSFUL AT ATTRACTING PATIENTS. PATIENTS LEFT US. THE HOSPITALS STILL, THOUGH, HAVE HIGH EXPENSES. AND I'M GOING TO NEED HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OR WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FACE CLOSURE." AND WE HAVE TO BE HONEST WITH OURSELVES AND SAY THAT HISTORY IS NOT IN OUR FAVOR, WHICH IS TO SAY THAT OUR COUNTY HAS NOT PROVEN THAT IN THE PAST THAT IT CAN ATTRACT PEOPLE WHEN THERE IS CHOICE. IN THE 1990S WHEN MEDICAID WAS EXPANDED TO INCLUDE UNINSURED PREGNANT WOMEN, WE GOT A HUGE DROP IN DELIVERIES, FROM OVER 40,000 TO LESS THAN 3,000 IN RECENT YEARS. SO, IF YOU WILL, PEOPLE VOTED WITH THEIR FEET. THEY LEFT OUR DEPARTMENT. WE CAN'T LET THAT HAPPEN AGAIN. YOU KNOW, MY DESIRE AND JOY IN COMING TO LOS ANGELES THESE PAST TWO YEARS IS THAT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT WE NEED TO BE A VICTIM OF HISTORY. IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT HAPPENED IN THE 1990S, REALLY NO EFFORTS WERE MADE TO RETAIN THOSE PREGNANT WOMEN. NOBODY SET OUT AND SAID "HEY, THIS IS OUR OPPORTUNITY. WE CAN BE A GREAT HOME FOR YOU. WE CAN DELIVER YOUR BABY. WE HAVE GREAT DOCTORS. WE HAVE MODERN FACILITIES. WE WANT TO DO IT. " INSTEAD, I THINK THE MOOD, AS BEST, I WAS NOT HERE, BUT FROM THE DOCUMENTS THAT I REVIEWED, MORE IT WAS JUST ACCEPTED. WELL, PEOPLE HAVE CHOICE SO THEY'RE GOING TO LEAVE OUR SYSTEM. THERE'S NO REASON THAT THAT HAS TO BE THE CASE. WE HAVE GREAT DOCTORS, NURSES, PHARMACISTS, SOCIAL WORKERS. THANKS TO YOU, WE HAVE MODERN FACILITIES. WE DO NOT HAVE TO BE A VICTIM OF THE PAST. DURING



THE LAST TWO YEARS, WE HAVE MADE HUGE AMOUNTS OF PROGRESS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK ON THE PATIENT-DOCTOR RELATIONSHIP. WHY? BECAUSE IF YOU GO BACK TO THAT SLIDE FOR CHOICE, PEOPLE CANNOT CHOOSE AN EMERGENCY ROOM. THEY HAVE TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY A SPECIFIC PROVIDER AND DOCTOR. WELL, WHAT'S GOING TO MAKE SOMEONE CHOOSE THAT? A RELATIONSHIP. SO WE HAVE NOW CREATED PRIMARY CARE HOMES FOR THE FIRST TIME. WE HAVE 300,000 PEOPLE IN D.H.S. WITH A MEDICAL HOME. NOW, JUST TWO YEARS AGO, WE HAD NO SYSTEMATIC WAY OF CREATING MEDICAL HOMES, PERIOD. AND IN JUST UNDER TWO YEARS, WE NOW 300,000 PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SPECIFIC PROVIDER AND A SPECIFIC CLINIC. WE ALSO ENDED ALL BLOCK APPOINTMENTS. SO WITHIN -- WHEN I CAME HERE, ONE OF THE THINGS I LEARNED IS WE HAD 250 WOMEN LINING UP AT 7 A.M. FOR A PAP AND PELVIC WITH ALL HAVING THE SAME APPOINTMENT. AND COMING EARLY BECAUSE THEY KNEW THAT THEIR APPOINTMENT DID NOT REFLECT AN ACTUAL TIME. THAT IS NOT THE WAY THAT YOU CREATE A CENTER THAT PEOPLE WANT TO COME TO. WE HAVE INCREASED OUR AVAILABILITY OF APPOINTMENTS. HOW CAN WE EVEN TALK ABOUT ATTRACTING PATIENT IF WE CAN'T SEE THE PATIENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY ALREADY IN FRONT OF US? WE'VE DONE A LOT OF WORK ON IMPROVING OUR CUSTOMER SERVICE. WE'RE WORKING ON IMPROVING THE QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF OUR CARE. I'M VERY PROUD THAT FOR A DEPARTMENT THAT HAS NOT HAD A POSITIVE HISTORY IN RECENT YEARS OF I.T. IMPLEMENTATIONS, WE HAVE HAD TWO IMPLEMENTATIONS THAT HAVE ALREADY OCCURRED IN REAL TIME.



BOTH ARE CURRENTLY RUNNING. BOTH ARE SUCCESSES. BOTH OCCURRED ON BUDGET. WE HAVE E-CONSULTATION, WHICH ENABLES A PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR LIKE ME, WHEN I HAVE A PATIENT WHO NEEDS A SPECIALIZED SERVICE, I CAN NOW ELECTRONICALLY CONSULT A SPECIALIST. AND THE REASON THIS IS SO IMPORTANT IS THAT IF WE TRY TO CREATE AN APPOINTMENT FOR EVERY PERSON WHO A PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR MIGHT THINK NEEDED A SPECIALIST, WE WOULD FAIL. WE WOULD SIMPLY -- THERE ARE NOT ENOUGH SPECIALISTS IN ALL OF LOS ANGELES WHO WOULD COME TO WORK FOR D.H.S. THAT WE COULD PROVIDE THAT LEVEL OF SPECIALTY CARE. THE WONDERFUL THING ABOUT E-CONSULT IS WE HAVE TURNED IT ON, AND ABOUT HALF OF THE TIMES WHEN A PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR ASKS FOR A SPECIALTY CONSULTATION, NO VISIT IS NECESSARY, AND THAT'S BECAUSE THE SPECIALIST IS THE ABLE TO INSTRUCT THE PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR MIGHT WRITE TO A SPECIALIST AND SAY "I HAVE A PATIENT WHO HAS PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN AND I'M WORRIED THAT SHE HAS AN ULCER. I AM SENDING HER TO YOU. " AND INSTEAD OF AN APPOINTMENT, THE GASTROENTEROLOGIST MIGHT WRITE BACK, "BECAUSE SHE'S UNDER 40 YEARS OF AGE AND DOES NOT CURRENTLY HAVE EVIDENCE OF IRON DEFICIENCY ANEMIA, BEGIN HER ON A PROTON PUMP INHIBITER. IF HER SYMPTOMS PERSIST FOR MORE THAN FOUR WEEKS, THEN PLEASE REINITIATE A SPECIALTY VISIT." IN OTHER WORDS, THE SPECIALIST IS TEACHING THE PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR BASED ON THE REAL FACTS OF THE CASE, DECIDING WHO NEEDS TO BE SEEN. AND THEN WHEN WE CAN



ALSO NOW, BECAUSE WE HAVE A REAL CONSULTATION, THE SPECIALIST CAN SAY "THIS PERSON NOT ONLY NEEDS TO BE SEEN BUT I NEED TO SEE THEM TOMORROW." AS OPPOSED TO THE PERSON WHO COULD BE SEEN SAFELY IN TWO MONTHS. WE ALSO HAVE BROUGHT UP A DISEASE MANAGEMENT REGISTRY SO THAT PATIENTS CAN BE TRACKED LONGITUDINALLY. THIS REALLY FACILITATES A TEAM APPROACH SO THAT EVERYTHING THAT A PATIENT NEEDS, I AS A PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR DO NOT NEED TO DO. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, COLON SCREENING. PEOPLE WHO ARE OVER THE AGE OF 50 YEARS OLD NEED SCREENING FOR COLON CANCER. IT IS A RECOMMENDATION BY AGE. I DON'T NEED, AS A PRIMARY CARE DOCTOR WHEN I SEE A PATIENT, TO TELL THEM IF THEY'RE OVER 50 THAT THEY NEED COLON CANCER SCREENING. A MEDICAL ASSISTANT OR NURSE WHO WORKS ALONGSIDE ME IN THE SAME CLINIC CAN SAY TO THEM BEFORE I EVER HAVE SEEN THEM, YOU'RE OVER 50 OR IT'S BEEN MORE THAN A YEAR SINCE YOU HAD COLON CANCER SCREENING, I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU THE KIT. I'M GOING TO EXPLAIN IT TO YOU AND I WANT YOU TO SEND IT IN. AND HE OR SHE CAN DO THAT SIMPLY BY LOOKING AT THE REGISTRY AND SEEING THAT IT HAS NOT BEEN DONE. THAT MAKES US MUCH MORE EFFICIENT. THANKS TO YOU, WE ALSO NOW HAVE A CONTRACT IN PLACE FOR AN ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD. WE'RE GOING TO WORK ON BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DISCOVERED WHEN I CAME HERE IS THAT THERE WAS NO DIRECT RELATIONSHIP WITH L.A. CARE, WHICH MEANT, AGAIN, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WE WANT TO ATTRACT PEOPLE BUT THERE WAS NO CONTRACT TO EVEN MAINTAIN OUR EXISTING



MEDICAID UNDER THE SENIORS AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. SO ALL THOSE PATIENTS, WE WOULD HAVE JUST LOST THEM AS OF JULY A YEAR AGO BECAUSE THERE WAS NO CONTRACT TO KEEP THEM. WE NOT ONLY PUT IN A CONTRACT, BUT WE PUT IN ALL OF THE THINGS NECESSARY TO ARRANGE FOR FUTURE CONTRACTS. SO LOTS OF SUCCESS, I THINK, FOR TWO YEARS. BUT A GREAT DEAL MORE THAT HAS TO HAPPEN. WE HAVE TO INCREASE THE ENROLLMENT FOR HEALTHY WAY L.A. WE'RE AT 200,000. WHEN I ARRIVED, WE WERE AT 60,000. I WAS VERY PLEASED THAT WE WERE ABLE TO ALMOST TRIPLE WHERE WE WERE. BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN ENROLL PEOPLE INTO HEALTHY WAY L.A., WE WILL BE ABLE TO HAVE THEM MOVE SEAMLESSLY INTO MEDICAID. SO THE PATIENTS WILL BENEFIT. AND ALSO OUR SYSTEM WILL BENEFIT. IT'S PARTICULARLY ATTRACTIVE TO START DOING THIS IN FEBRUARY AND MARCH BECAUSE SINCE THE MOVEMENT WILL OCCUR IN OCTOBER, WE WILL NOT HAVE TO RE-ENROLL PEOPLE, WHICH WILL MAKE IT EFFICIENT EVEN FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO SAY DO NOT NEED SERVICES. I SAY THAT BECAUSE IN OUR FIRST ROUND MUCH HEALTHY WAY L.A., APPROPRIATELY WE FOCUSED ON PEOPLE WHO NEEDED CARE. WHICH IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT. HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANIES, THE PEOPLE THEY MOST WANT TO ENROLL ARE THE HEALTHY PEOPLE. THAT'S WHY ALL THE TELEVISION COMMERCIALS FOR HEALTH PLANS ALWAYS SHOW PEOPLE EXERCISING. THAT'S THE PEOPLE THEY WANT TO ENROLL. THEY DON'T WANT TO ENROLL SICK PEOPLE. THEY WANT TO ENROLL HEALTHY PEOPLE BECAUSE THEY WANT THE MONEY. AND THEY HOPE YOU DON'T NEED THE SERVICES. WITH HEALTHY WAY L.A., TO BE



RESPONSIBLE, WE DID THE OPPOSITE. WE FOCUSED ON THE PEOPLE WHO WERE SICK WHO WOULD NEED SERVICES. AND I THINK THAT MADE SENSE FOR THE FIRST YEAR. BUT NOW IN THIS NEXT YEAR, THE PEOPLE WHO WE WANT TO ENROLL ARE THE HEALTHY PEOPLE BECAUSE WHEN THEY, THEN, GO INTO MEDICAID NEXT YEAR, WE WILL THEN GET A CAPITATION FOR THEM. AND THEY WILL HELP TO BALANCE OUT THE PEOPLE WHO ARE A LOT SICKER. WE NEED A WHOLE NEW SET OF CONTRACTS. SO AT THE MOMENT, LET'S SAY A PATIENT IS SEEN AT ONE OF OUR GREAT COMMUNITY PARTNERS, SAY ST. JOHN'S, ALTA MED, SABAN CLINIC, ANY OF OUR WONDERFUL COMMUNITY PARTNERS. IF THAT PERSON IS UNINSURED IN CURRENT MOMENTS, MEANING HEALTHY WAY L.A. OR UNINSURED AND THAT PERSON NEEDS SPECIALTY CARE, SAY AN EYE VISIT, THEY NEED AN ENDOSCOPE FROM A GASTROENTEROLOGIST, THEY WILL SEND THAT PERSON TO US. IF THAT PERSON HAS MEDICAID CURRENTLY, BECAUSE THEY ARE A DISABLED PERSON, THEY'RE A PREGNANT WOMAN, THEY WILL SEND THEM TO SOMEONE ELSE. AGAIN, ASK YOURSELVES, SEVERAL OF YOU HAVE TALKED TO ME ABOUT ATTRACTING PEOPLE. WE'RE SENDING PEOPLE AWAY. WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY RIGHT AT THE MOMENT TO ACCEPT THAT PERSON. WE SEND THEM AWAY. WE TELL THE COMMUNITY PARTNERS SEND US YOUR UNINSURED. SEND OTHER PEOPLE YOUR PAYING PATIENTS. AND OBVIOUSLY THAT'S NOT A VERY GOOD BUSINESS MODEL FOR MAINTAINING THE VIABILITY OF A PUBLIC SYSTEM TO WELCOME THE PEOPLE WITHOUT REIMBURSEMENT AND SEND AWAY THE PEOPLE FOR REIMBURSEMENT. THE FUTURE OF THIS DEPARTMENT IS TO WELCOME



BOTH GROUPS SO THAT WE ARE TAKING ADVANTAGE OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE A REIMBURSEMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR SYSTEM HAS SUFFICIENT RESOURCE AND EFFICIENCY FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE A REIMBURSEMENT. SO WE HAVE TO STOP SENDING AWAY THE PEOPLE WHO ARE PAYERS. TO DO THAT, WE NEED A SET OF CONTRACTS. WE'VE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS. WE HOPE TO BE BRINGING YOU A SPECIFIC CONTRACT WITH THE MEDICAL GROUP THAT WORKS WITH OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS FOR SPECIALTY CARE. IT WILL HAVE A SMALL IMPACT BETWEEN NOW AND 2014 AND A HUGE IMPACT THEREAFTER. THIS IS ALSO OUR OPPORTUNITY WHERE WE HAVE EXPERTISE AND CAPACITY, WHICH IN OUR SYSTEM EXISTS ESPECIALLY AT THE LEVEL OF SPECIALTY CARE. PRIMARY CARE WHERE WE ARE FULL. BUT IN THE LEVEL OF SPECIALTY CARE. SOME OF THE WONDERFUL REHAB SERVICES AT RANCHO, WE HAVE A STATE-OF-THE-ART BURN CENTER L.A.C.+U.S.C. AND I KNOW YOU'VE HEARD THIS BEFORE, BUT THERE IS MORE THAT WE CAN DO TO MAKE OUR SERVICES ATTRACTIVE. AND I INTEND FOR US TO REALLY ACHIEVE THIS. I'M A TREMENDOUS BELIEVER IN WORKING WITH FRONTLINE STAFF. WE HAVE BESIDES THE UNIT-BASED TEAMS THAT ARE GOING, AND I KNOW WE GOT, FOR EXAMPLE, AN AWARD FOR THE PRODUCTIVITY TEAM AT M.L.K. M.A.C.C. WHICH REDESIGNED THE DATA CENTER TO MAKE IT MORE EFFICIENT. THERE IS SO MUCH MORE WE CAN DO BY HARNESSING THE SMARTS OF THE LINE NURSE, THE LINE PHARMACIST ON HOW TO MAKE CARE BETTER AND MORE EFFICIENT. PEOPLE WANT TO DO A GOOD JOB. BUT THEY HAVE NOT FELT EMPOWERED TO MAKE CHANGE. LET'S TALK



ABOUT FINANCE. SO HISTORICAL. D.H.S. GETS PAID ON THE BASIS OF EXPENSES. IF THAT'S YOUR FISCAL MODEL, WHY WOULD YOU TRY TO BE EFFICIENT? IF FACT IF THAT'S YOUR FISCAL MODEL, WHY WOULD YOU EVEN KEEP TRACK OF EXPENSES BY DIFFERENT AREAS? AND THE ANSWER IS: D.H.S. HAS NOT TRADITIONALLY KEPT GOOD FINANCIAL RECORD OF WHAT THINGS COST AT THE UNIT LEVEL. WE KNOW WHAT THE OVERALL BUDGET IS. BUT WE DON'T MONITOR. WE HAVE NOT TRADITIONALLY MONITORED DOWN TO THE UNIT LEVEL BECAUSE EXPENSES WERE REIMBURSED. UNDER THE A.C.A., THAT'S NOT HOW IT'S GOING TO WORK. UNDER THE A.C.A., WE'RE GOING GET A SET AMOUNT FOR A SPECIFIC SERVICE. AND WE NEED TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR SERVICES COST IS WITHIN LINE OF WHAT WE'RE GETTING PAID. AND THAT'S DONE IN EVERY OTHER KIND OF MAJOR BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE ORGANIZATION. AND IT'S SOMETHING WE'VE MADE PROGRESS TO DO. BUT WE NEED TO DO A LOT MORE IN THE NEXT YEAR. ONE OF THE REASONS IS WE'RE GOING TO LOSE OUR -- SOME OF OUR D.S.H. FUNDING. D.S.H. FUNDING, DSH STANDS FOR DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL. THE A.C.A. PROGRAMS A 50 PERCENT DECREASE OVER A SERIES OF YEARS. IN THE MEMO ITSELF, I'VE GIVEN YOU THE SPECIFIC AMOUNTS ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL. THE IDEA, WHICH MAKES PERFECT SENSE IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE A.C.A. WAS COUNTIES, HOSPITALS. WE CREATED DISH BECAUSE WE KNOW YOU HAVE TAKEN CARE OF ALL OF THESE UNINSURED PATIENTS. WELL GOING FORWARD, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE ALL THESE UNINSURED PATIENTS BECAUSE WE'RE EXPANDING MEDICAID AND WE'RE PROVIDING EXCHANGE. SO WE'RE



GOING TO FUND THAT BY CUTTING THE DISH. THIS WORKS AS LONG AS YOU RETAIN, WE RETAIN OUR PATIENTS. IF WE DO NOT RETAIN OUR PATIENTS, THIS NO LONGER WORKS. THEN WE'RE JUST GOING TO HAVE A LOSS IN REVENUE. WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO DECREASE THE COSTS OF OUR SERVICES WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY IMPROVING THE OUALITY. WHICH IS ALL ABOUT THE RIGHT SERVICE IN THE RIGHT PLACE BY THE RIGHT PERSON. AND ONE OF THE EXAMPLES OF SOMETHING THAT YOUR BOARD APPROVED AND WE'VE HAD ALREADY REAL-TIME SUCCESS IS A CENTRAL FILL. SO USED TO BE THAT THE PATIENTS, WHEN I'D WORK AT ROYBAL CLINIC, I'D WRITE A PRESCRIPTION. PATIENT TAKES IT TO THE PHARMACIST AT ROYBAL CLINIC. IF IT'S A FIRST PRESCRIPTION, THE FIRST TIME I GAVE A PERSON A MEDICINE, THAT MAKES TOTAL SENSE TO SEND TO IT THAT PHARMACY. BUT WHAT ABOUT WHEN I WRITE 11 REFILLS BECAUSE THE PATIENT IS A KNOWN HYPERTENSIVE PATIENT, HAS HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE, NEEDS TO STAY ON THAT MEDICINE? I WANT TO WRITE 11 REFILLS SO THAT THEY DON'T RUN OUT OF THEIR MEDICINE. WHY WOULD WE WANT THEM TO GO IN PERSON TO THAT PHARMACY, WHICH IS BUSY TRYING TO CREATE NEW PRESCRIPTIONS, FOR THINGS THAT ARE REFILLS? REFILLS DON'T INVOLVE THE SAME LEVEL OF EDUCATION. YOU CAN DO THEM MUCH MORE COST-EFFECTIVELY IN A SINGLE CENTER WHICH DOES NOT NEED TO BE IN A HEALTH CENTER. SO YOU CAN GET MASSIVE EFFICIENCIES BY DOING EVERYBODY'S REFILLS, A SINGLE CALL-IN. AND BECAUSE OF YOUR HELP AND ACTION, WE NOW HAVE A CENTRAL FILL WHICH IS WORKING IN REAL TIME. I HAVE TO GET FINANCIAL INFORMATION TO



MY MANAGERS ON A UNIT LEVEL. YOU CANNOT TELL PEOPLE TO BE RESPONSIVE TO COSTS UNLESS YOU CAN TELL THEM TO THE LEVEL OF NOT JUST THE HOSPITAL, BUT 6-B. YOU HAVE TO BE ABLE TO SAY "I HAVE REVIEWED THE EXPENSES OF 6-B RELATIVE TO YOUR CENSUS. AND YOU ARE USING TOO MUCH REGISTRY TIME FOR YOUR CENSUS. WHAT'S GOING ON? " NOW, THEY MAY THEN HAVE A PERFECTLY APPROPRIATE ANSWER. THEY MAY SAY "MITCH, SORRY. IT TURNS OUT THAT WE LOST FOUR NURSES DUE TO ILLNESS. AND THAT'S WHY OUR REGISTRY USE IS SO HIGH. " SO YOU DON'T WANT TO REVIEW REGISTRY USE. I MEAN, I CAN TELL YOU AS A DEPARTMENT FOR A REGISTRY CONTRACT FOR PERSONNEL, I CAN TELL YOU WHERE WE ARE FOR THE DEPARTMENT AS A WHOLE. AND I MIGHT BE ABLE TO TELL YOU DEPENDING UPON WHERE IT IS ON A HOSPITAL. BUT IF YOU REALLY WANT PEOPLE TO BE HELD TO A BUDGET, YOU HAVE TO GO DOWN TO THE UNIT LEVEL, TO THE CLINIC LEVEL AND YOU HAVE TO CONSTANTLY, IN REAL TIME, CAN'T BE THREE MONTHS AGO, THREE MONTHS AGO ALL YOU CAN DO IS TELL PEOPLE THAT THEY DID THE WRONG THING. I WANT TO KNOW IN REAL TIME. IT SHOULD NOT LAG MORE THAN TWO WEEKS BEHIND. WHAT ARE THE EXPENDITURES ON A UNIT LEVEL RELATIVE TO THE CENSUS? REDUCING UNNECESSARY HOSPITALIZATIONS. SO WE MADE A DECISION IN MARCH OF MY FIRST YEAR HERE, MAYBE WAS THE FIRST MAJOR D.H.S. DECISION THAT WE MADE TO BRING FORWARD TO YOU A CONTRACT FOR A SYSTEM CALLED INTERQUAL. YOU WERE KIND ENOUGH TO SUPPORT ME ON THAT. THIS IS A SYSTEM THAT ENABLES REALTIME REVIEW OF HOSPITALIZATIONS. SO UP UNTIL THIS TIME, THE WAY A



HOSPITALIZATION WAS DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE AND PAID FOR BY MEDICAID WAS THROUGH A MANUAL PROCESS WHERE NURSES CALLED T.A.R. NURSES, T.A.R. STANDS FOR TREATMENT AUTHORIZATION, WOULD COME TO A HOSPITAL LIKE L.A.C.+U.S.C. AND THEY WOULD REVIEW CASES, SIX MONTHS AGO IF YOU WERE LUCKY. MAYBE A YEAR AGO, YEAR AND A HALF AGO BECAUSE THE STATE HAS HAD BUDGET CUTS. THEY WOULD REVIEW YOUR HOSPITALIZATIONS AND THEY WOULD TELL YOU "APPROVED, DISAPPROVED, APPROVED, DISAPPROVED". A HOSPITALIZATION WOULD BE DISAPPROVED BECAUSE THE PERSON DID NOT MEET CRITERIA FOR HOSPITALIZATION. YOU WOULDN'T GET PAID. BUT OF COURSE YOU COULDN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT OCCURRED SIX MONTHS AGO, A YEAR AGO, YEAR AND A HALF AGO. WE MADE THE DECISION WE WOULD GO TO A REAL TIME SYSTEM. YOU SUPPORTED IT. SO TODAY AT L.A.C.+U.S.C. AND OLIVE VIEW, SOON TO GO UP AT HARBOR, WE HAVE A SYSTEM WHERE IN REAL TIME, EVERY SINGLE ADMISSION THROUGH THE EMERGENCY ROOM IS REVIEWED THROUGH THE ELECTRONIC INTERQUAL SYSTEM. IF IT PASSES THE REVIEW, THE STATE AUTOMATICALLY AGREES TO PAY. NO T.A.R. NURSES INVOLVED. IF IT DOES NOT GET APPROVED, THEN THERE IS A SECOND LEVEL REVIEW BY A PHYSICIAN IN REAL TIME WHO GOES THROUGH THE RECORD AND THEN SAYS "YES, THIS PERSON SHOULD BE ADMITTED AND THE ONLY REASON IT DIDN'T GET APPROVED IS BECAUSE YOU DID NOT HAVE THE FULL DOCUMENTATION. DOCUMENTATION IS PUT IN IN REAL TIME, SENT THROUGH THE SYSTEM AGAIN, NOW IT'S APPROVED. WE GET PAID. IF THAT PERSON DOES NOT FIT THE



CRITERIA, WE ARE NOW VERY EXPLICIT THAT THAT PERSON NEEDS TO GO TO THE APPROPRIATE PLACE, NOT THE HOSPITAL BECAUSE THE SYSTEM HAS ALREADY DETERMINED THAT THEY ARE NO LONGER NEEDING HOSPITALIZATION AND WE HAVE BEEN ABLE, IN REAL TIME IN THE LAST TWO MONTHS, TO DRAMATICALLY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE BEING ADMITTED DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY NEED TO BE IN A DIFFERENT, MORE APPROPRIATE PLACE. WE WANT TO WORK WITH OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS ON AN EMPANELMENT MODEL. WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THE WORK THAT OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS DO NOW. BUT D.H.S. PAYS PER ENCOUNTER AS OPPOSED TO SAYING TO OUR GREAT COMMUNITY PARTNERS "WE WANT YOU TO IMPANEL YOUR PERSONS. WE WANT YOU TO TAKE CARE OF THEM. AND WE WILL PAY YOU ON A PER MEMBER, PER MONTH. WE NEED STRONGER CASE MANAGEMENT FOR OUR CHRONICALLY ILL PATIENTS. WE NEED TO OBTAIN 340-B MEDICINE PRICING FOR OUR AMBULATORY CARE CENTERS. WE GET IT AT THE HOSPITALS BUT NOT AT OUR CENTERS. TO GET IT TO OUR CENTERS, WE NEED TO OBTAIN F.Q.H.C. STATUS, WHICH THROUGH THE EFFORTS OF DR. ALEX LEE WE'RE MAKING A LOT OF PROGRESS ON GETTING. WE'RE GOING TO REALLY UP OUR GAME IN TERMS OF OUR WORK WITH OUR OTHER SISTER DEPARTMENTS. I HAVE GREAT COLLEAGUES ON D.M.H. AND D.P.H. AND D.P.S.S. AND C.S.S. THERE'S A LOT THAT WE CAN DO TODAY. I WAS TALKING TO THE HEAD OF D.P.S.S. ABOUT HOW WE'VE HAD SO MUCH POSITIVE INTERACTION IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS ABOUT HOW THEY CAN ENROLL PATIENTS AND DO REDETERMINATIONS FOR US MORE EFFICIENTLY THAN WE CAN DO IT OURSELVES. AND FOR ME, HER



DEPARTMENT GETTING THE NECESSARY STAFF IS AS IMPORTANT OR MORE IMPORTANT THAN MY GETTING NECESSARY STAFF BECAUSE THIS IS THE FUTURE. PEOPLE GETTING MEDICAID SO THAT THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO BRING REVENUE AND SO WE HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO SUPPORT THE CARE OF EVERYONE, WHETHER THEY'RE INSURED OR NOT. SO LOTS OF PROGRESS BUT A WHOLE LOT MORE WORK THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN AND THE NEED TO ACCELERATE THE SPEED OF THAT WORK. AS MUCH AS I FEEL WE HAVE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS, HONESTLY, I DON'T THINK WE CAN REALLY SUCCEED UNDER THE CURRENT SPEED OF COUNTY PROCESSES. AND THIS IS A DISCUSSION WHICH FRANKLY, HAS OCCURRED BEFORE I CAME. SOMETIMES PEOPLE WILL STILL SAY TO ME "MITCH," -- THIS HAPPENED FROM A HEALTH POLICY GROUP JUST LAST WEEK, "DO YOU THINK THAT L.A. COUNTY NEEDS TO GO TO AN AUTHORITY LIKE DENVER HEALTH OR NEW YORK HOSPITAL CORPORATION?" AND MY VIEW IS NO. AND WHAT I MEAN IS: WE CAN DO ALL OF THE SAME THINGS WITHOUT GOING TO AN AUTHORITY, BUT WE HAVE TO BE WILLING TO DO ALL OF THE SAME THINGS. AND MY INTERACTIONS WITH THIS BOARD AND WITH YOUR STAFFS OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS I FEEL HAVE BEEN TERRIFIC. AND I COULDN'T ASK FOR A BETTER GOVERNING BODY OR A MORE RESPONSIVE SET OF OFFICES. BUT ALL COUNTY SYSTEMS ARE CUMBERSOME. BUT THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE. WE HAVE ALIGNMENT THAT WE CAN REALLY LOOK AT WHAT ARE THE WAYS THAT WE CAN HIRE MORE QUICKLY? TO SET A GOAL. IT SEEMS TO ME IF A BUDGETED NURSE TODAY AT HARBOR, SAY, OR L.A.C.+U.S.C. OR RANCHO OR OLIVE VIEW, IF THAT NURSE GIVES



NOTICE THAT SHE IS LEAVING IN TWO WEEKS, I WOULD SAY TO YOU: COULDN'T WE HAVE A NURSE TO REPLACE HER WHEN SHE LEAVES? IS THERE ANY REASON WHY WE COULDN'T? AND THE ANSWER IS: ONLY OURSELVES. THERE'S NO SAVINGS BY KEEPING THAT POSITION VACANT. ALL OUR NURSE STAFFING IS UNDER STATE LAW, EVERY FOUR PATIENTS EOUALS ONE REGISTERED NURSE. WE DO THAT EVERY DAY BECAUSE IF WE DON'T DO THAT, WE WILL BE FINED BY THE STATE. SO WHAT HAPPENS WHEN WE DON'T HAVE THAT NURSE WHO'S THERE? WELL WE USE REGISTRY. DOES THAT SAVE US MONEY? NO. THAT COSTS US MORE MONEY. SO WHAT IS THE REASON THAT IF A NURSE GIVES NOTICE, IS IT BECAUSE WE CANNOT RECRUIT NURSES? NO. ACTUALLY THANKS TO THE RECESSION AND THE BAD ECONOMY RIGHT AT THE MOMENT, WE COULD RECRUIT NURSES. SO OBVIOUSLY SPECIALIZED POSITIONS I THINK WE COULD ALL UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU NEEDED A REALLY SPECIALIZED PERSON WHO WAS DIFFICULT TO RECRUIT, THERE MAY BE A LAG. BUT WHAT IS THE REASON THAT WE COULDN'T HAVE, FOR EACH OF THE HOSPITALS, ON THE LIST OF NURSES THAT A NURSE KNEW THAT HE OR SHE WAS GOING TO BE THE NEXT NURSE HIRED IN ACUTE PSYCHIATRY? THE NEXT TIME THAT THERE IS A VACANCY, THEY'RE GOING TO GET A CALL. THE NURSE MANAGER'S GOING TO CALL THEM AND SAY "JUST GOT A NOTICE THAT ONE OF OUR NURSES IS LEAVING IN TWO WEEKS. THAT'S YOUR START DATE." WHY COULDN'T THAT HAPPEN? AND THE ANSWER IS ONLY OURSELVES, WHICH IS TO SAY THAT THE PROCESS IN MY DEPARTMENT AND EVERY OTHER PLACE THAT TOUCHES IT MAKES IT VERY CUMBERSOME. BUT THERE'S NO REASON



THAT HAS TO BE THE CASE. WE COULD CHANGE THAT. AND IN CHANGING IT, NOT ONLY WOULD WE NOT SPEND MORE MONEY, WE WOULD ACTUALLY SPEND LESS MONEY. MR. FUJIOKA, THE C.E.O., HAS EXPRESSED TREMENDOUS WILLINGNESS TO PUT TOGETHER A TASKFORCE TO ACCELERATE THE HIRING, CONTRACTS, THE CLASSIFICATIONS. WE ARE BOTH CLEAR THAT IT IS A TASKFORCE ABOUT HEALTH REFORM. SO IT IS NOT LIMITED TO D.H.S., AS I WAS SAYING. IT IS AS IMPORTANT TO ME THAT D.P.S.S. HIRE THEIR ELIGIBILITY WORKERS TO GET OUR CLIENTS MEDICAID AS IT IS THAT I HIRE PHYSICIANS. BUT WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT, YOU KNOW, AT EVERY LEVEL, YOU KNOW, WHAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN? WHAT ARE THE STEPS, IN THIS CASE, THAT NEED TO BE REMOVED SO THAT WE CAN MAKE THINGS HAPPEN QUICKLY? MEMBERS, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR BEING WILLING TO SPEND THIS MUCH TIME. I KNOW THIS HAS GONE ON A LONG BIT. I KNOW THAT THERE ARE MANY PARTS THAT I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO TOUCH ON, EVEN. I HOPE YOU WILL INVITE ME BACK TO TALK MORE ABOUT THIS IN THE ENSUING MONTHS. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR. KATZ.

SEVERAL OF US NO DOUBT HAVE QUESTIONS. I MAKE NOTE OF THE FACT

THAT THIS IS A MOTION THAT WAS BROUGHT FORTH BY SUPERVISOR

MOLINA, COAUTHORED BY MYSELF. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH CAUSES US

TO HEAR IT TODAY. THERE ARE TWO PERSONS WHO WISH TO BE HEARD.

MEMBERS, LET'S DISPOSE OF THOSE THROUGH PUBLIC COMMENT

REMARKS. AND THEN DR. KATZ, IF YOU WOULD REMAIN AVAILABLE FOR



QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS, THAT WOULD BE USEFUL. LET ME CALL DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL AND ARNOLD SACHS. ARNOLD SACHS WAIVES. THANK YOU, MR. SACHS. DR. CLAVREUL, PLEASE COME FORWARD.

DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY NICE IF WE COULD HAVE HAD THAT POWERPOINT PRESENTATION ACCESSIBLE PRIOR TO THE MEETING. IT WAS NOT. THE ONLY THING ACCESSIBLE WAS, YOU KNOW, FIVE PAGES OF BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. YOU KNOW, I HAVE FOLLOWED THAT ISSUE FOR A LONG TIME. AND WE HAVE HAD A NURSING SHORTAGE AT THE COUNTY FOR QUITE SOMETIME. SPECIFICALLY IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM, EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT. WE CANNOT REPLACE ENOUGH NURSE. TO GIVE YOU AN AVERAGE, THE COST OF LOSING ABOUT 80 R.N., AND I'M SURE LAST YEAR WE LOST MORE THAN 80 R.N. IN THE COUNTY WHO LEFT. COME TO COST ABOUT \$4 MILLION. SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SAVING MONEY, PREVENTING TURNOVER? WE'LL SAVE A LOT OF MONEY. AND THOSE ARE FIGURES OF THE INDUSTRY. THAT'S IN THE FIGURE THAT COME OUT OF THE SKY. LIKE I SAID, I WOULD HAVE LOVED TO HAVE SEEN THAT POWERPOINT. BUT THINGS ARE NOT GOING WELL. WE ARE SPENDING A LOT OF MONEY. YOU KNOW, I WAS REMEMBERING A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, WE HAD AN ISSUE WHERE WE ARE GOING TO GIVE \$10 MILLION A MONTH, I MEAN A YEAR TO BRING A NEW KING-DREW MEDICAL CENTER. AND ACTUALLY WE DID THAT. I MEAN AT ONE POINT WE ADMIT THAT WE HAVE ALREADY GIVE THEM \$30 MILLION. I WANT TO KNOW WHERE THOSE \$30 MILLION WENT. I MEAN,



WE HAVE FROM THE BOARD MEETING OF APRIL 24, 2012, WE HAVE 2012 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CONSIDERED BY YOUR BOARD ON APRIL 17 REFLECTS A THIRD PAYMENT OF \$10 MILLION. THAT MAKES \$30 MILLION. WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT MONEY? I MEAN, AS FAR AS I KNOW, IT WAS, YOU KNOW, LAST WEEK ON SATURDAY ABOVE THE FOLD. WE ARE STILL WAITING FOR THE M.L.K. HOSPITAL. WHEN I LOOK AT THE BUDGET, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SAVING MONEY. I THINK SALARY OF 1 MILLION SOMETHING AND 1 MILLION THREE FOR THE SAME PERIOD OF TIME AND ALSO WHEN YOU SEARCH ON THAT NEW ORGANIZATION, THAT M.L.K. HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, THE ONLY THING YOU CAN FIND OUT IS THAT THEY HAVE TWO EMPLOYEES, AND THEY ONLY HAVE AN INCOME OF \$90,000. I THINK THAT WOULD BE A NICE WAY TO SAVE MONEY, MAYBE NOT TO GIVE ANYMORE AND ASK FOR OUR MONEY BACK. YOU KNOW, I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN THIS YEAR BECAUSE IT APPEARS TO BE VERY TOUGH AND WE'RE NOT READY FOR IT. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. THAT CLOSES THE PUBLIC COMMENT SECTION ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM. WE'LL PROCEED NOW TO THE QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS THAT MEMBERS OF THE BOARD MAY WISH TO POSE. I RECOGNIZE SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY IN THAT ORDER, PLEASE. PROCEED, SIR.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: DR. KATZ, YOU HAD INDICATED THAT THERE'S A



REAL POSSIBILITY THAT YOUR DEPARTMENT WON'T BE ABLE TO RETAIN
THE NEWLY INSURED PATIENTS WHICH WOULD LEAVE YOU WITH AN
ESTIMATED 1.3 MILLION WHO WOULD NOT BE INSURED UNDER THE
HEALTHCARE LAW BUT CONTINUE TO BE THE COUNTY'S RESPONSIBILITY.
ARE YOU WORKING ON OR HAVE YOU DEVELOPED STRATEGIES TO OBTAIN
ADDITIONAL FEDERAL FUNDING AND RESTRUCTURING THE DEPARTMENT TO
SERVE THAT SMALLER, PRIMARILY UNINSURED POPULATION?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, AND WELL STATED BY YOU. YES. I THINK AT THIS MOMENT THE BEST AVENUE WHICH WE'RE WORKING CLOSELY WITH OUR ORGANIZATION, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HOSPITALS, IS THE DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL DOLLARS. SO THEY HAVE TO BE CUT 50 PERCENT, IT'S IN THE LEGISLATION. WHAT IS NOT IN THE LEGISLATION IS WHAT THE FORMULA IS FOR THAT CUT. AND OUR ARGUMENT IS THAT THOSE AREAS THAT REALLY HAVE THE LARGE NUMBERS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE RESIDUALLY UNINSURED, IN LOS ANGELES, EVEN COMPARED TO THE REST OF CALIFORNIA, HAS MANY MORE RESIDUALLY UNINSURED, HAVE TO GET A DISPROPORTIONATE AMOUNT OF MONEY. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE CUT 50 PERCENT TO EVERYONE. SO I THINK THAT'S THE MOST EFFECTIVE BECAUSE THAT'S HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND THAT WOULD MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE TO US.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF THE BOARD COULD RECEIVE A REPORT THAT ADDRESSES THOSE ACTIONS YOU WOULD TAKE



IF THIS OCCURS, INCLUDING KEY FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL INDICATORS.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THANK YOU, SIR.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, PLEASE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE TWO PRIMARY QUESTIONS. FIRST OF ALL, WITH THE STATE LEGISLATURE GOING INTO SPECIAL SESSION ON HEALTHCARE, WHAT ARE THE THINGS THAT YOU WOULD WANT THE COUNTY TO DO? WHAT WOULD THE COUNTY POSITIONS -- WHAT COUNTY POSITIONS SHOULD BE TAKEN TO ADVANCE THE INTEREST OF OUR COUNTY HEALTH SYSTEM? I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU -- I'D LIKE TO HEAR WHAT YOUR THOUGHTS ARE ON THAT NOW, BUT I'D ALSO LIKE YOU TO GET US A MEMORANDUM ON IT LIKE YESTERDAY SO THAT WE CAN BE OF SOME HELP AND NOT JUST RELY ON STAFF TO DO IT, BUT WE AT OUR DISCRETION COULD USE OUR INFLUENCE WITH OUR COLLEAGUES IN THE LEGISLATURE. BUT I NEED TO KNOW WHAT IT IS YOU'RE LOOKING FOR OUT OF THIS AND WHAT IT IS THAT WE'RE TRYING TO STOP FROM HAPPENING.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR HELP, AND I WILL GET THAT WRITTEN. PROBABLY NOT



YESTERDAY, BUT AS SOON AS I CAN. IT'S A COMPLICATED AREA OF NOT ONLY HEALTH POLICY BUT OF LAW. SO THERE IS CURRENTLY A BIT OF A DEBATE GOING ON AS TO WHETHER OR NOT A STATE HAS THE RIGHT TO LOWER THE 138 PERCENT THRESHOLD. SO FOR MEDICAID, THERE IS CONCERN ON THE PART OF HEALTH PLANNERS LIKE ME THAT IF THE STATE MIGHT WANT TO LOWER IT FROM 138 TO 100 PERCENT, SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT EVEN IF THEY WANT THAT, THE LAW PROHIBITS THAT. SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT THE SECRETARY, THROUGH HER WAIVER POWERS, THE FEDERAL SECRETARY SEBELIUS, COULD APPROVE IT. WHAT WE WANT FROM THE LEGISLATURE MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE IS A CONFIRMATION THAT CALIFORNIA SHOULD BE A PACESETTER, NOT A, YOU KNOW, "WHAT'S THE MINIMUM WE CAN DO"? TO FLESH OUT THE ISSUE A LITTLE BIT MORE, THE CONCERN -- YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT THERE WERE SOME STATEMENTS BY THE GOVERNOR ABOUT YES WE'RE GOING TO DO IT BUT WE ARE LEAVING ALL OF OUR OPTIONS OPEN. AND TO SOME PEOPLE WHO READ BETWEEN THE LINES, THE CONCERN WAS THAT THE STATE WORRIES THAT BY GOING ALL THE WAY UP TO 138, EVEN THOUGH THE STATE PAYS ZERO, IT WILL BRING OUT PEOPLE WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR TRADITIONAL MEDICAID. THE MORE PEOPLE WHO GET THE MESSAGE "YOU'RE ELIGIBLE FOR SOMETHING" THE IDEA IS MORE PEOPLE COME FORWARD. THOSE PEOPLE COME FORWARD AND IT TURNS OUT WHEN D.P.S.S. DOES THE ELIGIBILITY, THEY WERE ELIGIBLE FOR THE OLD KIND OF MEDICAID. OLD KIND OF MEDICAID IS 50 CENTS STATE, 50 CENTS FEDERAL DOLLAR. SO WHEN THE STATE SAYS THAT THEY'RE WORRIED ABOUT THE EXPENSES OF THE A.C.A.,



THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE WORRIED ABOUT. AND THAT'S WHY SOME STATES HAVE SAID NO, THEY ARE NOT DOING THE EXPANSION. WE ALWAYS ASSUMED UNTIL WE SAW SOME OF THOSE STATEMENTS OF THE GOVERNOR THAT CALIFORNIA WAS GOING TO BE A PACESETTER. CERTAINLY THERE ARE NO STATEMENTS OF AN OFFICIAL LEVEL THAT THEY'VE CHANGED THEIR MINDS. BUT I THINK WE NEED A STRONG STATEMENT FROM THIS BOARD THAT ASSUMING THIS IS HOW THE BOARD FEELS, THAT CALIFORNIA SHOULD BE A PACESETTER. THAT CALIFORNIA SHOULD BE REALLY TRYING TO MAKE THIS WORK. THAT IF MORE PEOPLE ARE RECOGNIZED WITH TRADITIONAL MEDICAL, IT'S GOOD FOR THE COUNTY AND FOR THE STATE. IT'S GOOD FOR THE COUNTY BECAUSE WE'LL THEN GET PAID FOR PEOPLE THAT WE'RE CURRENTLY NOT GETTING PAID FOR. IT'S GOOD FOR THE STATE BECAUSE IT BRINGS IN FEDERAL DOLLARS THAT HELP THE ECONOMY. SO THE IDEA OF WE WANT THE FULL 138, WE DO NOT WANT TO BE ASKING FOR WAIVER OR APPROVAL TO GO BELOW THAT. SHOULD I STOP THERE?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, THAT'S ONE. THERE ARE OTHERS, GO AHEAD.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THE SECOND ONE HAS TO DO WITH THE BASIC HEALTH PLAN. SO THE CONCERN HERE IS THE WORKING POOR. THE PEOPLE BETWEEN 138 AND 200 PERCENT OF POVERTY AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE REALLY GOING TO BE ABLE TO AFFORD COVERAGE THAT IS MEANINGFUL UNDER THE EXCHANGE. AND THE IDEA OF THE BASIC HEALTH PLAN IS TO PROVIDE A BENEFIT SO THAT -- THAT IS



AFFORDABLE. AND FOR EXAMPLE, PEOPLE TALK ABOUT SOMETHING THAT'S \$75 A MONTH. NO ONE BELIEVES THAT THE EXCHANGE, I DON'T WANT TO SAY NO ONE. MOST PEOPLE DO NOT BELIEVE THE EXCHANGE WILL BE ONLY \$75 A MONTH. BECAUSE INSURANCE IS SO EXPENSIVE THAT EVEN HEAVILY SUBSIDIZED, IT'S GOING TO BE MORE THAN THAT. AND THEREFORE WHAT PEOPLE ARE GOING TO DO IS THEY'RE JUST GOING TO OPT OUT OF COVERAGE, RIGHT? THE ISSUE IS NOT HOW DARE WE CHARGE PEOPLE THAT AMOUNT. THE ISSUE THAT MOST PEOPLE SEE IS PEOPLE WON'T DO IT. THEY JUST WON'T DO IT. AND SO THEY'LL JUST REMAIN UNINSURED UNDER THE CURRENT EXCHANGE. AND SO HAVING A BASIC HEALTH PLAN IS A WAY OF SAYING THAT, NO, IT'S IN THE COUNTY'S INTEREST THAT PEOPLE HAVE A WAY TO PAY FOR THEIR CARE. AND IT'S GOOD FOR PEOPLE TO CONTRIBUTE WHAT THEY CAN. IT'S JUST UNREALISTIC TO EXPECT THAT WORKING FAMILIES ARE GOING TO SOMEWHERE FIND \$200 A MONTH TO SEND FOR INSURANCE. AND SO I THINK THAT THE SECOND BIG ONE WOULD BE BASIC HEALTH PLAN. WHEN YOU GET TO THE EXCHANGE, I THINK THAT'S WHERE IT'S HARDEST RIGHT NOW TO SAY WHAT IS MOST IN THE COUNTY'S INTEREST IN PART BECAUSE THERE'S SO LITTLE INFORMATION. EXCHANGES HAVEN'T BEEN BROUGHT UP ANYWHERE. PEOPLE ARE NOT SURE ABOUT LEVELS OF COVERAGE AND THERE ARE RATHER INTENSE FIGHTS GOING ON NOW BETWEEN THE IDEA OF DEDUCTIBLE PLANS. THE BIG ADVANTAGE OF A DEDUCTIBLE PLAN IS THAT COULD ADDRESS AFFORDABILITY. RIGHT. SO IF YOU DON'T COVER ANYTHING EXCEPT PEOPLE WITH CATASTROPHIC COSTS, THAT COVERAGE IS GOING TO BE WAY LESS. ON



THE OTHER HAND, THE COUNTY IS GOING TO WIND UP PAYING FOR THAT PERSON'S CARE BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO REMAIN A PERSON WHO'S WORKING POOR, WHO MAKES IT MONTH-TO-MONTH. THEY'RE GOING TO, LET'S SAY, NEED \$500 OF MEDICAL CARE, \$700 \$1200. THAT'S NOT GOING TO INVOKE THE CATASTROPHIC. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE IT. SO IN FACT, THEY'RE GOING TO BE INSURED BUT WE'RE STILL PAYING THE BILL.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO DETERMINES WHETHER THERE'S A BASIC PLAN?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: ALSO A COMPLICATED LEGAL ISSUE. THE STATE DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A PLAN. AND THE BASIC HEALTH PLAN IS IN THE A.C.A. AS A STATE OPTION. HOWEVER, THE STATE PLAN HAS TO CONFORM TO THE FEDERAL RULES AS WRITTEN BY C.M.S. AND TO DATE, C.M.S. HAS NOT WRITTEN ANY RULES. WHICH IS ONE OF THE REASONS THAT THE STATE HAS SAID WELL HOW -- WE COULD CREATE A BASIC HEALTH PLAN BASED ON WHAT WE THINK C.M.S. WILL LATER APPROVE OR WE CAN WAIT AND SEE IF C.M.S. PROMULGATES THE GUIDELINES AND THEN DO THE PLAN. I THINK THAT PART OF WHY, AGAIN IF YOU TRY TO READ BETWEEN THE LINES, THERE IS A CERTAIN LEVEL OF AMBIVALENCE ABOUT THE BASIC HEALTH PLAN AT EVERY LEVEL. AND THE AMBIVALENCE IS, SHOULD OUR GOAL AS GOOD POLICYMAKERS BE TRYING TO PROVIDE PEOPLE SOMETHING THAT IS AFFORDABLE? OR SHOULD WE BE TRYING TO PROVIDES AMOUNTS



THAT ARE AT LEAST COMMENSURATE WITH THE COST OF PROVIDING THE SERVICE? AND BECAUSE OF HOW EXPENSIVE THE AMERICAN HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IS, IT'S NOT CLEAR YOU CAN DO BOTH AT THE MOMENT. IT'S NOT CLEAR THAT YOU CAN PROVIDE A COMPREHENSIVE PACKAGE THAT PROVIDES DECENT RATES AD IS STILL AFFORDABLE. THE COUNTER, WHICH IS WHERE I AM AT THIS MOMENT, IS OKAY, I SEE ALL THAT, BUT IN THE END THAT JUST MEANS THAT THE PERSON'S UNCOVERED AND THE COUNTY PAYS THE BILL. SO HOW HAVE YOU HELPED ANYTHING? AT LEAST IF YOU COME UP WITH A BASIC HEALTH PLAN, EVEN IF IT'S NOT COMPREHENSIVE, AND EVEN IF IT DOESN'T PAY FULL AMOUNTS TO THE PROVIDERS, IT'S SOMETHING. IT'S BETTER THAN NOTHING. IT'S BETTER THAN THE COUNTY HAVING TO PAY THE WHOLE BILL OR THE PROVIDER GETTING ZERO BECAUSE THE PERSON IS NOT INSURED.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU SAY THE COUNTY PAYS THE WHOLE BILL, WHO'S ACTUALLY -- THIS IS NOT COUNTY BEING REIMBURSED BY THE STATE.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: RIGHT. SO WHAT I'M THINKING IS --

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ISN'T THIS ALL ABOUT -- I MEAN BOTH OF YOUR ASKS THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT WOULD COST THE STATE MONEY, LOTS OF MONEY.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: YES. WHAT I WAS THINKING IS IF, LET'S SAY



TALKING ABOUT THE WORKING POOR, SOMEONE'S 150 PERCENT AT POVERTY. AND BECAUSE THE AMOUNT THEY WOULD HAVE TO PAY PER MONTH FOR THE EXCHANGE IS LARGE, THEY DO ONE OF TWO THINGS. THEY EITHER SAY, "CAN'T AFFORD IT." WHICH I COULD CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND IF YOU LIVED IN AN EXPENSIVE COUNTY LIKE L.A., IT'S HARD TO GET BY EVEN ON A REALLY GOOD SALARY. SO I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND WORKING PEOPLE SAY, "CAN'T AFFORD IT. I'LL PAY A PENALTY ASSUMING THE I.R.S. EVER FIGURES OUT HOW TO CHARGE THE PENALTY. I'LL PAY THE PENALTY. OR I WILL GET A HIGH DEDUCTIBLE PLAN." THEN THEY SHOW UP AT MY CLINIC AT ROYBAL. WE'RE GOING TO BE TAKING CARE OF THEM AS WE WOULD TAKE CARE OF ANYBODY WITH A NEED. AND NOW THEY'RE STILL UNINSURED BECAUSE THEY PAID THE PENALTY, SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET PAID. AND THEY DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO PAY. OR THEY ARE INSURED BUT THEY'RE ONLY INSURED IF THEY HIT A \$5000 DEDUCTIBLE. SO IN THE END, THE COUNTY IS THE ONE WHO PAYS.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT FROM THE STATE'S POINT OF VIEW, THE STATE NOT WANTING TO INCUR ANY MORE EXPENSES, IT'S NOT UNCOMMON FOR THE STATE TO HAVE THE COUNTY PICK UP THE TAB FOR A LOT OF THINGS THAT THEY USED TO PICK UP THE TAB FOR, OR SHOULD HAVE.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: UNDERSTOOD, SIR.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. SO I MEAN, AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, ISN'T THAT GOING TO BE THE ISSUE?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: YES. I MEAN I THINK THAT THE PLACE WHERE PEOPLE LIKE YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUES HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL BEFORE WITH THE STATE IS TO THE EXTENT THAT THE STATE LIMITS THINGS, WE'RE ALSO LIMITING FEDERAL DOLLARS. FEDERAL DOLLARS ARE A GOOD STIMULUS. HEALTHCARE IS NOT A BAD INDUSTRY. IT'S VERY LABOR INTENSIVE. IF YOU DRAW DOWN MORE FEDERAL DOLLARS FOR HEALTHCARE, IF WE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DRAW DOWN MORE FEDERAL DOLLARS FOR HEALTHCARE, THAT'S GOING TO BE MORE JOBS. MORE JOBS IN CALIFORNIA. SO THE MORE INCOME, RIGHT? IF THE STATE DOESN'T DO IT, THEN YOU JUST HAVE THE COUNTY DOLLARS. YOU DON'T BRING IN THE FEDERAL DOLLAR.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. I'D BE INTERESTED IN A MORE

COMPREHENSIVE MEMO FROM YOU IF YOU CAN. JUST KIND OF A ROAD

MAP AND AN INTELLIGENCE GATHERING. WHAT DO WE EXPECT TO SEE?

WHAT'S THE STATE'S PLAY BOOK? WHAT'S OUR PLAY BOOK? LET US

FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN RECONCILE THAT. I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE

I'M JUST PRACTICALLY SPEAKING, THERE'S A LOT OF TALK THAT PROP

30 ISN'T GOING TO BE ENOUGH TO GET THE STATE OUT OF ITS TOTAL

HOLE AND DIG THEMSELVES FURTHER INTO IT I THINK IS GOING TO BE

A HEAVY LIFT. BUT LET'S SEE WHAT WE COME UP WITH. I HAVE ONE

OTHER QUESTION, WHICH IS MORE SPECIFIC. BACK TO THE ISSUE OF



HEALTHY WAY L.A. YOU GOT OFF TO A REALLY GOOD START ON HEALTHY WAY L.A. YOU RAMPED UP. GOT TO APPROXIMATELY 200,000 ENROLLEES. AND THEN IT ESSENTIALLY PLATEAUED. AND IT'S BEEN AT 200,000 FOR QUITE SOME TIME. IS IT SIX MONTHS, A YEAR? I DON'T EVEN KNOW.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: RIGHT.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN PLATEAUED?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: ABOUT SIX MONTHS.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE REASON FOR THAT IS? YOU WANT TO GET TO 300,000 OR MORE? THIS COMING YEAR?
WHAT'S THE PLAN? WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO DIFFERENTLY IN THE NEXT YEAR TO GET US UP THERE THAT WE'RE NOT DOING NOW? WHAT'S YOUR ASSESSMENT OF WHY THIS HAS HAPPENED.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: SURE. THANK YOU SUPERVISOR. WE'VE HAD TWO PROBLEMS WHICH RESULTED IN THE PLATEAU BOTH OF WHICH I THINK ARE FIXABLE. THE FIRST ONE HAS BEEN RE-ENROLLMENT. SO THE PROGRAM REQUIRES A MANDATORY RE-ENROLLMENT. AND SO THAT, THEN, CREATED A WHOLE SET OF ACTIVITIES OF THE SAME PEOPLE WHO WERE ONCE DOING ENROLLMENT. SO PART OF HOW I GOT THE ENROLLMENT UP WAS TO SET AS THE TASK, OKAY, 60,000 PEOPLE AFTER YOU KNOW, 18



MONTHS DOESN'T SEEM RIGHT TO ME IN A COUNTY THE SIZE OF L.A.,

LET'S GET TO 200,000, WHICH WE DID. BUT THOSE PEOPLE CAME UP -
ALL THOSE NEW PEOPLE CAME UP FOR RE-ENROLLMENT. AND THE PEOPLE

WHO I HAD DOING THE ENROLLMENT HAD TO SWITCH TO RE-ENROLLMENT.

AND I THINK IN RETROSPECT, THAT WAS A MISTAKE OF MINE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW OFTEN DO THESE FOLKS HAVE TO BE REENROLLED?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: YEARLY. WHICH IS PART OF THE SIGNIFICANCE
OF OUR NEXT EFFORT, BECAUSE THOSE PEOPLE ARE NOT GOING TO NEED
TO BE REENROLLED. BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO ENROLL THEM STARTING
IN JANUARY SO THAT THEY'LL GO SMOOTHLY, WE HOPE, INTO
MEDICAID. SO I THINK THAT THE MISTAKE ON MY PART WAS THAT I
SHOULD HAVE COME TO YOU AND SAID, GIVEN THE RE-ENROLLMENT,
IT'S GOING TO DIVERT MY RESOURCES, AND IF I'M GOING TO KEEP
GROWING, I'M GOING TO NEED MORE STAFF. AND I DIDN'T QUITE
ANTICIPATE HOW MUCH EFFORT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE REENROLLMENT. YOU KNOW, I THINK THE COUNTER WAS: ALL OF THESE
PROGRAMS ARE BRAND NEW, AND WE JUST DIDN'T ANTICIPATE THAT REENROLLMENT WOULD BE AS CHALLENGING TO ACHIEVE AS IT TURNED OUT
TO BE.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ON THAT NOTE, IN MITCH'S PRESENTATION, THE LAST SLIDE SPOKE TO A TASKFORCE OR A COUNTY-WIDE EFFORT.



THERE'S ALSO A REFERENCE TO THE ROLE D.P.S.S. COULD PLAY. AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT -- AND HE'S TALKED TO OUR DIRECTOR OF D.P.S.S. OF HAVING THEIR STAFF HELP WITH ENROLLMENT AND THE REENROLLMENT PROCESS. SO IT'S CLEARLY A TASK, A SIGNIFICANT TASK THAT'S NOT INVESTED SOLELY IN D.H.S. BUT HAS TO BE APPROACHED ON A MUCH WIDER, COUNTYWIDE BASIS. SO D.P.S.S., GIVEN THEIR ENROLLMENT RESPONSIBILITIES IN OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAMS WOULD BE VERY WELL SUITED TO TAKE ON SOME OF THESE RESPONSIBILITIES.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO GOING INTO NEXT YEAR, WHICH IS THREE WEEKS AWAY, WHAT IS YOUR GAME PLAN?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: SO THAT THE ONE PART OF THE PLAN IS THAT

FOR THE FIRST TIME, WITH YOUR SUPPORT, WE'RE GOING TO PROVIDE

AN ADMINISTRATIVE FEE TO COMMUNITY PARTNERS WHO SUCCESSFULLY

ENROLL PEOPLE. SO THAT WILL GIVE THEM AN ABILITY TO HIRE MORE

PEOPLE. NOT TO SAY -- IT'S NOT SO MUCH THAT THEY -- IT'S NOT A

QUESTION OF WE HAVE TO INCENTIVIZE THEM AS MUCH AS WE HAVE TO

HAVE THEM HAVE ENOUGH STAFF TO BE ABLE TO PULL IT OFF, RIGHT.

AND YOU KNOW WELL FROM YOUR WORK WITH COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

HOW TIGHT BUDGETS CAN BE AT COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS. SO BY

PROVIDING THEM A STEADY REVENUE SOURCE FOR EVERY ENROLLMENT,

WE BELIEVE THEY WILL THEN BE ABLE TO HIRE UP ADDITIONAL

ENROLLMENT STAFF. I ALSO NEED MORE VISIT STAFF. THAT IS TO SAY



IN ORDER TO ENROLL PEOPLE, YOU HAVE TO BE ABLE TO FULFILL THE PROMISE. ESPECIALLY GIVEN THAT WE WANT PEOPLE TO CHOOSE US. IT'S NO ADVANTAGE TO ME TO ENROLL PEOPLE AND HAVE THEM HAVE A BAD EXPERIENCE OF US AND THEREBY BE READY THAT THE FIRST CHANCE THEY HAVE CHOICE THAT THEY'RE GOING TO LEAVE BECAUSE THEY JOINED HEALTHY WAY L.A., THEY CALLED FOR AN APPOINTMENT AND THEY WERE TOLD NO APPOINTMENTS ARE AVAILABLE. THEN WE'VE ACTUALLY DONE OURSELVES A NEGATIVE SERVICE. SEE, WITH THE HEALTHY WAY L.A. PEOPLE, TO A CERTAIN EXTENT, BECAUSE IT'S --THE ADVANTAGE TO HAVING IT STRONGEST IF YOU NEED SERVICES. WE'VE ALWAYS MEANT THAT ANYONE WHO CAME FOR SERVICES WE WERE ENROLLING. WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS MUCH MORE NOW THE COMMUNITY FAIR STUFF, THE CHURCH BASEMENT, THE SYNAGOGUES, THE PLACES WHERE PEOPLE ARE, AND REALLY ENROLL LOW INCOME PEOPLE. SO WE ALSO HAVE SEVERAL PARTNERS NOW, INCLUDING ONE L.A. AND S.E.I.U., ALL OF WHOM SEE IT AS IN THEIR INTEREST THAT WE DO A BIG ENROLLMENT. THEY GET THE IDEA THAT THERE'S NO RE-ENROLLMENT HERE. SO THIS IS OUR TIME AND THEN IN JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MARCH, WE GO AFTER THE PEOPLE. WE WANT TO HAVE THEM HAVE A POSITIVE EXPERIENCE. I THINK WE CAN GET AT LEAST TO 300,000.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR



YAROSLAVSKY. THE CHAIR RECOGNIZES SUPERVISOR KNABE.

SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. IT'S OBVIOUS THAT D.H.S. IS PROBABLY THE ONLY COUNTY DEPARTMENT THAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO COMPETE FOR THE BULK OF ITS NEW REVENUES KIND OF A SITUATION. AND YOU TALKED A LITTLE BIT IN YOUR PRESENTATION ABOUT THE CULTURE. AND I'M ASSUMING THAT YOU'LL BE FOLLOWING UP ON THAT BECAUSE THAT'S A VERY, VERY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. THE COUNTY HAS REALLY NOT HAD TO COMPETE IN THE PAST. WE HAVE SORT OF TAKEN FOR GRANTED, LIKE YOU SAID, HEY, WE'RE THE SAFETY NET. SO YOU CAN'T PAY, JUST COME SEE US. BUT NOW WE HAVE TO JUST REINVIGORATE THE ENTIRE SYSTEM ON A WHOLE DIFFERENT PHASE BECAUSE YOU ARE GOING TO BE SO DEPENDENT ON THOSE REVENUES BASED ON CHOICE AND CUSTOMER SERVICE, WHICH IS A WHOLE NEW CREATURE FOR ALL OF US. I KNOW YOU'RE GOING TO FOLLOW-UP ON THAT. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'D LIKE FOR YOU ADDRESS, BECAUSE I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THIS, AND MAYBE BILL HAS A COMMENT. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT IS SORT OF LOST IN THIS CONVERSATION AS IT RELATES TO WHAT THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT MAY OR MAY NOT COST US. I MEAN, IT'S GOING TO COST US A LOT, I'M ASSUMING. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT LOSING THROUGH ACTION, LEGISLATIVE ACTION THAT IS, IS THE 1991 REALIGNMENT DOLLARS. I PERSONALLY FEEL THAT WE NEED TO REALLY WATCH THIS CAREFULLY AND OUR POSITION AND THAT WE GIVE ABSOLUTELY NO GIVE-BACKS UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT WE HAVE A



FRAMEWORK OF TIME TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT IS GOING TO COST US. BUT THAT'S OUT THERE. THAT WOULD BE A VERY HEAVY HIT TO US BECAUSE WE'RE NOT ONLY GIVING UP SOMETHING BECAUSE AHEAD OF WHAT WE KNOW SOMETHING ELSE IS GOING COST US, BECAUSE WE WERE IN THIS POSITION AND NOW HAVE TO COMPETE FOR THAT CUSTOMER. I THINK IT'S A VERY, VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION, AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE WE ARE ON THAT. BUT I REALLY FEEL VERY STRONGLY THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE TALKING ABOUT ANY LEGISLATIVE GIVE-BACKS UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT THIS AFFORDABLE CARE ACT IS GOING TO COST US.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE ABSOLUTELY AGREE. IN FACT, WE'VE HEARD THE SAME RUMOR. WE HAVE YET TO VERIFY ANYTHING, BUT AT THIS POINT IN TIME, I'D RECOMMEND THAT WE, THAT WE GET AGGRESSIVE ON THAT AND WE FIGHT ANY, ANY EFFORT TO TAKE THOSE FUNDS AWAY.

SUP. KNABE: I THINK IF WE CAN UNCOVER THE RUMOR, GO TO WHEREVER IT'S RUMORED, WE NEED TO GET ON TOP OF THAT AND NOT JUST WAIT AND SIT BACK AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN THAT LEGISLATIVE ACTION PIECE IS IN THERE AND THEN WE'VE GOT TO PULL IT OUT. I'D RATHER GET IT OFF THE TABLE BECAUSE WE CAN'T DO ANY GIVE-BACKS UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT THIS IS GOING TO COST US.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY. AND WE'RE DOING THAT. WE'RE TRYING
TO TRACK THAT DOWN. THIS IS A VERY, VERY FRESH RUMOR. I DON'T



KNOW IF DR. KATZ HAS ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: I THINK WITH YOUR APPROVAL, SUPERVISOR,

I'LL INCLUDE IT IN THE MEMO TO ALL OF YOU ABOUT THE STATE

ACTIONS. AND I THINK WE HAVE A VERY GOOD ARGUMENT, BUT I THINK

THAT YOU'RE RIGHT TO WORRY. I THINK THE WAY THE ARGUMENT IS

GOING TO GO IS THE COUNTIES ARE GETTING THIS HUGE INFUSION OF

NEW MONEY THROUGH MEDICAID. THE STATE IS NOT GETTING ANY. THE

STATE NEEDS MONEY. WE'RE TAKING YOUR MONEY. THE PUSH BACK THAT

WE HAVE TO MAKE ON A POLICY --

SUP. KNABE: THAT HAPPENS EVERY YEAR, BY THE WAY.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: RIGHT, RIGHT. THE ISSUE ON THE POLICY
BESIDES WHAT YOU'VE ALREADY ARTICULATED, WHICH IS WE NEED TO
SEE HOW THIS WORKS OUT, IS THAT THE REALIGNMENT WAS MEANT IN
EXCHANGE FOR THE SECTION 17,000 REQUIREMENT THAT COUNTIES
PROVIDE CARE TO UNINSURED PEOPLE. BUT THE TOTAL REALIGNMENT
THAT YOU'RE GETTING DOESN'T COME ANYWHERE NEAR THE COST OF
PROVIDING CARE TO THE UNINSURED PEOPLE, NOR WILL IT COME
ANYWHERE NEAR CLOSE THE COST OF THE RESIDUALLY UNINSURED
BECAUSE IT WAS ARTIFICIAL IN 1991 LET ALONE IN 2013. SO IN
TERMS OF WHAT THAT RE-ALIGNMENT WAS SUPPOSED TO DO, IN A
SENSE, IT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE D.S.H. ARGUMENT. IN THE D.S.H.
ARGUMENT, WHETHER IT HELPS US OR NOT, I SORT OF UNDERSTAND.



THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS BASICALLY SAYING, "WE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ARE GOING TO MOVE FROM GIVING YOU MONEY THROUGH YOUR PUBLIC HOSPITALS TO GIVING YOU MONEY THROUGH MEDICAID. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THE SAME MONEY AND JUST GIVE IT TO YOU IN A DIFFERENT WAY." WITH THE STATE IS A VERY DIFFERENT ISSUE. THEY DID THE REALIGNMENT IN EXCHANGE FOR THE REQUIREMENT. AND THE REALIGNMENT DOESN'T PAY FOR THE REQUIREMENT. AND IT WON'T UNDER THE A.C.A. SO THERE REALLY IS NO POLICY ARGUMENT. IT'S JUST THE "WE LIKE YOUR MONEY" ARGUMENT.

SUP. KNABE: WELL RIGHT. AND IF WE GET IN THAT COMPETITIVE

SITUATION, WHICH WE WILL BE, I MEAN, THAT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT

BALL GAME FOR US. AND TO GIVE UP THOSE DOLLARS AHEAD OF TIME I

THINK IS A BIG MISTAKE ON OUR PART. AND IT'S NOT JUST US

UNCOVERING THOSE RUMORS. THAT WAS ONE OF THE HOT TOPICS OF

CONVERSATION ALL LAST WEEK AT THE C.S.A.C. ANNUAL CONVENTION

WAS THE GIVING UP OF 1991 REALIGNMENT DOLLARS. SOME OF THE

SMALLER COUNTIES, OBVIOUSLY THE IMPACT IS NOT AS GREAT AS IT

WOULD BE FOR US. BUT I JUST THINK WE NEED TO STAY OUT AHEAD OF

THE CURVE BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOU STILL, AS YOU

MENTIONED IN YOUR REPORT, THERE'S STILL A WHOLE BUNCH OF FOLKS

THAT ARE GOING TO WIND UP UNINSURED. THERE ARE STILL A WHOLE

BUNCH OF FOLKS THAT ARE GOING TO WIND UP ON OUR FACILITIES

UNINSURED. SO WE, REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE FORMULA MAY BE FOR

REIMBURSEMENT AS WE HAVE TO COMPETE FOR THOSE DOLLARS, WE



DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT OTHER PIECE IS GOING TO COST US. AND FOR US TO GIVE UP REALIGNMENT DOLLARS FROM WAY BACK WHEN WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT THIS IS GOING TO COST US INTO THE FUTURE I THINK WOULD BE A DIFFICULT DECISION FOR US TO MAKE, AND WE JUST NEED TO STAY ON TOP OF IT.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WHY DON'T WE PRESENT THE ARGUMENT NOW? IN WORKING WITH DR. KATZ, GIVEN WHAT HE'S JUST PRESENTED AND HOW WITH REALIGNMENT, THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF RE-ALIGNMENT AND THE CONSEQUENCE TO US AND THE FACT THAT IT'S WOEFULLY INADEQUATE TO PAY FOR THE INDIGENT CARE, WHY DON'T WE LAY OUT A POSITION RIGHT NOW, A LETTER RIGHT NOW, AND SEND IT FORWARD.

SUP. KNABE: I WOULD ASK THAT WE DO A FIVE SIGNATURE LETTER.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I'D DO IT RIGHT NOW INSTEAD OF WAIT FOR THIS.

IT'S GAINING SOME FEET. WE HEARD THERE MAY BE A SPECIAL

SESSION IN THE LEGISLATURE IN THE BEGINNING PART OF JANUARY.

WE HAVEN'T HEARD ANY VERIFICATION OF WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO.

BUT IT IS STARTING TO GAIN FEET. WE CAN DO THAT. AND THEN

THROUGH OUR PARTNERS WITH OTHER LARGE URBAN COUNTIES GET THEM

TO DO THE SAME THING AND GET ON TOP OF THIS, GET IN FRONT OF

THIS.

SUP. KNABE: I WOULD SO MOVE MR. CHAIRMAN.



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. TO BE CONSIDERED FOR DISPOSITION. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU DR. KATZ, FOR THE REPORT. IT WAS VERY COMPREHENSIVE. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A BIT LONG BUT THE REALITY IS WE NEEDED ALL OF THAT INFORMATION. AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR EVERYONE TO KNOW WHAT OUR SITUATION IS. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS PROMINENT TO ME IN THAT SITUATION IS 13 MONTHS. 13 MONTHS ISN'T A LONG TIME IN ORDER TO GET GOING WITH SOMETHING THAT WE'VE KNOWN ABOUT FOR THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. BUT VERY, FRANKLY, THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS THAT NEED TO START TAKING PLACE. AND CERTAINLY YOU'VE BROUGHT ATTENTION TO THE THINGS THAT YOU NEED TO BE DOING, THAT WE NEED TO BE DOING. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT KEEPS COMING OUT AS BEING OUTSTANDING, THERE ARE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN CONTROL WITHIN OUR OWN COUNTY, WITHIN OUR OWN DEPARTMENT, ABOUT HOW WE START TRANSITIONING AND REINVENTING OURSELVES IN A VERY DIFFERENT BUSINESS MODEL THAN WE'VE BEEN UP TO NOW. HOW WE'RE GOING TO HANDLE THE ISSUE OF SECTION 17,000 AS COMPARED TO OTHER HOSPITALS THAT HAVE A DIFFERENT BUSINESS MODEL. AND BASICALLY THE A.C.A. KIND OF TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION REALLY MORE OF A BUSINESS MODEL THAN IT DOES PUBLIC HOSPITAL WITH SECTION 17,000 ON US. BUT ONE OF THE TROUBLING PARTS OF IT IS WHAT IS NOT UNDER OUR CONTROL. WHAT IS NOT UNDER OUR CONTROL



IS WHAT'S GOING ON RIGHT NOW IN THE LEGISLATURE AND AT H.E.W. OR HEALTH SERVICES. AND THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF ISSUES THAT CAN CHANGE AT ANY MINUTE, AT ANY TIME. WHEN WE HAD TO GO THROUGH THE WAIVER ISSUES, IT WAS INTERESTING HOW THIS BOARD WAS INVOLVED IN A VERY COMPREHENSIVE CONNECTION, I'LL SAY, KNOWING WHAT WAS GOING ON, WHETHER IN SACRAMENTO OR WHETHER AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL. AND WHATEVER HAPPENED AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL OF COURSE HAD TO BE COMPLIMENTED OR DEALT WITH AT THE STATE LEVEL, AS WELL, BECAUSE IT ALL AFFECTS THE OTHER. BUT WE HAD A TEAM OPERATING CONSTANTLY ON THAT ISSUE. AND I THINK WE WERE TREMENDOUSLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE OF THAT TEAM APPROACH. IT INVOLVED ALL OF US, AS COLLEAGUES WHO WE CAN CALL PEOPLE FROM TIME TO TIME, WHETHER IT'S OUR SENATORS, MEMBERS OF CONGRESS OR MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE. HAVING BEEN IN THE LEGISLATURE AND MY COLLEAGUE, SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, I CAN ASSURE YOU AND MORE THAN LIKELY WHAT IS GOING ON TODAY WITH VARIOUS FRESHMEN MEMBERS GOING UP THERE, THE L.A. COUNTY HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IS A TOTAL UNKNOWN TO MOST LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS. MOST LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS. THE DELEGATION ITSELF DOESN'T EVEN TREAT ITSELF, THE L.A. DELEGATION, AS A RESPONSIBILITY TOWARD TAKING CARE OF THE L.A. COUNTY HEALTHCARE SYSTEM. I'VE SEEN THAT TIME AND TIME AGAIN. I ALWAYS FOUND THE BAY AREA TO BE MUCH MORE COHESIVE. WHENEVER THEY NEEDED SOMETHING, THEY HAD I THINK IT WAS THREE ASSEMBLY PEOPLE WHO CAME AND RALLIED AROUND AND HELPED THEM. WE WHO HAVE MANY MORE, ON AVERAGE OUR COLLEAGUES



DON'T HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW WE OPERATE OUR SYSTEM, AND CERTAINLY THAT WAS TRUE THE LAST TIME AROUND WHEN WE STARTED TALKING ABOUT SECTION 17,000 AND THE FACT THAT WE WEREN'T GETTING THE REIMBURSEMENT, THE FACT THAT WE GOT REIMBURSEMENT FOR HAVING OUR PATIENTS IN HOSPITAL CARE, WHICH WAS A HIGHER REIMBURSEMENT RATE, INSTEAD OF PREVENTIVE CARE. WE WERE NEVER ABLE TO GET THEM TO HAVE A FULL AND COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING OF THAT. BUT WHAT WE DID KNOW, AND WHAT WE DID FIND IS THAT MOST LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS HAD VERY LITTLE UNDERSTANDING OF HOW OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM OPERATES. WITH A.C.A. BEGINNING IN 13 MONTHS, I'M VERY TROUBLED BY THE FACT THAT WE HAVE A VERY LARGE CONTINGENCY IN THE U.S. CONGRESS AND A VERY LARGE CONTINGENCY IN THE LEGISLATURE WHO CAN BE OUR ADVOCATES. BUT UNTIL THEY UNDERSTAND HOW OUR SYSTEM WORKS AND HOW THE POTENTIAL RULE MAKING, POLICY MAKING, AND LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS ARE GOING TO TAKE PLACE AND HOW IT AFFECTS OUR SYSTEM, I AM WORRIED THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE RUNNING AROUND LAST MINUTE TRYING TO CALL PEOPLE AND SAY "SUCH-AND-SUCH'S BILL IS ON THE FLOOR, IT'S GOING GET THE VOTES." AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO CALL UP PEOPLE OR YOU'RE GOING TO ASK TO CALL PEOPLE AND THEY'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A FRAMEWORK OF WHICH TO OPERATE FROM. AND I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. LAST TIME WE HAD BURT MARGOLIN PUT TOGETHER A TEAM, IT WAS PRETTY COMPREHENSIVE, THAT WORKED ON THE FINANCIAL ISSUES, THEY WORKED ON THE FEDERAL ISSUES. THEY WORKED ON A LOT OF THE RULE MAKING AND



THE POLICY MAKING AND HOW IT WOULD AFFECT THE FINANCIAL ISSUES, WHICH WE HAVE TO MONITOR, AS WELL. AND SO I THINK THAT WHAT WE NEED HERE, AS WELL, IS THAT KIND OF A TEAM. THE GOVERNOR HAS CALLED A SPECIAL SESSION JUST FOR HEALTHCARE ALONE. AND I THINK WE NEED TO BE AT THE TABLE EVERY SINGLE DAY. I THINK WE NEED TO BE WALKING AROUND TO OUR LEGISLATORS AND WE HAVE TO HAVE A BASIC BREAKDOWN OF WHAT THIS TRANSITION IS GOING TO MEAN FOR OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM. WE KNOW CLEARLY THAT A LARGE PART OF OUR POPULATION, THE UNDOCUMENTED, ARE GOING TO HAVE NO HEALTHCARE SERVICES AT ALL, NONE. IN OTHER WORDS, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET REIMBURSEMENT FOR ANYTHING, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE'RE GOING TO BECOME BECOME THEIR HEALTHCARE PROVIDER. THAT'S THE REALITY. IN THE PAST, THERE'S BEEN SOME KIND OF A SUBSIDY BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT'S GOING TO BE AT THIS POINT IN TIME. HOPEFULLY IF WE'RE ABLE TO ATTRACT ENOUGH PAYING CUSTOMERS, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO SUBSIDIZE THE NONPAYING, WHICH HAS ALWAYS BEEN SORT OF OUR BUSINESS PLAN. BUT THAT MAY CHANGE DIFFERENTLY DEPENDING UPON HOW RULES ARE MADE AND HOW EVERYTHING IS SET UP. SO I REALLY DO THINK THAT ONE OF THE THINGS WE NEED TO DO IS CALL THAT TEAM TOGETHER AGAIN. I HAVEN'T SEEN BURT MARGOLIN IN A COUPLE OF YEARS. BUT I THINK THAT HE WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL IN THIS REGARD IN PUTTING TOGETHER THAT TEAM AGAIN AS WE HAD BEFORE SO THAT WE COULD BE FULLY ENGAGED, INCLUDING OUR STAFF PEOPLE WHO WOULD COME TO THE TABLE AND BE A PART OF A WEEKLY, ONGOING SET



OF AT LEAST NEED-TO-KNOW. WHAT ARE THE THINGS WE NEED TO KNOW?
WHO ARE THE CALLS WE NEED TO MAKE? HOW DO WE ENGAGE OUR
LEGISLATORS? I KNOW THAT THE LAST TIME IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT.
AND MARK, YOU CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT I MEAN EVERY
TIME WE WOULD GO TO THEM, IT WAS THEY WERE RELYING ON
BRIEFINGS FROM THEIR OWN LEGISLATIVE BODY, WHICH IS FAIR
ENOUGH. BUT EVEN THEN WE DIDN'T HAVE REALLY A FOOT IN THE DOOR
WHEN MANY OF THOSE NEGOTIATIONS WERE GOING ON. AND IT WAS HARD
TO GET IN THE ROOM AND HARD TO GET OUR POINTS OF VIEW ON THE
TABLE. AND I REALLY THINK THAT'S ESSENTIAL. SO IT ISN'T JUST A
LETTER, DON, WHICH I THINK A LETTER WOULD WORK WELL. AND I
AGREE, LET'S START THAT. BUT I ALSO THINK WE NEED TO HAVE AN
ONGOING LEGISLATIVE TEAM THAT IS GOING TO BE WORKING VERY
SIMILAR. AND YOU WERE HERE DURING THAT TIME WHEN WE DID THAT.
AND I JUST THINK IT'S -- YOU'RE GOING TO NEED THAT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THOSE ARE VERY HELPFUL SUGGESTIONS. AND I WOULD THINK THAT WE COULD INSTRUCT THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE TO COME BACK TO US WITH A PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE PURSUIT THAT COMPLIMENTS WHAT WE'VE HEARD FROM THE D.H.S. DEPARTMENT HEAD. AND THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE MOTION MADE BY SUPERVISOR KNABE HELPS US PUT ALL OF THESE THINGS TOGETHER. SO LET, THEN, OUR MOVEMENT FORWARD INCORPORATE THOSE SUGGESTIONS. AND WITH THAT, IT WOULD SEE THAT WE COULD JUST SET A DATE FOR OUR NEXT PRESENTATION BY THE DEPARTMENT ON THE A.C.A. SO THAT



WE KEEP THIS IN FRONT OF US. EARLIER ON, IN MY OPENING
REMARKS, I MADE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS A PRIORITY FOR THE
BOARD. AND ONE WAY TO EXPRESS OR MANIFEST THAT PRIORITY IS TO
HAVE IT AS A SET ITEM SO THAT WE BUILD ON IT IN EACH INSTANCE.
MR. FUJIOKA, IF YOU WOULD BE KIND ENOUGH TO, AT OUR NEXT
MEETING, COME BACK TO US WITH A PLAN IN TERMS OF THE
LEGISLATIVE PURSUIT, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN DO THAT. WE STILL HAVE SUPERVISOR

MOLINA MENTIONED MR. MARGOLIN. WE HAVE HIM IN PLACE. AND IN

FACT, WE'VE HAD A TEAM WORKING ON THIS. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT

THAT WE RAMP IT UP, AS SHE SAYS. AND BY NEXT WE'LL REPORT ON

OUR CURRENT ACTIVITIES AND THEN THE FOCUS ACTIVITY SHE'S

REFERRING TO, LITERALLY GETTING UP THERE AND MEETING WITH

FOLKS, I TOTALLY AGREE. WE COULD HAVE A GROUP. I'M SORRY, GO

AHEAD, PLEASE.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU FINISH.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE HAVE DONE THAT. IN FACT, AN INITIAL LETTER
HAS GONE OUT BACK IN OCTOBER. IT CAME FROM OUR CHAIR. BUT I
THINK AT THIS POINT, SOMETHING COMING OUT FROM THE ENTIRE
BOARD AND THEN A THE FOCUSED EFFORT THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS
REFERRING TO. BUT THERE HAS BEEN -- IT'S A GROUP REPRESENTING
FROM THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND ALSO YOUR OFFICES HAVE BEEN



WORKING ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE. BY NEXT WEEK, WE'LL GIVE YOU EVERYTHING WE HAVE, OUR CURRENT ACTIVITIES.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'LL ALSO SPEAK TO THE ROLE THAT -- THAT WE HAVE BERT ON CONTRACT AND OTHER FOLKS WHO WERE WITH US MANY YEARS AGO AND NEED TO BE WITH US AGAIN AS WE WORK UP NORTH AND BACK EAST.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO WE'LL LOOK FORWARD
TO AN UPDATE THAT WILL HELP ALL OF US STAY ON THE SAME PAGE
WITH RESPECT TO HOW WE ARE MAKING THIS THE PRIORITY OF
PREFERENCE THAT WE SAID WE WISH IT WOULD BE. SUPERVISOR
YAROSLAVSKY?

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I JUST WANT TO GO
BACK TO WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS SAYING. AND I DON'T WANT TO
IT FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS. THE FACT THAT YOU HAVEN'T TALKED
TO BERT MARGOLIN IN TWO YEARS IS A STATEMENT IN AND OF ITSELF.
TO HAVE THAT KIND RESOURCE FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS IS WORTH
ITS WEIGHT IN GOLD. I BELIEVE, AND WHEN YOU COME BACK WITH
YOUR REPORT NEXT WEEK, BILL, I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO THINK A
LITTLE BIT OUTSIDE THE BOX ON THIS AND OUTSIDE OUR CURRENT
I.G.R. STRUCTURE AND SET UP A TASKFORCE LED BY BERT, REPORTING



DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD AND TO YOU, WORKING DIRECTLY WITH, NOT THROUGH, THE I.G.R. STAFF, DIRECTLY WITH MITCH AND HIS TEAM AS WELL AS WITH THE BOARD SO THAT WE CUT OUT ALL OF THE MIDDLE MEN THAT -- JUST LIKE YOU HAVE TROUBLE HIRING NURSES, WE SOMETIMES HAVE TROUBLE GETTING OUR ARMS AROUND WHAT'S GOING ON IN SACRAMENTO. I THINK THE STAKES ARE EXTRAORDINARILY HIGH, WE'RE TALKING HERE HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS POTENTIALLY. YOU'RE TALKING POTENTIALLY CLOSURE OF FACILITIES IN THE BROADEST SENSE. SO THE STAKES ARE VERY, VERY HIGH. AND I THINK BILL, YOU SHOULD HEAR WHAT -- WHAT YOU'RE HEARING HERE AND TRY TO PUT TOGETHER THAT KIND OF A FOCUSED EMERGENCY TASK FORCE. THIS IS NOT GOING TO LAST, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THIS SPECIAL SESSION IS GOING TO LAST, BUT I THINK THE BULK OF THIS IS GOING TO HAPPEN RELATIVELY SOON RIGHT? EARLY 2013. THEY'VE GOT TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS, TOO. WE'VE GOT TO BE AT THE TABLE AND WE HAVE NOT BEEN SUFFICIENTLY AT THE TABLE ON A WHOLE LOT OF ISSUES. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO THAT. HE'S ALREADY ON CONTRACT. AND I THINK WE ALL KNOW HIM AND RECOGNIZE HIS TALENTS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE SUGGESTIONS
THAT ARE BEING MADE, THE MOTION THAT HAS BEEN OFFERED BY
SUPERVISOR KNABE. IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT MOTION? THE MOTION
ABOUT THE FIVE SIGNATURE LETTER. THERE'S A SECOND. ARE THERE
ANY OBJECTIONS? THEN WE WILL DISPOSE OF THAT ACCORDINGLY,



WITHOUT ANY OBJECTIONS. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WERE THERE ADDITIONAL CONCERNS THAT YOU WANTED TO RAISE?

SUP. MOLINA: THAT WAS MY FIRST COMMENT. ANYWAY, AS I SAID, THERE ARE THINGS THAT ARE OUT OF OUR CONTROL. AND HOPEFULLY, WHATEVER COMMITTEE IS SET UP, TASK FORCE IS SET UP, I THINK IT REALLY HAS TO GET MOVING. THE LEGISLATURE IS MOVING NOW. AND I KNOW THERE ARE GOING TO BE FRESHMEN MEMBERS THAT WERE SWORN IN I THINK YESTERDAY THAT NEED TO GET QUICKLY UP TO SPEED. ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS THAT I THINK THAT WE NEED, THIS BOARD NEEDS, IS WE NEED A SHORTCUT. MR. WEBBER SEEMED TO HAVE A LOT OF KNOWLEDGE FINANCIALLY ABOUT WHAT HE WAS HOPING TO GET IN THE FUTURE WHEN IT CAME TO BONDING. MAYBE HE CAN HOPEFULLY PUT TOGETHER SOMETHING TOGETHER FOR US AS EXACTLY WHAT OUR FUNDING SOURCES WOULD BE. WHAT IS GOING TO BE CUT OUT ONCE A.C.A. GOES INTO EFFECT? EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING TO BE CUT OUT? I THINK YOU KNOW THAT. AND WHAT IS THE REVENUE WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE UP? I THINK YOU ALSO KNOW THAT. SO WE WOULD CLEARLY HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THAT BUDGETING WOULD WORK. NOW, THERE'S ALWAYS AN EXPECTATION THAT WE'RE GOING TO HOPEFULLY REINVENT OURSELVES AT SOME LEVEL TO BE ABLE TO ATTRACT THAT. BUT WHAT I'M NERVOUS ABOUT IS THAT I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW MUCH WE NEED TO MAKE UP. YOU ALREADY MENTIONED THAT THERE'S A 50 PERCENT CUT FOR SURE. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN DOLLARS? IS IT 300,000? 500,000? OR 1.6 BILLION? I DON'T KNOW. AND SO WE NEED



TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THAT IS. THE OTHER PART THAT WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT IS THAT IN THIS BUSINESS MODEL THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, NOW AS I SAID, THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS THAT ARE UNDER OUR CONTROL. AND WHEN I SAY MY CONTROL, THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS THAT I ASSUME ARE UNDER YOUR CONTROL. WHEN YOU SAY TO ME THAT A NURSE LEAVES AND YOU CAN'T REPLACE HER, I DON'T GET THAT. BECAUSE TO ME, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, YOU'RE THE HEALTH DIRECTOR. SO YOU SHOULD BE ABLE IF A NURSE LEAVES, YOU COULD SET UP ANY OTHER KIND OF SYSTEM, WHETHER IT BE YOU HAVE A LINEUP OF TRAINED NURSES, YOU HAVE A LINEUP, A PIPELINE OF WHO IS GOING TO FIT INTO THAT NEXT ROLE. ISN'T THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU CONTROL? WHY WOULD YOU NOT CONTROL THAT NOW?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. I THINK THERE HAS BEEN, EVEN IN MY WHAT'S NOW I GUESS MY 23RD MONTH, I'M LEARNING HOW PEOPLE HAVE CREATED SCENARIOS WHERE CERTAIN THINGS HAVE TO GET DONE.

SUP. MOLINA: LIKE WHAT?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: HERE'S A GOOD EXAMPLE. PEOPLE ARE UNDER THE IMPRESSION, WHICH I DON'T AGREE WITH, THAT WE WERE PROHIBITED FROM DOING A VITAL SCAN UNTIL WE ACTUALLY WERE READY TO HIRE SOMEONE. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THAT'S THREE WEEKS RIGHT THERE.



SUP. MOLINA: SO IS THAT TRUE OR JUST URBAN LEGEND?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: I THINK THAT'S URBAN LEGEND. I CAN'T FIND ANYTHING.

SUP. MOLINA: WELL THEN, I THINK THAT THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT YOU NEED TO STRAIGHTEN OUT IN YOUR AREA BECAUSE I'M NOT SO SURE I UNDERSTAND THAT. SO I WANT TO KNOW -- I KNOW 13 MONTHS IS NOT A LOT OF TIME TO REINVENT YOURSELF. BUT AS YOU DO THAT, I THINK YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THOSE THINGS AND GET YOUR DEPARTMENT, H.R. AND ANY OF THESE DEPARTMENTS, I HAVE SEEN AT LEAST WHAT I SAW IN PROBATION IN SOME OF THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS, THEY MAKE UP STUFF ALL DAY LONG AND CALL IT POLICY. AND WHEN WE ASK THEM TO LOOK FOR POLICY, THEIR POLICY ISN'T THERE TO BACK IT UP.

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: I THINK THAT'S RIGHT, SUPERVISOR. I THINK THERE WAS THIS BELIEF THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE EXAMPLE WE'RE TALKING THAT THE BOARD DIDN'T LIKE IT BECAUSE YOU COULD WASTE \$40 IF, IN THE END, YOU DIDN'T HIRE THAT PERSON. BUT THE AMOUNT THAT GETS WASTED --

SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S TRUE WITH ANY KIND OF --



DR. MITCHELL KATZ: RIGHT. OF COURSE. I MEAN IT'S A STANDARD PROCEDURE.

SUP. MOLINA: ABSOLUTELY. SO YOU NEED TO STRAIGHTEN THAT OUT ALL THE WAY AND CONNECT IT TO POLICY. AND IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT HINDERS IT, I THINK WE NEED TO KNOW. WE NEED TO KNOW. BECAUSE IF WE'RE GOING TO REINVENT OURSELVES, WE NEED TO KNOW THESE THINGS. NOW, RIGHT NOW, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S GOING ON IS THIS TASKFORCE THAT YOU SAY IS IN THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE ABOUT CLASSIFICATION, H.R. AND ALL OF THAT STUFF, THAT CAN BE VERY, VERY TROUBLESOME. NOW, IS THIS TASKFORCE MEETING NOW?

DR. MITCHELL KATZ: THE TASKFORCE HAS NOT HAD ITS FIRST MEETING
YET, BUT MR. FUJIOKA HAS PROMISED TO ANNOUNCE A DIRECTOR OF
THE TASKFORCE AND TO INITIATE ITS MEETINGS.

SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. WELL MAYBE IF THE CHAIRMAN WOULD ALLOW MR. FUJIOKA TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION, WE CAN GET GOING ON THAT. I'M SORRY, I KNOW. I APOLOGIZE. I NEED TO KNOW ON THE TASKFORCE, YOU SAID IT HAS NOT MET. WHEN WILL IT MEET AND WHO'S GOING TO BE ON IT?

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WANTED TODAY TO PRESENT THE WHOLE CONCEPT
OF HAVING THAT TASKFORCE, BECAUSE HAVING A SENIOR MEMBER OF



STAFF TO THE ABSOLUTE EXTENT POSSIBLE USING EXISTING
RESOURCES, SOMEONE THAT WOULD HELP US WITH H.R. ISSUES, LEGAL --

SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND WHAT IT DOES. WHEN IS IT GOING TO MEET?

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL, WHAT WE WANTED TO DO IS MAKE SURE THE BOARD EMBRACES THAT IDEA AND WE'D PUT THAT TOGETHER VERY, VERY QUICKLY.

SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, ALL I KNOW IS THAT IF THE DEPARTMENT IS HAVING PROBLEMS IN THIS AREA WHERE IT NEEDS TO QUICKLY MOVE FORWARD, WHETHER IT BE IN CLASSIFICATIONS, OR H.R. QUESTIONS, OR HOW -- THE FACT THAT WE DON'T HAVE CONTRACTS IS TROUBLESOME FOR ME. I NEED TO KNOW WHY IS IT WE CAN'T HAVE CONTRACTS FOR SPECIALTY?

SUP. KNABE: SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WHY DON'T YOU JUST MOVE THE TASKS FORCE AND I'LL SECOND IT?

SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. BUT IF THEY, YOU KNOW -- I MEAN, IS

THAT WHAT WE --

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.



SUP. MOLINA: IT WAS RECOMMENDED. IT WAS ON HERE.

SUP. KNABE: I'LL SECOND HER MOTION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION. IT'S BEEN MOVED, SUPERVISOR? MOVED?

SUP. MOLINA: SO MOVED.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SECONDED. ANY OBJECTION? THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. MR. FUJIOKA, DO YOU HAVE YOUR INSTRUCTIONS? THE QUESTION IS FOR US TO KNOW WHEN, IN FACT, WE WILL HAVE THE FIRST MEETING AND WHO WILL BE THE POINT ON IT.

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'LL MEET THIS WEEK. MY REPORT BACK ON LEGISLATIVE MATTERS, IF IT'S OKAY, I'LL EXTEND IT TO THIS TASKFORCE, AND WHAT THE RESPONSIBILITIES WILL BE.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: EXCELLENT. THAT'S FINE. ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON ITEM NO. 58?

SUP. MOLINA: I DO.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: PLEASE, PROCEED, MADAM



SUPERVISOR.

SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, THE OTHER ISSUE THAT I THINK IS IMPORTANT AS WE START LOOKING AT THIS WHOLE THING IS WE HAVE NOT -- WHEN YOU MENTIONED THE ISSUE OF MATERNITY, WE KIND OF CHOSE NOT TO DO IT. I THINK WE CHOSE NOT TO DO IT BECAUSE NOTHING WAS DONE. WE JUST SORT OF GAVE IT AWAY. AND ALL OF THE HOSPITALS, ALL THE PRIVATE NONPROFITS STARTED ADVERTISING LIKE CRAZY, RADIO ADS, THEY HAD BILLBOARDS UP. THEY HAD BACKS OF BUSES, HAD BILLBOARDS. THEY HAD CASE MANAGERS OR NURSE, WHAT ARE THEY CALLED? I DON'T KNOW, THOSE DOOR-TO-DOOR NURSES THAT WERE WALKING AROUND. THEY WERE BRINGING IN PEOPLE. IF THEY SAW A PREGNANT LADY, THEY WENT OVER TO HER AND ENCOURAGED HER TO GO TO A CERTAIN HOSPITAL AND PROVIDE PRENATAL CARE AND ALL THOSE KINDS OF THINGS, WHICH WERE GOOD THINGS. SO ALL THE BABIES THAT WE USED TO DELIVER, IT WAS A DECLINE. BUT IT WAS JUST AS WELL BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH BEDS FOR ALL OUR EMERGENCY ROOM PATIENTS, ANYWAY, AT THAT POINT IN TIME. NOW WE'RE IN A DIFFERENT SITUATION. WE NEED TO ATTRACT THOSE PEOPLE. IF IN FACT I GOT A LETTER THE NEXT DAY AND SAID "YOU CAN CHOOSE, INSTEAD OF STANDING IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM LINE, YOU CAN CHOOSE YOUR OWN HEALTHCARE PROVIDER, NOW I CAN GO TO HUNTINGTON OR I CAN GO TO WHITE MEMORIAL OR I CAN GO TO CEDARS-SINAI, I'M NOT SO SURE THAT GOING TO L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. EMERGENCY ROOM WOULD BE AS



ATTRACTIVE AS GOING TO THOSE OTHERS. NOW, I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT THE OUALITY OF CARE IS VERY HIGH AT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. AND CERTAINLY IT IS THE STATE-OF-THE-ART NOW WITH THE FACILITY AND ALL IN PROVIDING CARE THAT WE CAN MATCH ANY OF THOSE HOSPITALS THAT I MENTIONED BEFOREHAND. BUT I DON'T THINK THAT PEOPLE KNOW THAT. I DON'T KNOW THAT ME, SOMEONE WHO NOW WILL HAVE A CHOICE, THAT I NECESSARILY WOULD MAKE THE CHOICE OF GOING TO L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. TO GET MY HEALTH CARE THERE BECAUSE THE REPUTATION OF OUR COUNTY HEALTH SYSTEM, AS IT GETS BANDIED ABOUT, WHETHER IT BE ON ISSUES OF OUR PAST, MAY NOT --MAY INHIBIT MY OPPORTUNITY OR MY WILLINGNESS TO SIGN INTO A PROGRAM WITH THE COUNTY. EVEN IF YOU'RE VERY POOR. IF I'M GOING GET A CHANCE NOW TO GO TO HUNTINGTON OR WHITE MEMORIAL. I WOULD CHOOSE EITHER OF THE OTHER TWO. SO WE NEED TO DO A MARKETING PROGRAM. NOW, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT WOULD BE. I DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO GET BUS ADS, OR WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEVELOP A BUSINESS MODEL. AND ANY BUSINESS MODEL THAT I KNOW, IN A TRUE BUSINESS FORM, AND THIS IS WHERE THE BUREAUCRACY IS DIFFERENT THAN ANYTHING ELSE, WE HAVE THESE PEOPLE THAT COME TO US BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO CHOICE. THEY HAVE TO GO TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM, THAT'S HOW THEY ACCESS HEALTH CARE FOR THE MOST PART. LUCKILY THEY GO TO ROYBAL OR SOME OF OUR CLINICS, LET'S HOPE THAT THEY DEVELOP A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE DOCTOR OR SOMEONE THERE THAT BRINGS THEM THERE. BUT NOW IF THEY CAN GO SOMEWHERE



ELSE THEY MAY GO. AND WE NEED TO DEVELOP A MARKETING PLAN, AS WELL. SO I KNOW THAT WE DON'T HAVE ONE AS YET, BUT I'D LIKE TO SEE ONE DEVELOPED. AND YOU'RE GOING TO NEED A MARKETING TEAM. BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A BUSINESS PLAN WITHOUT IT. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE ABLE TO TELL ALL OF THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE NOW GOING TO HAVE ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE HOW YOU'RE GOING TO MAYBE ENTICE THEM TO COME INTO OUR SYSTEM. HOPEFULLY SOME OF THEM WILL STAY BECAUSE THEY'VE HAD A GOOD DOC AND THEY'VE SEEN THAT SYSTEM WORK. BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE AUTOMATIC. SO EVEN TO CAPTURE 50 PERCENT OF THEM, WE STILL NEED A MARKETING PLAN. SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU NEED TO PRESENT TO US, AS WELL, AS TO HOW THAT IS GOING TO WORK AND ALL THAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE. BECAUSE I JUST DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANYTHING THAT SMELLS OF MARKETING OR BRANDING WITHIN L.A. COUNTY OR WITHIN OUR HEALTH SYSTEM THAT IS GOING TO OPERATE. AND, FINALLY, WHILE WE ARE DOING THIS, THIS IS GOING TO BE A MONTHLY REPORT, I HOPE, THAT WILL BE IN THIS KIND OF SETTING. I THINK WE NEED TO SET ASIDE SOME TIME EVERY MONTH TO DISCUSS WHERE WE'RE AT, THIS IS A MAJOR TRANSITION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, AND WE HAVE NO IDEA HOW THE FEDS OR THE STATE IS GOING TO TREAT US IN THIS PROCESS. AND IF THEY CAN, THE FACT THAT WE'VE OPERATED A VERY SMOOTH SYSTEM IN THE PAST MIGHT GIVE THEM SOME COMFORT IN TAKING AWAY FUNDS OR SOME COMFORT OF MAKING US RESPONSIBLE FOR MORE THAN WE CAN BARELY HANDLE. SO I THINK WE HAVE TO KEEP ON TOP OF THAT. AND WE NEED



TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON AT EVERY SINGLE LEVEL. BUT ALSO WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT GLITCHES YOU'RE HAVING INSIDE OF WHAT DOESN'T CHANGE. BECAUSE KEEP IN MIND, YOU HAVE A GROUP OF PERSONNEL THAT YOU CAN CALL UP AND THEY ARE NOT CUSTOMER-FRIENDLY. IF I CALLED UP FOR AN APPOINTMENT NOW, THEY MIGHT SAY "WELL, YOU CAN COME IN IN MARCH." WHICH I'D SAY, "WELL HECK, I'LL JUST GO TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM." YOU NEED TO FIND A WAY THAT YOU'RE GOING TO START TRAINING YOUR STAFF, AS WELL, AS TO HOW THEY'RE GOING TO BECOME MORE CUSTOMER-ORIENTED, PATIENT-ORIENTED. THAT DOESN'T MEAN IN THE AREA OF CARE, BECAUSE I'M NOT TRYING TO DISPEL THAT. I THINK WHEN IT COMES TO CARE, THERE ARE PLENTY OF PEOPLE WHO WILL STEP UP AND SAY THEY GOT THE BEST OF CARE AT OUR CLINICS AND OUR HOSPITALS. I'M NOT DENYING THAT. BUT MAKING A PHONE CALL TRYING TO SET UP AN APPOINTMENT, I'VE HEARD FROM PEOPLE THAT THEY SAY IT'S JUST LIKE THEY DON'T CARE. EVERYBODY GETS THE SAME 8:00 APPOINTMENT. SO 35 HOPING THAT TWO PEOPLE SHOW UP. 35 OF THEM SHOW UP. I MEAN, I'VE SEEN THAT IN YOUR CLINICS AND THAT'S INAPPROPRIATE. WE NEED TO FIND A WAY THAT WE'RE GOING TO START TRANSITIONING. BECAUSE I AM SURE THAT EVEN THOUGH ALL OF THE PRIVATES AND NONPROFITS MAY HAVE OPPOSED THE WHOLE OBAMACARE, AT THE SAME TIME, THEY ARE TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. THEY'VE GOT THEIR LEGISLATIVE TEAMS IN PLACE. THEY GOT THEIR MARKETING TEAMS IN PLACE. THEY'VE GOT THEIR REINVENTION AND REORGANIZATION TEAMS IN PLACE. AND THEY'RE



GOING TO START FIGURING OUT HOW TO FORMAT THEIR SYSTEM BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, THEY, LIKE EVERYONE ELSE, HAVE TO DELIVER THE GOODS. THEY HAVE TO STILL MAKE MONEY. THEY STILL HAVE TO BE ABLE TO AFFORD OUR SYSTEM. WE ON THE OTHER HAND HAVE THE SAME RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE FIRST TIME. WE'RE GOING TO BE COMPETING WITH ALL OF THEM FINANCIALLY. WE DO NEED TO THINK ABOUT ALL OF THESE THINGS. AND SO HAVING A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHERE YOU ARE ON A MONTHLY BASIS, WHAT WE NEED TO DO, WHAT ARE THE KINDS OF GLITCHES THAT YOU'RE HAVING, AND WHERE WE NEED TO BRING IN PEOPLE, IT MIGHT EVEN BE BRINGING IN THE KINDS OF FOLKS THAT MAYBE A WHOLE NEW TEAM AS TO HOW WE CREATE THE KIND OF TRAINING NECESSARY FOR THESE FOLKS THAT HAVE NOT ALWAYS HAD TO DO THESE THINGS. FOR PRODUCTIVITY IF YOU RAISE IT, IT'S AN UNBELIEVABLE QUESTION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. I THINK WE NEED TO START TALKING ABOUT THOSE THINGS. SO I'D APPRECIATE IT ON A REGULAR MONTHLY BASIS TO GET THE IDEA OF WHERE WE'RE AT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. EXCELLENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SUPERVISOR MOLINA. ASSUMING THAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM ALL OUR MEMBERS WHO HAVE HAD QUESTIONS, I THINK THAT WE'VE HAD A VERY THOROUGH CONVERSATION, THE DISCUSSION TODAY, DR. KATZ. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. WE WILL SCHEDULE THESE PRESENTATIONS ON A REGULAR BASIS, AS FREQUENT AS MONTHLY. THEY WILL BE ORDERED FOR THE PUBLIC TO KNOW AND TO PARTICIPATE. TO



THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION IN HELPING TO GET THIS MOVED FORWARD, AS WELL. AND WE LOOK FORWARD NEXT WEEK TO YOUR REPORT BACKS ON THE ITEMS THAT WERE REQUESTED. WITH THAT, COLLEAGUES, WE'LL MOVE TO THE NEXT ITEM BEFORE US. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOU WERE DONE WITH THE BALANCE OF ITEMS YOU HAVE, OR IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE?

BACK NEXT WEEK ON AN ISSUE THAT WAS BROUGHT TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION, THAT THERE WAS A CONTRACT FOR ENTERPRISE TO PROVIDE NEW VEHICLES AND MAINTENANCE FOR VEHICLES, HOW THAT R.F.P. WAS PROCESSED, WHO DETERMINED THE COMPANIES TO BE CONTACTED AND THE RESPONSES THAT WERE RETURNED.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANKS VERY MUCH. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNING MOTIONS? ALL RIGHT. WE'LL MOVE FORWARD. ITEM NO. 30 HAS BEEN HELD.

SUP. ANTONOVICH: EXCUSE ME, IT SHOULD BE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, NOT COUNTY COUNSEL. AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, OR COUNTY COUNSEL, HOWEVER YOU WORK THAT OUT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND YOUR COMMUNICATION TO COUNTY COUNSEL AND AUDITOR-



CONTROLLER WILL COME BACK TO US AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE ITEM NO. 30 AS A PART OF MY REMARKS. AND I'LL DO MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS FOLLOWING THAT. ITEM NO. 30, JON NAHHAS, DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, SIR?

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHILE HE IS COMING UP, ON ITEM NO. 59, THIS ITEM IS BEING CONTINUED ONE WEEK. EXCUSE ME, TO JANUARY 8, 2013. AND IF I COULD GET A MOTION TO CONTINUE IT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SO MOVED. WITHOUT OBJECTION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ITEM NO. 30 IS NOW BEFORE US. MR. NAHHAS,

YOU HAVE CALLED FOR IT AND THE FLOOR IS YOURS, SIR.

JON NAHHAS: THANK YOU CHAIRMAN. MY NAME IS JON NAHHAS. I AM WITH THE BOATING COALITION. AND CONGRATULATIONS CHAIRMAN RIDLEY-THOMAS ON YOUR NEW POSITION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

JON NAHHAS: AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR COMPLIANCE WITH OUR OPEN MEETING LAWS AND ENHANCEMENT IN TRANSPARENCY OF THIS MEETING AS THE LAW PRESCRIBES. IT IS NOW 1:10 P.M. THIS CONTRACT WITH FORD IS YET ANOTHER SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACT INVOLVING A POSSIBLE INFRINGEMENT ON PUBLIC OPEN SPACE AND



PUBLIC RESOURCES. WHY IS THIS SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACT BEING
RUSHED AND NOT GOING THROUGH THE PROPER CHANNELS OF THE BEACH
COMMISSION? THERE IS A PROCESS IN --

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MR. NAHHAS, JUST ONE MOMENT.

SERGEANT, IF YOU CAN HELP THOSE OVER THERE TO APPRECIATE THAT

WE ARE TRYING TO CONDUCT THE PROCEEDINGS AND THEY ARE

DISTRACTING. THAT WOULD INCLUDE ERIC PREVEN. PROCEED.

JON NAHHAS: THERE IS A PROCESS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY THAT WAS SET IN PLACE LONG BEFORE THESE SUPERVISORS WERE IN YOUR CURRENT POSITIONS. THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE BEACH COMMISSION HAD RESERVATIONS ABOUT THIS CONTRACT IN MAY, AND I BELIEVE THEY WOULD STILL HAVE THESE ISSUES TODAY. THEY DO NOT WANT TO SEE OUR PUBLIC BEACHES BECOME BILLBOARDS TO PRIVATE EVENTS.

MISSING FROM THE STAFF REPORT IS AN ANALYSIS OF THE AMOUNT OF MONEY OF 20 EVENTS THAT WOULD BE GIVEN TO FORD IN OUR COASTAL ZONE. IF THESE LARGE EVENTS INVOLVING -- IF THEY ARE INVOLVING FILMING, THAT COULD BE QUITE LUCRATIVE FOR THE CITIZENS AND THE TAXPAYERS. AND WE THINK IT COULD BE A LOSS IF NOT ANALYZED.

SUP. KNABE: YOU OBVIOUSLY HAVE NOT READ THE REPORT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MR. NAHHAS, PLEASE PROCEED.



JON NAHHAS: WITH A SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACT LACKING COMPETITIVE BIDS, IT MAY NOT BE IN THE INTEREST OF TAXPAYERS, MANY MORE OUESTIONS ARISE. HOW MUCH DID FORD GIVE IN CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS TO OUR COUNTY ELECTEDS? DID FORD SPONSOR ANY EVENTS HELD BY SUPERVISORS? R.F.P.S WITH A COMPETITIVE BIDDING SHOULD BE REQUIRED PRACTICE, AND THE EXCUSES USED FOR WHY THESE SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACTS DID NOT EXIST IN THIS CASE ARE VERY POOR. SO WHAT WE'VE FOUND OUT FROM BEACHES AND HARBORS IS OUITE CLEAR. THE CONTRACT EXPIRED IN 2008 -- OR EXCUSE ME IN MAY OF THIS YEAR. THERE WAS NO R.F.P. THAT WAS ISSUED. THEY SAID THEY DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO ISSUE AN R.F.P. AND THEREFORE AN EXTENSION WAS JUST PROVIDED AS A DEFAULT. AGAIN. THIS DOES NOT BODE WELL FOR THE TAXPAYERS WHEN YOU DO NOT HAVE COMPETITIVE BID DEALING WITH OUR PUBLIC CONTRACTS. AND WE WANT TO SEE THIS PRACTICE EXCLUDED FROM NOW ON. APPRECIATE YOUR HELP. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SUP. KNABE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO RESPOND. I MEAN, MR. NAHHAS HAS A DISDAIN SOMETIMES FOR THE TRUTH. THE ISSUE HERE, IT'S ONLY AN EXTENSION BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO GET THE FORDS FOR FREE FOR TWO YEARS. SO THEY PUT OUT A NEW -- THEY WILL PUT OUT AN R.F.P. IN TWO YEARS. WE'RE USING THE SAME VEHICLES WE



GOT TWO YEARS AGO, THEY'RE GIVING THEM TO US FOR FREE FOR TWO ADDITIONAL YEARS. THEY'VE REDUCED THE NUMBER OF EVENTS FROM 20 TO 10, I BELIEVE. IF YOU READ THE REPORT, IT'S VERY THOROUGH AS TO WHY WE'RE DOING WHAT WE'RE DOING BECAUSE THEY WILL BE GOING OUT FOR AN R.F.P. FOR TWO YEARS. BUT FOR THE NEXT TWO YEARS, THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS ARE GOING TO SAVE CLOSE TO \$1 MILLION.

JON NAHHAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I RESPOND? MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I RESPOND TO MR. KNABE?

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: I'M SORRY. IF THERE IS TO BE AN EXCHANGE BETWEEN THE TWO OF YOU, MAY I ASK THAT YOU FIND A MORE APPROPRIATE FORUM IN WHICH THAT CAN TAKE PLACE? IF MR. KNABE WISHES TO TALK TO YOU IN A SIDEBAR, THAT'S CERTAINLY APPROPRIATE. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK WITH HIS STAFF, PLEASE DO SO. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY, MR. NAHHAS. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE THE AGENDA. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DID YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNING MOTIONS?

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, ITEM NO. 30 IS STILL BEFORE YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ITEM NO. 30 IS BEFORE US. IT'S BEEN PROPERLY MOVED. IS THERE A SECOND? IT'S BEEN SECONDED.



ARE THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? HEARING NONE, SO ORDERED. MAY I ASK THAT YOU CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS AS WE MOVE TOWARD ADJOURNMENT? DEACON EUGENE SMITH SR., BORN FEBRUARY 16, 1928, IN MESA, ARIZONA. HE PASSED IN NOVEMBER 25 OF THIS YEAR AT 84. HE WAS RAISED IN OKLAHOMA AND MOVED TO LOS ANGELES IN THE '50S WITH HIS WIFE, MAMIE, WHO PASSED AWAY PRIOR TO HIS DEATH. A HUMBLE MAN WITH ONLY A HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION, HE MADE A CAREER IN THE METAL REFINING INDUSTRY AND ESTABLISHED EUGENE ENTERPRISES IN 1974, WHICH WAS ALSO KNOWN TO BE THE FIRST AFRICAN-AMERICAN-OWNED REFINING BUSINESS IN THE ENTIRE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. HE WAS A DEACON AT THE FIRST SWEET HOME BAPTIST CHURCH IN LOS ANGELES AND WAS RECOGNIZED AS ONE OF THE PIONEERS IN THE COMMUNITY THAT WE KNOW AS WATTS. HE'S REMEMBERED FOR HIS LOVE FOR FISHING, LUXURY VEHICLES, TRAVELING, HORSE DERBIES, JAZZ, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY HIS LOVE FOR HIS FAMILY. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS DAUGHTER, RENEE; TWO SISTERS, GERTA AND EFFIE; A HOST OF GRANDCHILDREN AND GREAT GRANDCHILDREN AND, EXTENDED FAMILY MEMBERS WHO WILL INDEED MISS HIM. ADDITIONALLY, I WOULD LIKE FOR US TO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF EVELYN WARE. A 30-YEAR RESIDENT OF THE CITY OF INGLEWOOD, PASSED AWAY ON NOVEMBER 28. WAS AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF HER COMMUNITY AND SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE INGLEWOOD SOROPTIMISTS. SHE WAS A MEMBER OF THE INGLEWOOD V.F.W. WOMEN'S AUXILIARY AND A MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES CHAPTER OF ZETA PHI BETA AUXILIARY. SHE WILL BE REMEMBERED FOR HER HARD WORK AND



DEDICATION IN THE INGLEWOOD RELAY FOR LIFE SPONSORED BY THE

AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, HER

FOUR CHILDREN AND A HOST OF EXTENDED FAMILY MEMBERS AND

FRIENDS WHO WILL MISS HER DEARLY. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOUR

ADJOURNING MOTIONS.

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE ONE, MR. CHAIRMAN. I ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF RICK MAJERUS, WHO WAS A LEGENDARY BASKETBALL COACH, COLLEGE BASKETBALL COACH, WHO PASSED AWAY OVER THE WEEKEND HERE IN LOS ANGELES AFTER A LONG ILLNESS. MAJERUS WAS A COACH AT THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, WHERE HE TOOK THEM TO THE FINAL FOUR, ACTUALLY THE SEMIFINAL GAME, LOSING TO KENTUCKY NARROWLY. WAS MOST RECENTLY THE HEAD BASKETBALL COACH AT THE UNIVERSITY OF ST. LOUIS. FOR A SHORT WEEK, HE WAS THE HEAD BASKETBALL COACH AT U.S.C. BUT HE WAS LEGENDARY FIGURE IN THE FIELD AND HE WILL BE A REAL LOSS. ALSO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF RORY FITZPATRICK, A NATIVE OF SILVER LAKE AND LONGTIME DIRECTOR OF CONSTITUENT SERVICES FOR FOURTH DISTRICT COUNCILMAN TOM LABONGE, WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 66 AFTER A LONG ILLNESS. RORY GREW UP IN PANORAMA TERRACE IN SILVER LAKE, GRADUATING FROM MARSHALL HIGH SCHOOL AS AN ALL-STAR BASEBALL AND BASKETBALL PLAYER AND AVID SURFER. HE EARNED A POLITICAL SCIENCE DEGREE FROM U.S.C., AND AFTER A 30-YEAR CAREER AS AN EXECUTIVE FOR THE AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, IN 2001 HE JOINED THE STAFF OF NEWLY-ELECTED



COUNCIL MEMBER TOM LABONGE, A BOYHOOD FRIEND OF HIS. AS CHIEF OF FIELD OPERATIONS, HE SERVED WITH LABONGE FOR NEARLY A DECADE UNTIL FORCED BY ILLNESS TO STEP DOWN. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 43 YEARS, DONNA; HIS TWO DAUGHTERS, JENNIFER AND AMY; AND TWO GRANDCHILDREN, GRACE AND JACK.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: I THANK YOU VERY KINDLY. THAT
WILL BE THE ORDER IN TERMS OF ITS ADOPTION. MEMBERS, WE NOTE A
C.S.1, I CALL THAT BEFORE US NOW, THERE IS ONE PERSON OF THE
PUBLIC WHO WISHES TO BE HEARD ON THAT ITEM. THAT WOULD BE JOHN
WALSH. THIS IS YOUR TIME TO BE HEARD, MR. WALSH. CS-1. PLEASE
PROCEED.

JOHN WALSH: JOHN WALSH, BLOGGING AT HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG.

LAST TIME I WAS HERE, 10,000 VISITORS JUST FROM THIS. 10,000

LAST WEEK. THIS IS ITEM 30. I HOPE YOU REALIZE I'M MUCH NICER

TO YOU THAN I AM TO THE CITY COUNCIL. THIS CONCERNS COMMUNITY

SERVICES AND CAPITAL PROGRAMS. IT CONCERNS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT

AND LIFEGUARD. I THINK THE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT IS A MODEL

FOR FIRE DEPARTMENTS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MR. WALSH.

JOHN WALSH: AND YOUR RELATIONSHIP IS A MODEL.



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: PLEASE PARDON THE INTERRUPTION.

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER PRESENTS A DOCUMENT THAT INDICATES THAT

YOU SIGNED UP FOR CS-1. WAS THAT YOUR INTENTION? WE DISPOSED

OF ITEM 30 ALREADY.

JOHN WALSH: OKAY. IN ANY CASE, SOMETHING WRONG WHEN THEY STOP YOU WHEN YOU'RE COMPLIMENTING THEM RATHER THAN CRITICIZING THEM. JOHN WALSH, HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG, VISIT NOW. SEE WHAT'S UP.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. NEXT ITEM BEFORE US.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE NEXT ITEM IS PUBLIC COMMENT.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THOSE WHO WISH TO BE HEARD BY PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE CALLED UPON AT THIS POINT IN TIME. THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS: MARK GALE, PLEASE COME FORWARD. IRENE PANG, PLEASE COME FORWARD. ARNOLD SACHS, PLEASE COME FORWARD. ERIC PREVEN, PLEASE COME FORWARD.

ERIC PREVEN: WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO COMMENCE OR WAIT?

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: PLEASE PROCEED. WE WILL MOVE THE NAMES THAT I JUST CALLED SHOULD FIND THEIR WAY TO THESE FOUR



SEATS AND DO IT NOW, PLEASE. WE HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE -SERGEANT? THE NAMES THAT I CALLED, I WISH FOR THEM TO BE HERE
NOW SO THAT WE CAN PROCEED ACCORDINGLY.

ERIC PREVEN: YES, MY NAME IS ERIC PREVEN AND I AM THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. AND THOUGH WE WERE PLEASED AT THE SUGGESTION THAT THERE WILL BE SOME NEW RULES OR PERHAPS DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS, I'M DISAPPOINTED BECAUSE ITEM 59 WHICH HAS TO DO WITH THE COUNTY RISK AND LITIGATION ISSUE, I DID NOT GET A CHANCE TO ADDRESS FOR THREE MINUTES. AND OF COURSE I HAVE A LOT TO SAY ABOUT THAT SUBJECT. TODAY I SAW IN THE BUILDING WE HAVE THE GREAT RICHARD DROOYAN, WHO IS THE IMPLEMENTATION MONITOR FOR OUR CITIZEN COMMISSION ON JAIL VIOLENCE. HE'S ALSO THE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE CITIZENS COMMISSION ON JAIL VIOLENCE AND THAT HAS BEEN THERE'S A TENSION IN MY MIND ABOUT THAT BECAUSE THOUGH I'M PLEASED THAT WE HAVE SOMEBODY WHO KNOWS WHAT ALL THE RULES ARE AND WHAT'S MEANT TO BE HAPPENING, I'M A LITTLE BIT CONCERNED THAT HE IS EXTREMELY CLOSE BECAUSE HE IS ESSENTIALLY A COUNTY COUNSEL DESIGNEE THAT WE'RE FACING ONCE AGAIN ISSUES OF THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND OF COURSE WE HAVE NOT HEARD A WORD ABOUT WHO MIGHT BE THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, THE MORE IMPORTANT PART OF THAT PIECE WHICH WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING FROM I GUESS IT'S THIS BOARD AND SHERIFF BACA IN SOME WAY. ON THE SUBJECT OF THE BEACHES AND HARBORS ITEM, I JUST HAVE TO SAY



AND COME TO MR. NAHHAS'S DEFENSE HERE BECAUSE SUPERVISOR KNABE TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE THE LAST WORD ON THAT AND SCREAM THAT THERE WERE SAVINGS OF A MILLION DOLLARS. THANK YOU FOR THAT, SIR. OF COURSE THAT IS NONSENSE. AND OF COURSE AUTOMOBILE COMPANIES SPEND HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN ADVERTISING ANNUALLY. HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. AND TO MAKE THE SUGGESTION THAT THIS WAS ALL DONE WITH AN EYE TOWARD FAIRNESS IS NOT TOTALLY TRUE. I THINK IT WAS DONE WITH AN EYE TOWARD EFFICIENCY. AND I THINK IT WAS DONE WITH AN EYE TOWARD SOME NICE VEHICLES. I DO NOT THINK THAT ANYBODY -- LOOK, THIS BOARD NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND SOME THINGS ABOUT COMPETITIVE BIDDING. AND I'M NOT TRYING TO BE A DIDACTIC OR PUGNACIOUS ABOUT IT. BUT WE HAVE A PROBLEM HERE IN L.A. COUNTY. DR. MITCH KATZ MADE IT VERY CLEAR THAT SOME OF THE PROCESSES HERE ARE NOT FUNCTIONING PROPERLY. SOME OF THOSE PROCESSES ARE NOT FUNCTIONING PROPERLY AT ALL. INCLUDING, WELL, FRANKLY, HE SAID, YOU KNOW, WHAT HAPPENS WHEN 250 PEOPLE SHOW UP AT A HOSPITAL AND THEY'RE ALL COMING IN FOR OB-GYN TREATMENT AND THEY'RE ALL THERE AT THE SAME MOMENT, WE FIXED THAT. BUT WE HAD THAT TODAY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA TALKED UNINTERRUPTEDLY TODAY, SHE ALMOST BROKE LAST WEEK'S RECORD BUT I'M DISAPPOINTED TO SAY SHE WAS NOT ABLE TO DO SO. AND YET YOU PARSED ME AND SHUT ME DOWN, SIR, WITH A GREAT DEAL OF RESPECT, OF COURSE, BUT YOU SHUT ME DOWN WHILE I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF A SENTENCE AND THAT SENTENCE I WILL FINISH NOW. ITEM 17 IS TO



HIRE THIS INDEPENDENT, SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACT INSPECTOR WHO IS GOING TO COME IN, I THINK IT'S REGARDING THE EQUITY OVERSIGHT GROUP AND THEY'RE GOING TO -- BUT THIS IS AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY WORKED FOR SHERIFF BACA. NOW, SHERIFF BACA HAS NOT DONE A GREAT JOB IN THIS AREA. AND WE MUST, MUST GET CONTROL OF THE SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACTS WITHOUT PROPER VENTILATION. WE WANT FRESH EYES ON THESE PROBLEMS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

ERIC PREVEN: AND THANKS, DR. KATZ FOR A GREAT PRESENTATION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

IRENE PANG: YEAH, HI, GOOD AFTERNOON TO EVERYONE. I'M IRENE PANG. I ASKED THE CREDIT AND THE SATURDAY WE WERE TO WALK, THEN FOR MY FAMILY MEMBERS AND MYSELF. ANYTHING INJURY AND DAMAGE WE MADE TO WORK NEED COVER. SAY AGAIN. ANY DEFAMATORY INTENT TO DESTROY MY FAMILY MEMBER'S IMAGE AND THEIR POSITION THAT AGAINST THE LAW. THE THINGS IMPORTANT IS THAT TO THE JOB ABILITY AND INTELLIGENCE AND CERTIFY OF THE GOVERNMENT SUPPORT. THE SAME THING HAPPENED TO ME THAT BOUGHT MY JOB PROCEDURE IN DIFFERENT FORMS. BEFORE ANY CAR OR PATIENT ENTER INTO TO OTHER'S PROPERTY, THEY INCLUDE PARKING LOT. OH, THE PARKING LOT IS THE HOUR OVER THERE REMAIN TO THE BUSINESS



USING, AND ALL IN THE BUSINESS IS OPEN HOUR. AND ENTRANCE TO
THE OTHERS THEY COME BORDER, THEY AGAINST THE LAW. THEY CALL
CHARGING TYPING ALL -- ILLEGAL USING OTHERS' PROPERTY. SPECIAL -PATIENTS GET TOGETHER WITHOUT PERMIT FROM THE GOVERNMENT.
AGAIN, THEY'RE AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, ESPECIALLY IN THE
OTHERS' PROPERTY. NUMBER FIVE, I WORKED FOR THE GOVERNMENT
YEARS. I NEED MEDICAL ATTENTION TO HEAL THE DISEASE AND THE
INJECTION, SPECIAL AMOUNT OF FOOD. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE? AND WHILE YOU BEGIN YOUR REMARKS, I ASK SARAH JAKLE TO COME FORTH, GEORGE RHEAULT, IF YOU WOULD COME FORTH AND BRIAN JACOBS, IF YOU WOULD READY YOURSELF TO COME FORTH AS WELL AS JOHN NAHHAS. SIR, PLEASE PROCEED.

MARK GALE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR, BOARD MEMBERS, GOOD

AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS MARK GALE. I'M A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL

ALLIANCE ON MENTAL ILLNESS, N.A.M.I., AND A CO -COORDINATOR OF

THE CALIFORNIA TREATMENT ADVOCACY COALITION. TODAY I'D LIKE TO

ADDRESS AN IMPORTANT MENTAL HEALTH ISSUE IN OUR COUNTY.

ALTHOUGH WE HAVE MANY PEOPLE IN LOS ANGELES WHO ARE RECEIVING

TREATMENT AND SERVICES FROM OUR COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM,

BUT TODAY I WANT TO FOCUS ON THOSE WHO ARE NON-ENGAGED WITH

TREATMENT AND ARE FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS OF OUR SYSTEM.



THESE INDIVIDUALS FREQUENTLY REVOLVE THROUGH EPISODES OF HOMELESSNESS, JAIL, PRISON AND HOSPITALIZATION. MANY PEOPLE ARE COMING TO HARM AND OFTEN COMPOUND THEIR PROBLEMS WITH CRIMINAL JUSTICE ENTANGLEMENTS. MUCH MONEY IS BEING SPENT, RESOURCES ARE BEING CONSUMED BUT SOME MENTAL HEALTH CLIENTS ARE NOT GETTING BETTER. SOME OF OUR MOST TRAGIC EPISODES COME FROM THIS POPULATION, WHICH FURTHERS THE STIGMA AND DISCRIMINATION AGAINST PEOPLE WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS. AS YOU WELL KNOW, IT HAS BECOME HARDER AND HARDER TO OBTAIN COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROJECTS PRECISELY BECAUSE THE "NIMBY-ISM" CREATED BY THE TRAGIC HEADLINES THAT COME FROM THE ACTIONS OF A FEW VERY ILL SOULS, PEOPLE WHO ARE SO SERIOUSLY ILL THAT THEY CANNOT RECOGNIZE THEIR NEED FOR TREATMENT AND WHOSE LIVES ARE SPIRALING OUT OF CONTROL. IN THE PAST, THE BOARD HAS ATTEMPTED NEW INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS TO INTRACTABLE PROBLEMS WITH DIFFICULT POPULATIONS. WE HAVE A TOOL THAT CAN ENABLE PEOPLE TO BEGIN THEIR JOURNEY OF RECOVERY UNDER A STRUCTURE THAT HAS BEEN PROVEN TO BE EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE. IT'S CALLED ASSISTED OUTPATIENT TREATMENT, A.B.1421, LAURA'S LAW, PASSED IN 2002, RECENTLY EXTENDED UNTIL 2017. AND WE THANK THIS BOARD FOR SUPPORTING THIS INITIATIVE. THE CRITERIA OF LAURA'S LAWS ARE EXACTING AND A THOROUGH DUE PROCESS IS OBSERVED. THE PROGRAM IS SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED AT INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE LIKELY TO COME TO HARM IF LEFT UNTREATED, HAVE BEEN OFFERED AN OPPORTUNITY TO VOLUNTARILY PARTICIPATE IN



TREATMENT BUT HAVE NOT ENGAGED, HAVE A HISTORY OF AT LEAST TWO PREVIOUS EVENTS OF HOSPITALIZATION, JAIL OR PRISON IN THE LAST THREE YEARS OR AN EPISODE OF ATTEMPTED OR THREATENED SERIOUS VIOLENT BEHAVIOR IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS. IN NEVADA COUNTY AND STATES LIKE NEW YORK, A.O.T. HAS DRAMATICALLY REDUCED VICTIMIZATION, ARRESTS, INCARCERATION, HOMELESSNESS AND HOSPITALIZATION. BUT IN L.A. COUNTY, WE HAVE ONLY IMPLEMENTED WITH A LIMITED APPROACH AND IT MIRRORS THE VOLUNTARY OUTPATIENT SYSTEM THAT HAS ALREADY PROVEN UNSUCCESSFUL IN ENGAGING THESE INDIVIDUALS. CONSEQUENTLY ONLY A FEW PEOPLE ARE BENEFITING FROM THE SERVICES THAT ARE AVAILABLE AND IT MAKES NO SENSE WHEN THE NEED IS SO GREAT. SINCE THE OUTPATIENT TREATMENT ALLOWS FOR PARTICIPANTS TO REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY IN THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT RATHER THAN TREATED IN INSTITUTIONALIZED, EXPENSIVE ACUTE OR SUB-ACUTE SETTING, AND IS A LESSER RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE TO L.P.S. CONSERVATORSHIP. IT ENABLES PEOPLE TO RECEIVE THE SUPPORT AND TREATMENT THEY NEED UNDER AN EVIDENCE-BASED ASSERTIVE COMMUNITY TREATMENT APPROACH IN THE COMMUNITY LEAVING THEIR RIGHTS INTACT. THE TIME HAS COME TO IMPLEMENT THIS LAW AS WRITTEN. IT ESTABLISHES APPROPRIATE THRESHOLDS FOR INTERVENTION BEFORE PEOPLE HARM THEMSELVES, HARM OTHERS OR BECOME CRIMINALIZED.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SIR, WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR
TESTIMONY. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. IF YOU'D LIKE TO SUBMIT YOUR



WRITTEN TESTIMONY TO THE SERGEANT, HE'LL MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO THE BALANCE OF US AND WE'D BE GLAD TO REVIEW IT.

MARK GALE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE. GO RIGHT AHEAD.

SARAH JAKLE: MY NAME IS SARAH JAKLE AND I AM A MENTAL HEALTH CONSUMER WITH SEVERE RAPID CYCLING MIXED STATE BIPOLAR DISORDER. WHEN I WAS FIRST DIAGNOSED, I WAS SO SICK THAT I WAS UNABLE TO TAKE MY MEDICATIONS AS DIRECTED. SO I SPIRALED DOWN INTO A MALEVOLENT LIVING HELL FROM WHICH I FELT HELPLESS TO ESCAPE. BUT PEOPLE WHO CARED ABOUT ME INTERVENED. AND I WILL BE FOREVER GRATEFUL TO THEM FOR DOING SO. MY PARENTS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT HOSPITALIZED ME THREE TIMES, ALLOWING ME TO STABILIZE AND GET A HANDLE ON MY MEDICATIONS. FROM THERE, I WAS ABLE TO LEARN TO ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE IN MY TREATMENT AND ACTUALLY TAKE MY MEDICATIONS AS PRESCRIBED. I BECAME ENGAGED WITH THE MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM IN MY TREATMENT. I CAN TELL YOU CATEGORICALLY THAT IF SOMEONE HAD NOT INTERVENED WITH ME AND COMPELLED ME TO ACCEPT ESSENTIAL TREATMENT WHEN I NEEDED IT, AS WITH LAURA'S LAW, I WOULD NOW BE HOMELESS, ON THE STREET, AT RISK OF BEING RAPED AND VICTIMIZED OR I WOULD BE DEAD. I AM PROUD TO SAY THAT SINCE GETTING INTERVENTION, I HAVE GOTTEN



TWO MASTER'S DEGREES IN SOCIAL WORK AND PUBLIC POLICY. I AM THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR AND VOLUNTEER COORDINATOR FOR THE NATIONAL ALLIANCE ON MENTAL ILLNESS FOR WEST SIDE LOS ANGELES. AND TWO MONTHS AGO, I MARRIED THE MOST WONDERFUL MAN IN THE WORLD, AND I AM NOT BIASED. ALL THINGS I COULD NEVER HAVE CONCEIVED OF 10 YEARS AGO. HOWEVER, I LOST SEVEN YEARS OF MY LIFE BECAUSE I HAD TO COMPLETELY SELF-DESTRUCT BEFORE ANYONE COULD HELP ME. AND I CAN TELL YOU, HAVING BEEN THERE, THERE WAS A VERY DARK WASTELAND TO WHICH I WAS CONDEMNED. IS IT RIGHT THAT PEOPLE WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS SHOULD HAVE TO REACH SUCH DEPTHS OF DESPAIR AND ILLNESS BEFORE WE CAN HELP THEM? WE CAN CHANGE THIS PARADIGM, FOR WE HAVE A LAW ON THE BOOKS THAT ALLOWS PEOPLE TO INTERVENE EARLIER THAN WAS POSSIBLE WITH ME AND THE CHANCE TO EXPAND IT. WHAT BRINGS ME HERE TODAY IS THE HOPE THAT MORE PEOPLE WITH SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESSES LIKE MINE CAN GET HELP THROUGH LAURA'S LAW BEFORE THEY LOSE YEARS OF THEIR LIVES SPIRALING THROUGH REVOLVING DOORS OF REPEATED HOSPITALIZATIONS AND INCARCERATIONS. I THANK GOD THAT SOMEONE INTERVENED WITH ME OR I WOULD NOT BE EATING WEDDING CAKE, I WOULD BE EATING GARBAGE. I THANK YOU FOR APPROVING LAURA'S LAW IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AND NOW I ASK YOU WITH ALL MY HEART AND ALL MY HARD-WON SANITY TO FULLY IMPLEMENT A.B.1421, LAURA'S LAW, AS WRITTEN. AND FINALLY BRING A COMPREHENSIVE ASSISTED OUTPATIENT TREATMENT PROGRAM TO OUR COUNTY. YOUR ACTION TODAY WILL SAVE MANY LIVES FOR MANY YEARS



TO COME. THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.
SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST COMPLIMENT YOU. THIS IS WHAT WE REALLY NEED. THEY CHANGED THE LAW, LAURA'S LAW, IT'S A STEP IN THAT DIRECTION. IT NEEDS TO BE EXPANDED. WE HAVE A PILOT PROJECT IN L.A. COUNTY TODAY. I THINK WE'VE HAD A 76 PERCENT REDUCTION IN INCARCERATIONS AND REHABILITATIONS. IT'S BEEN A VERY SUCCESSFUL AND TO EXPAND THAT. BUT GIVE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER TO ALLEN GOMEZ, MY DEPUTY OVER HERE, HE'LL MEET YOU SO YOU CAN CONTINUE TO HELP BECAUSE THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE THAT WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH.

FORMER ASSEMBLYWOMAN HELEN THOMPSON FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, WE WORKED WITH TOGETHER ON THAT. THANK YOU FOR COMING FORWARD.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ONCE AGAIN WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

BRIAN JACOBS: MY NAME IS BRIAN JACOBS. IT IS SHAMEFUL THAT IN CALIFORNIA, FOUR TIMES THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS RECEIVE TREATMENT IN JAIL OR PRISON INSTEAD OF TREATMENT FACILITIES. PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS HAVE A 25-YEAR REDUCED LIFE SPAN. AND THAT'S FACTORING OUT THOSE WHO



COMMIT SUICIDE. 37 TO 68 PERCENT OF PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS HAVE A CO-MORBID MEDICAL DISORDER, AND MORE THAN TWO PEOPLE A DAY COMMIT SUICIDE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. LAURA'S LAW ASSISTED OUTPATIENT TREATMENT, OR A.O.T., HELPS PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS CAUGHT IN THE REVOLVING DOOR OF JAIL, PRISON OR HOSPITALIZATION, THE HIGHEST UTILIZERS OF THE MOST COSTLY SERVICES. NEW YORK'S A.O.T. HAS RESULTED IN 77 PERCENT FEWER PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALIZATIONS, 74 PERCENT REDUCTION IN HOMELESSNESS, 83 PERCENT DECLINE IN ARRESTS, 87 PERCENT DECLINE IN INCARCERATIONS. EVERY STATE WITH LAWS SIMILAR TO LAURA'S LAW HAS STATISTICS AND EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE CONFIRMING THAT A.O.T. SAVES MONEY. IN NEVADA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, WHERE LAURA'S LAW HAS BEEN FULLY IMPLEMENTED, FOR EVERY \$1 OF M.H.S.A. AND MEDI-CAL MONEY SPENT, IT SAVES \$1.81 IN REDUCED USE OF HOSPITALS AND JAILS. ASSISTED OUTPATIENT TREATMENT ALLOWS PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS TO AVOID DETERIORATION AND REMAIN FREE OF HOSPITAL AND JAIL. ACCORDING TO MICHAEL HAGGERTY, NEVADA COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTOR, L.A. COUNTY MIGHT SIMPLY CONSIDER ALLOCATING A PORTION OF THEIR A.C.T. SLOTS TO A.O.T. PARTICIPANTS. IF THE EXISTING A.C.T. TEAM IS AT CAPACITY, THEN TRANSITION IN A.O.T. FOLKS AS NEW OPENINGS OCCUR. MANY TIMES THE A.O.T. REFERRALS ARE COMING FROM THE EXISTING A.C.T. TEAM. INSTEAD OF REQUIRING PEOPLE TO BECOME GRAVELY DISABLED OR A DANGER TO THEMSELVES OR OTHERS, LAURA'S LAW ALLOWS THE MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM TO FOCUS ITS EFFORTS ON



THE MOST SERIOUSLY ILL AND HELPS THEM GET WELL AND STAY WELL IN THE COMMUNITY. WAITING FOR DANGER IS TOO LATE. LAURA'S LAW SAVES MONEY AND LIVES. PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS ARE THE MOST SERIOUSLY DISABLED AND LEAST SERVED IN OUR SOCIETY. PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO DETERIORATE TO THE POINT THEY'RE ON THE STREET, END UP IN JAIL OR PRISON, VICTIMIZED OR DEAD. THANK YOU FOR APPROVING LAURA'S LAW IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. NOW IT NEEDS TO BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

BRIAN JACOBS: THANK YOU.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THOSE PERSONS WHO I DID ASK TO COME FORWARD, I'M GOING TO ASK THAT YOU DO SO NOW.

MR. SACHS, IF YOU WOULD PROCEED WITH YOUR TESTIMONY.

ARNOLD SACHS: YES, THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS.

ANOTHER FASCINATING MEETING TODAY. I WAS PARTICULARLY STRUCK

BY THE COMMENTARY MADE BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REGARDING THE

LETTER REGARDING THE TAX FEE INCREASE FOR THE WATER RUNOFF.

LAST WEEK WE HAD SOME PEOPLE FROM THE PUBLIC COME IN AND THEY

WERE COMPLAINING. AND THEY WERE SAYING THAT THERE WAS A NOTICE

OF A HEARING FOR THEIR WATER DISTRICT TO RAISE THE RATES. AND

THE NOTICE CAME FROM THE COUNTY. AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS THREE



OR FOUR PEOPLE THAT CAME UP, SAID THEY WEREN'T ADEQUATELY NOTIFIED. THERE WAS SHORT NOTICE. IT WAS A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS. YOU HAD THE PEOPLE FROM THE WATER BOARD COME UP HERE AND SIT AND SAY THEY REVIEWED THE LIST OF HOMEOWNERS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS HAD SENT THE LETTER OUT FOR. SO I'M THINKING WHY IS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS SENDING OUT A RATE INCREASE FOR THE WATER BOARD? FIRST OF ALL. AND SECOND OF ALL, BASED ON YOUR OWN ASSUMPTIONS THAT YOU HELD THIS WEEK ABOUT HOW CONFUSING IT IS AND THE EXPLANATION FROM THE COUNTY COUNSEL WAS ABOUT WELL, WHAT A FEE IS AND WHAT A TAX IS AND WHAT THIS IS AND WHAT THAT IS AND HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ACTUAL CONTENT AND HOW CONFUSING THE FACT THAT THE LETTER WAS, WHY DIDN'T YOU SAY SOMETHING LAST WEEK WHEN THESE PEOPLE CAME UP AND SAID "YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THE SAME PROBLEMS." HERE YOU HAVE A TIME FRAME BECAUSE THE HEARING ISN'T UNTIL THE MIDDLE OF JANUARY. BUT THERE THE PEOPLE HAD TO ACT RIGHT AWAY. AND THEY WERE PRESENTING THE EXACT SAME ARGUMENTS ALMOST WITHOUT THE VOTE THAT YOU MADE HERE. HOW CONFUSING IT WAS. HOW IT LOOKS LIKE JUNK MAIL. WELL I'M PRETTY SURE THAT IF PEOPLE GET SOMETHING FROM THE COUNTY, THE WATER DISTRICT, OR THEY GET SOMETHING FROM THE WATER DISTRICT THAT BILLS THEM, THEY'RE GOING LOOK AT THE WATER DISTRICT BILL. AND IF IT SAYS, HEY, YOU KNOW, WE'RE CONSIDERING A PAY INCREASE, A RATE INCREASE, THEY'RE GOING TO WANT TO RESPOND TO IT. MAYBE THEY WILL GET SOMETHING FROM THE COUNTY, THEY'RE GOING TO SAY UH, THE



COUNTY. MORE JUNK. WE'RE NOT EVEN GOING TO LOOK. AND LO AND BEHOLD, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IS DOING THE WORK FOR A PRIVATE WATER BOARD. HOW IS THAT POSSIBLE? DOESN'T MATTER. AND PART 2, ALL THIS CONVERSATION ABOUT THE HEALTH CARE? WELL LAST WEEK, SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS DISCUSSING THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AND WHETHER WE SHOULD HAVE A DENOMINATOR OF \$4 BILLION OR \$18 BILLION. AND SHE MENTIONED AGAIN THE UNFUNDED MANDATE OF \$22 BILLION. THAT SHOULD BE YOUR DENOMINATOR. LET'S HEAR SOME DISCUSSION ON WHERE YOU'RE GOING TO COME UP WITH THE \$22 BILLION ON UNFUNDED MANDATED RETIREMENT COSTS.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.

NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN IN THE AUDIENCE, IF

YOU WOULD BE MINDFUL OF THE FACT THAT WE'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM

TERESIA SIGMUND, SAID SAID, DAVID SERRANO, JOHN WALSH AND

FINALLY, GEORGE RHEAULT, IN THAT ORDER, PLEASE COME FORWARD.

PROCEED, SIR.

JON NAHHAS: SUPERVISORS, THE BROWN ACT PROVIDES FOR REASONABLE RESTRICTIONS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT TO HELP EASE WITH THE TIME REQUIREMENTS OF THIS MEETING. QUITE OFTEN, THIS BOARD DOES NOT MEET THAT REQUIREMENT IN THAT YOU FREQUENTLY DISCUSS NON-AGENDA ITEMS, DEVOTE WAY TOO MUCH TIME TO GOLF AWARDS AND AWARDS FOR PHYSICAL FITNESS FOR YOUR GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND RUN THROUGH OBITUARIES IN OUR COUNTY OF 10 MILLION.



INTERESTINGLY, THIS BOARD HAS FREQUENTLY DEALT WITH MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR DECISIONS IN CONTRACTS AND A FEW BILLION DOLLARS DECISIONS AND CONTINUALLY RESTRICTED PUBLIC COMMENT. IT IS A TRAGEDY AND IN MY OPINION UNETHICAL FOR THIS BOARD TO ONLY ALLOW ITS GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES TO GIVE POWERPOINT PRESENTATIONS AND EXPRESSING THEMSELVES USING DIGITAL MEDIA. MR. KATZ WAS WELL-ORGANIZED AND PROVIDED GOOD INFORMATION TO THIS BOARD IN HIS POWERPOINT THAT ORDINARY CITIZENS SHOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO THE SAME WITH YOU. CHAIRMAN RIDLEY-THOMAS, WE WANT OUR FIRST, YOUR FIRST DAY AS LEADER OF THIS BOARD AND FOR THIS DAY TO BE SUCCESSFUL FOR YOU. AND WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE YOU PROVE YOUR COMMITMENT TO TRANSPARENCY AND BE FORTHCOMING TO THE CITIZENS, NOT ONLY BY PROVIDING THESE RULES SET FORTH THAT YOU HAVE BUT ALSO TRYING TO PROVIDE OTHER WAYS BY WHICH OUR CITIZENS CAN ENGAGE GOVERNMENT AND PARTICIPATE AT THIS MEETING. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO FIND OUT HOW MANY UNFILLED POSITIONS THERE ARE IN L.A. COUNTY. WE KEEP ON ASKING. WE DON'T GET A NUMBER. IT'S IMPORTANT, CRITICAL TO FIND OUT THAT INFORMATION. BUT GETTING BACK TO THIS MEETING, WE BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE WAYS THAT YOU COULD PROVIDE AND ENHANCE PARTICIPATION AT THIS MEETING AND ALLOW FOR PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. WE ASK THAT YOU PLEASE START THE MEETINGS ON TIME, AT 9:30 A.M. PROMPTLY. WE ASK THAT YOU PRIORITIZE THE PUBLIC'S BUSINESS, STARTING WITH THE MOST CRITICAL ITEMS AT FIRST AND THEN



WORKING THROUGH THE AGENDA TO THE LEAST PRIORITIZED ITEMS AT THE END OF THE MEETING. WE'D ALSO LIKE YOU TO GIVE US A RATIONALE FOR WHEN YOU GO INTO CLOSED SESSION AND HOW LONG YOU'RE GOING TO BE THERE. PRIVATE MEETINGS DEALING WITH PUBLIC BUSINESS IS A CONCERN OF OURS. AND IF YOU DECIDE THAT YOU'RE GOING TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, WE SHOULD HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW LONG YOU'RE GOING TO BE THERE. IT'S VERY CRITICAL THAT WE KNOW THAT INFORMATION.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.
MA'AM, PLEASE PROCEED. PLEASE.

TERESIA SIGMUND: DURING MY FAMILY'S AND MY HORRIFYING DEALINGS WITH THE D.C.F.S. I ENCOUNTERED COUNTLESS FAMILIES WHO ALSO HAVE BEEN MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTED AND, WHO ENDURED MANY CONSTITIONALLY-PROTECTED CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND WHO CRIED FOR JUSTICE AGAINST THE D.C.F.S.'S LIES AND BIAS AGAINST THEM. AS A LAWYER, YOU MIGHT THINK IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO GO AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT NO MATTER WHAT THEY DO. BUT THAT IS WHERE YOU'RE WRONG. IN FACT, IT WON'T EVEN BE AS COSTLY AS YOU MIGHT PARTICIPATE. THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE VICTIMS ARE INDIGENT, MEANING YOU GET ALL THE RECORDS AND TRANSCRIPTS AND FILING FEES FOR FREE. YOU JUST HAVE THE TO FILE AN APPEAL AND THEN YOU WILL GET THE WHOLE RECORD FOR FREE, WHICH ARE RIDDLED WITH PROVABLE LIES AND BIAS. A SAVING OF ABOUT \$5,000 RIGHT THERE.



FURTHERMORE, THE TITLE 20S ARE THE LOGBOOK SO TO SPEAK THAT ONCE YOU SUBPOENA THEM, ARE YET ANOTHER TRAIL OF EVIDENCE AGAINST THEIR MISCONDUCT, OFTEN MISCONDUCT. YOUR USUAL COST FOR DEPOSITIONS YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO DO WITHOUT SINCE THE LAST-MINUTE REPORTS AND SEVERAL OTHER REPORTS AS WELL AS THE TRANSCRIPTS ARE FULL OF FALSITIES, MORE THAN YOU CAN EVER DREAM OF TO LITIGATE. AS SHAKESPEARE HAS MENTIONED YOU THE LAWYERS ARE THE MEMBRANE BETWEEN CIVILIZATION AND THE ZOO. AND BELIEVE ME, THE D.C.F.S. IS A ZOO, SO OUT OF CONTROL THAT EVEN GINA SILVA FROM FOX 11 NOW HAS A WEEKLY SEGMENT ABOUT IT. I'M SORRY. YOU'VE GOT MANY CLIENTS IN DIRE NEED OF JUSTICE, YOU JUST HAVE TO MEET THEM YET. THEY'RE USUALLY MELANIN RICH AND NOT TOO SOPHISTICATED. BUT IT IS YOUR GOD-GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO HUMANIZE THEM IN FRONT OF A JURY OPPOSED TO THE TREATMENT THEY HAVE RECEIVED FROM THIS GOVERNMENT. IF YOU'RE WORRIED ABOUT THE D.C.F.S. IMMUNITY, DON'T BE, AS THEY'RE NOT IMMUNE TO SUPPRESS EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE OR THEIR OTHER MANY FALSITIES. THAT PIERCES THEIR IMMUNITY AND THERE'S PLENTY OF IT, AS LYING IS THEIR NATIVE TONGUE. JOHN MCGILLEN WHO WON \$14 MILLION AGAINST THE D.C.F.S. GOT EVERY REASON TO SAY HE WAS A ROOKIE, BUT HE KNEW ONE BASIC THING, WHAT'S WRONG IS WRONG. I HAVE LISTS OF VICTIMS OF ALL RACES AND BACKGROUNDS AND THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION WITH SOLID PROOF OF THE D.C.F.S. BLATANT LIES AND VIOLATIONS AGAINST THEM. I DON'T NEED ANY MONEY FROM ANYBODY TAKING THESE PEOPLE'S CASES. JUST LET ME TELL YOU ONCE



YOU WIN, I TOLD YOU SO. AS A SOCIETY, WE CANNOT TOLERATE THIS BLATANT RACISM TAKING PLACE. CHILDREN'S COURT ARE FILLED WITH MELANIN RICH PEOPLE WHO DO NOT DARE FIGHT THE D.C.F.S. THEY ARE INDOCTRINATED TO BELIEVE THAT YOU DO NOT FIGHT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT NO MATTER HOW UNJUSTLY TREATED. WE HAVE A HUMAN RESPONSIBILITY TO DISARM ANY GOVERNMENT WHO TARGETS THE MELANIN RICH PEOPLE AND DESTROYS THEIR FAMILIES. HOW ARROGANT TO FILL THE COURTS WITH THAT PARTICULAR RACE AND TELL THEM THEY'RE NOT GOOD ENOUGH TO RAISE THEIR KIDS WITHOUT GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE. THE COURTS ARE FILLED WITH THEM. ANYBODY SITTING HERE BELIEVES THAT SO-CALLED WHITE PEOPLE ARE BETTER UNANIMOUS RAISING THEIR KIDS, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT YOUR STATISTICS ARE SAYING.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: MA'AM, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. SIR?

DAVID SERRANO: THE LORD OUR GOD IS THE FATHER AND THE SON AND THE HOLY SPIRIT. THE LORD OUR GOD, THE LORD IS ONE, AMEN. THE HOLY TRIUNE GOD OF THE CHRISTIANS IS THE ONE AND ONLY TRUE LIVING GOD, THE CREATOR OF HEAVEN AND THE UNIVERSE, AMEN. ACCORDING TO THE WILL OF THE HOLY TRINITY, GOD CREATED THE UNIVERSE WHEN LORD GOD ALMIGHTY JESUS CHRIST COMMANDED THE UNIVERSE INTO EXISTENCE BY THE WORD OF GOD AND THE UNIVERSE BEGAN BY WAY OF THE BIG BANG THAT INCLUDES INFLATION IN THE



VERY BEGINNING. THE UNIVERSE WAS SMALLER THAN 1 MILLIMETER ACROSS AND IT HAS SINCE EXPANDED INTO THE VAST UNIVERSE WE NOW INHABIT. THE SON OF GOD, JESUS SAID "I AND MY FATHER ARE ONE AND ANYONE WHO HAS SEEN ME HAS SEEN THE FATHER." LORD GOD ALMIGHTY JESUS CHRIST, WHO IS AND WAS AND WHO IS TO COME HAS ALREADY PROCLAIMED AND WILL PROCLAIM AGAIN UNTO THE WHOLE WORLD AT HIS SECOND COMING. I AM THE ALPHA AND THE OMEGA, THE ALMIGHTY. JESUS CHRIST WAS CONCEIVED BY THE POWER OF THE HOLY SPIRIT AND BORN OF THE VIRGIN MARY. JESUS NEVER SINNED. THE LORD JESUS CHRIST PREACHED THE WORD OF GOD, FORGAVE PEOPLE'S SINS AND HE DID MANY MIRACLES, INCLUDING RAISING THE DEAD TO LIFE. JESUS WAS BETRAYED BY A SINNER INTO THE HANDS OF SINNERS. ALL PEOPLE ARE SINNERS AND THE WAGES OF SIN IS DEATH AND SHEOL. BUT THE GIFT OF GOD IS LIFE EVERLASTING IN JESUS, AMEN. INNOCENT JESUS WILLINGLY SHED HIS PRECIOUS BLOOD AND DIED IN OUR PLACE ON THE CROSS AS THE PERFECT, ALL SUFFICIENT SACRIFICE OF ATONEMENT FOR THE SINS OF THE WORLD TAKING UPON HIMSELF THE PUNISHMENT OF DEATH AND SHEOL, WHICH ALL PEOPLE DESERVE BECAUSE ONLY GOD CAN SAVE US. CHRIST WAS BURIED AND ON THE THIRD DAY OUR LORD AND SAVIOR JESUS CHRIST RESURRECTED AND HE LEFT THE TOMB, AMEN. HALLELUJAH. THEN JESUS CHRIST APPEARED TO HUNDREDS OF HIS DISCIPLES OVER THE COURSE OF 40 DAYS AND THEN HE ASCENDED INTO HEAVEN, WE ARE SAVED BY THE GRACE OF GOD THROUGH FAITH IN THE SON OF GOD, JESUS CHRIST. REPENT AND BELIEVE IN THE LORD JESUS CHRIST AND YOU WILL HAVE LIFE



EVERLASTING WITH GOD IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD, AMEN. ONLY CHRISTIANS ENTER INTO THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN AND THAT'S THE TRUTH, AMEN, PRAISE THE LORD. MAY GOD BLESS AMERICA.

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY, SIR. AND THE FINAL SPEAKER WILL BE BEFORE US, SIR, PLEASE PROCEED.

JOHN WALSH: JOHN WALSH, BLOGGING AT HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG. GO TO IT NOW AND SEE RUPERT MURDOCK TAKING A PIE IN HIS FACE. I WANT TO AGAIN CONGRATULATE YOU. THIS BUILDING, HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN HERE? 70, 80 YEARS. NO CHILDREN HAVE BEEN ENDANGERED IN THIS BUILDING. THE SHERIFFS DON'T ALLOW 11-YEAR-OLD CHILDREN WANDERING AROUND THIS BUILDING AT MIDNIGHT. THAT ONLY HAPPENS AT THE L.A. CITY. BUT WHAT'S MOST IMPORTANT, MR. ANTONOVICH, WAS WHEN THE CHILD WAS BROUGHT TO THE CENTRAL DIVISION AT ALMOST TWO IN THE MORNING, D.C.F.S. WAS SENT, A D.C.F.S. WORKER WAS THERE. AND MY QUESTION IS: WHAT HAPPENED? WAS THE MOTHER, ANDREA ALARCON, WAS SHE DRUG TESTED? NO, SHE WAS ALLOWED TO HAVE THE CHILD AND LEAVE WITH THE CHILD AFTER SHE HAD BEEN DRINKING AND WAS OUT OF CONTROL FOR HOURS AND DISAPPEARED. NOW, THEY ARE SETTING YOU UP, MR. FUJIOKA, BY SAYING, AND I KNOW WHAT THE MAYOR IS SAYING, THE COUNTY LET THE CHILD GO. L.A.P.D. HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH IT. NOW IS THAT TRUE? WHAT HAPPENED? NOW, I KNOW I'M NOT GOING TO GET AN



ANSWER OVER THERE. I'M NOT GOING TO GET AN ANSWER FROM L.A.P.D. I'M NOT GOING TO GET AN ANSWER FROM BARACK OBAMA. BUT I ASK YOU, MICHAEL ANTONOVICH, AND YOU HAVE A CHILD. I'VE SEEN YOUR CHILD AT THE M.T.A. MEETING ABOUT 12 YEARS AGO. YOU DON'T ALLOW IT. AND WHAT AM I SUPPOSED TO SAY? WHEN I SAY THIS IT'S RACIST? THE D.C.F.S. WORKER WAS THERE. DID THE D.C.F.S. WORKER SIGN OFF AND ALLOW THAT CHILD TO LEAVE? AND WHY? WHERE IS THAT REPORT? OR IS IT OKAY FOR THE MAYOR BECAUSE HE IS A HISPANIC TO TREAT CHILDREN LIKE TRASH? THIS HAS NEVER HAPPENED. WE HAD A BLACK MAYOR HERE FOR HOW LONG? AND I WANT TO SAY ONE THING: NO BLACK POLITICIAN WOULD EVER ALLOW A CHILD TO BE TREATED THAT WAY BECAUSE THEY REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED TO CHILDREN IN ALABAMA AND NOW WE'RE HEARING "OH, THE CHILD WASN'T HURT. IT'S A PRIVATE MATTER. " IT'S NOT A PRIVATE MATTER. BECAUSE IT WAS A COUNTY WORKER IN L.A.P.D. INVOLVED IN THIS. THIS WAS PUBLIC. AND I WANT TO KNOW FROM YOU WHAT HAPPENED. I'M TELLING YOU, MR. FUJIOKA, THEY'RE SETTING YOU UP. THEY'RE SETTING YOU UP. I WANT TO TELL YOU RIGHT NOW, COME TO HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG AND WE ARE ASKING FOR AN INVESTIGATION. JACKIE LACEY IS GOING TO INVESTIGATE THIS? IT'S ALL GOING TO BE SWEPT UNDER THE RUG. SUFFER NOT THE LITTLE CHILDREN. DO YOU WANT TO OUOTE FROM THE BIBLE? I'LL GIVE YOU A QUOTE AND IT'S SUCH A PLEASURE TO HAVE COUNTY PRESIDENT MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS RUNNING THIS MEETING FOR A CHANGE, FOR A GOOD YEAR. HOLLYWOODHIGHLANDS.ORG. THANK YOU.



SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. THAT CONCLUDES ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENT THAT WE HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE OF. I WILL NOW ASK THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO READ US INTO CLOSED SESSION.

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM NO. CS-1, CONFERENCE
WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO
LITIGATION AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA. THANK YOU.



I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors December 4, 2012, were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction and supervision;

That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by me.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor related to any party to the said action; nor in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of December 2012, for the County records to be used only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts as on file of the office of the reporter.

JENNIFER A. HINES
CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR



The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors